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# Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASAL</td>
<td>Arid and Semi-Arid Lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDD</td>
<td>Community Driven Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoK</td>
<td>Constitution of Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Civil society organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAs</td>
<td>Environmental Assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMCA</td>
<td>Environmental Management and Coordination Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>Environmental Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESIA</td>
<td>Environmental and Social Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESMF</td>
<td>Environmental and Social Management Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESMP</td>
<td>Environmental and Social Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPIC</td>
<td>Free, prior and informed consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>Feasibility Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographic Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOK</td>
<td>Government of Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRM</td>
<td>Grievance Redress Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRC</td>
<td>Grievance Redress Committee (GRC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ha</td>
<td>Hectares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>Human Immune Deficiency Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBRD</td>
<td>International Bank for Reconstruction and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information and Communication Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>International Development Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>Impact Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF</td>
<td>Investment Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPMP</td>
<td>Integrated Pest Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPF</td>
<td>Indigenous Peoples Planning Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KFS</td>
<td>Kenya Forest Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNCHR</td>
<td>Kenya National Commission on Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ksh</td>
<td>Kenyan Shilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M &amp; E</td>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation (M&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDGs</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMR</td>
<td>Ministry of Environment, Water and Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF</td>
<td>Ministry of Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLC</td>
<td>National Land Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMA</td>
<td>National Environment Management Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP/BP</td>
<td>Operational Policy/Bank Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>Project Appraisal Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Physical and Cultural Resources Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDO</td>
<td>Project Development Objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIC</td>
<td>Public Information Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIM</td>
<td>Participatory Impact Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIM</td>
<td>Project Implementation Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMP</td>
<td>Pesticide Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>Project Management Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA</td>
<td>Participatory Rural Appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAP</td>
<td>Resettlement Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPF</td>
<td>Resettlement Policy Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRA</td>
<td>Rapid Rural Appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Social Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDRP</td>
<td>Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$</td>
<td>United States Dollars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMG</td>
<td>Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMGF</td>
<td>Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMGP</td>
<td>Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRMA</td>
<td>Water Resources Management Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Introduction
This Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework (VMGF) has been prepared for the Government of Kenya (GOK), Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries (MALF) the (client) under Regional Pastoral Livelihoods Resilience Project (RPLRP) because the project has triggered Operational Policy OP 4.10 and thus to ensure compliance to this World Bank policy which stipulate that, in the event, that vulnerable and marginalized groups are likely to be affected by a Bank supported project, then a VMGF must be prepared.

This VMGF for the Regional Pastoral Livelihoods Resilience Project has been prepared based on the OP 4.10 of the World Bank (“Bank”) and the applicable laws and regulations of the Government of Kenya. It is to guide the preparation of RPLRP sub projects that may affect Vulnerable and Marginalised Groups (VMGs) in the proposed sub project areas.

OP 4.10 contributes to the Bank's mission of poverty reduction and sustainable development by ensuring that the development process fully respects the dignity, human rights, economies, and cultures of Indigenous Peoples. For all projects that are proposed for Bank financing and affect Vulnerable and Marginalised Groups (VMGs), the Bank requires the borrower to engage in a process of free, prior, and informed consultation. The Bank provides project financing only where free, prior, and informed consultation results in broad community support to the project by the affected vulnerable and marginalised groups. Such Bank-financed projects include measures to;

1. Avoid potentially adverse effects on the Indigenous Peoples’ communities; or
2. When avoidance is not feasible, minimize, mitigate, or compensate for such effects
3. Ensure that the vulnerable and marginalised people receive social and economic benefits that are culturally appropriate and gender as well as inter-generationally inclusive. The VMGF must be based on free, prior and informed consultations with indigenous peoples.

The objectives of the policy are to avoid adverse impacts on vulnerable and marginalised groups and to provide them with culturally appropriate benefits.

1.1.1 Reasons for the use of a Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework
A Vulnerable and Marginalised Groups Framework is developed when a proposed project design is not yet finalised so that it is impossible to identify all of the adverse impacts, as is required to prepare a Vulnerable and Marginalised Groups Plan (VMGP). This situation applies to all the related proposed sub projects under RPLRP. At the time of preparation of this VMGF, (a) host sites had not yet been identified; and (b) those vulnerable and marginalised groups whose rights and livelihoods may be affected adversely by the sub projects have not yet been defined, as the location or alignment of the sub projects are yet to be decided.
During project preparation, it is becoming clear that the sub project investments under RPLRP might impact on VMGs’ rights, lands, livelihoods and culture. To qualify for funding from the Bank and following best practice documented in the World Bank’s policy on Indigenous Peoples (OP 4.10), the Government of Kenya has commissioned the preparation of a Vulnerable and Marginalised Groups Framework (VMGF) to ensure that the development process fully respects the dignity, human rights, economies, and culture of vulnerable and marginalised people and that the RPLRP sub projects have broad community support from the affected vulnerable and marginalised people.

Some projects involve the preparation and implementation of annual investment programs or multiple subprojects. In such cases, and when the Bank’s screening indicates that VMGs are likely to be present in, or have collective attachment to, the project area, but their presence or collective attachment cannot be determined until the programs or investments are identified, the borrower (in this case GOK) prepares a Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework (VMGF). The VMGF provides for the screening and review of the proposed sub projects in a manner consistent with this policy. The RPLRP will integrate the VMGF recommendations into the project design of each sub project.

The VMGF outlines the processes and principles of screening to determine if a proposed investment impacts adversely on vulnerable communities, the preparation of a VMGP including the social assessment process, consultation and stakeholder engagement, disclosure procedures, communication and grievances redress mechanism. A detailed VMGP will be prepared for each sub project once a project location is identified and screening conducted and determination via screening is made that VMGs are present in the project investment area.

The VMGF recognizes the distinct circumstances that expose VMGs to different types of risks and impacts from development projects. As social groups with identities that are often distinct from dominant groups in their national societies. VMGs are frequently among the most marginalized and vulnerable segments of the population. As a result, their economic, social, and legal status often limit their capacity to defend their rights to lands, territories, and other productive resources, and restricts their ability to participate in and benefit from development. At the same time, this policy, together with the Involuntary Resettlement Policy (RPF) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) already prepared for this RPLRP, recognizes that VMGs play a vital role in sustainable development and emphasizes that the need for conservation should be combined with the need to benefit VMGs in order to ensure long-term sustainable management of critical ecosystems.

This VMGF describes the policy requirements and planning procedures that RPLRP will follow during the preparation and implementation of sub projects especially those identified as occurring in areas where VMGs are present.

This VMGF is to be used by the MALF/RPLRP in order to ensure that the World Bank indigenous people’s policies, with emphasis on Operational Policy OP 4.10 (Indigenous People) are adequately addressed. The purpose of this VMGF is to ensure that management of issues related to vulnerable and marginalised people is integrated into the
development and operation of proposed investments to be financed under the RPLRP to ensure effective mitigation of potentially adverse impacts while enhancing accruing benefits.

1.2 Project Background
The overall development objective of the RPLRP is to develop and implement regional approaches that enhance livelihoods resilience of pastoral and agro-pastoral communities in the drought prone areas of the ASALs of Kenya and its neighboring countries including Ethiopia and Uganda.

The project will contribute to the agreed response of Kenya along with other IGAD member states to address the regional priorities developed through the Country Programs Papers (CPP) in response to the IGAD drought disaster resilience and sustainability initiative (IDDRSI) in the Horn of Africa.

1.3 Project Description
The Government of Kenya has received technical assistance from technical consortium of the development partners to finance the preparation of the proposed Regional Pastoral Livelihoods Resilience Project (RPLRP) that is to be implemented in Kenya, Uganda and Ethiopia. In Kenya, the project implementation will be under the overall responsibility of Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries (MALF).

The Project Development Objective (PDO) is to enhance livelihoods resilience of pastoral and agro-pastoral communities in drought prone areas through regional approaches. The project will be implemented in 14 Counties which are Lamu, Isiolo, Laikipia, Mandera, Marsabit, West Pokot, Turkana, Tana River, Garissa, Baringo, Samburu, Narok, Baringo and Wajir which have cross border activities and trans-boundary stock routes linking pastoral communities on either side of the borders.

1.3.1 Component 1: Natural Water Resources Management
At the national and sub-regional levels, this component will support the mapping of major natural resources (water resources, rangelands), their full embedment in the policy design/review process and a better planning of interventions related to them. It will rehabilitate natural resources that are crucial for livestock productivity and resilience to droughts, such as major water points and pasture. The activities will empower communities in sustainable natural resources management by introducing collective rangeland management systems. This component focuses on:

1. New water facilities to be constructed
2. Water facilities to be rehabilitated
3. Water sheds for the existing shared water facilities to be rehabilitated/developed
4. Pastoral and Agro-pastoral rangelands/field demonstration schools to established

1.3.2 Component 2: Market Access and Trade
This component will support market infrastructure with a focus on those that support regional trade and export. The objectives are both to facilitate intra-regional trade of animals and animal products. It will build the capacity in the national veterinary services, as well as promotion of increased collaboration among countries. It will improve the
surveillance and control systems of trans-boundary animal diseases that negatively impact trade. The component will finally assist the three countries and IGAD in: (i) harmonizing their animal health and food safety standards as part of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Standards in compliance with international ones (set up by the World Organization for Animal Health – OIE and Codex Alimentarius); (ii) strengthening the national and regional market information systems; and (iii) establishing and improving regionally recognized animal identification and traceability systems. Key subcomponent activities include:

1. Construction/rehabilitation of Livestock Markets
2. Construction and rehabilitation of Border Check Points
3. Construction/rehabilitation of Laboratories
4. Construction/rehabilitation of Slaughter Facilities
5. Construction/rehabilitation of Holding/Auction Grounds
6. Construction/rehabilitation of Trading routes
7. Grazing and strategic livestock feed reserves to be demarcation
8. Watering points to be demarcated

1.3.3 Component 3: Livelihoods Support
This component will address trans-boundary issues related to improved livestock productivity (fodder/feed production, animal health and breed improvement) and diversification (processing, non-livestock products, etc.). This component will disseminate across participating countries existing and tested approaches and/or technologies developed through research for the dry lands. The component will be implemented under three key sub component namely; i) Livestock production and Health, ii) Food and Feed Production and productivity, iii) Livelihood diversification. The component activities include:

1. Construction of Communal demonstration permanent crushes (galvanized iron)
2. Construction of Crushes
3. Pasture improvement (degrade range rehabilitation/ reseeding, pasture seeds scheme)
4. Training and demonstration for households in different income generation activities
5. Support appropriate alternative income generating enterprises for households
6. Field demonstration plots to be established
7. Storage Facilities

1.3.4 Component 4: Pastoral Risk Management
This component will strengthen existing national early-warning and response systems in the project districts and link them with a sub-regional under the IGAD platform. It is aimed at helping pastoralists build resilience to drought and other climatic shocks. The activities will harmonize the response to disasters in communities and other stakeholders, including public institutions in charge of drought management, at the sub regional level. This will be done by organizing joint sub-regional training and disseminating recognized tools for drought response such as the “Livestock Emergency Guidelines and Standards”. The activities will also support conflict management with particular focus on cross-border issues, as livestock and access to natural resources (water and pasture), which are
the major drivers behind conflicts and security in the pastoral areas. Key subcomponent activities include:

1. Support conflict management with particular focus on cross-border issues, as livestock and access to natural resources (water and pasture), which are the major drivers behind conflicts and security in the pastoral areas.
2. Readily avail, timely disseminate, and understood early warning information
3. Harmonized and strengthen drought resilience mechanism policies
4. Institutionalized effective responses to early warnings

1.4 Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups RPLRP Project Areas

The African Commission’s Working Group of Experts on Indigenous Populations & Communities affirms “almost all African states host a rich variety of different ethnic groups. All of these groups are indigenous to Africa. However, some are in a structural subordinate position to the dominating groups and the state, leading to marginalization and discrimination. It is this situation that the indigenous concept, in its modern analytical form, and the international legal framework attached to it, addresses.”

Indigenous Peoples (OP 4.10) will be triggered by proposed investment projects to be implemented under the RPLRP. Since the RPLRP is countrywide in nature an initial screening indicates the presence of groups that meet the World Bank criteria for indigenous peoples who likely to be present in, or have collective attachment to, the project areas that may benefit from the project especially bearing in mind that exact locations of investments remain unknown at this point in time. In addition to OP 4.10, screening and profiling marginalized community and marginal groups will be done in line with the interpretation of section 260 of the Kenya Constitution, 2012 which provides a list of those categorized as Marginalized Communities and Marginalized Groups. See table 1 for indicative list of groups that are categorized as VMGs using criteria from section 260 of the Constitution of Kenya (CoK).

This VMGF documents all the vulnerable and marginalised groups in Kenya as defined by the Constitution of Kenya (CoK) on the communities’ categorised thus so. The reason why all the vulnerable and marginalized groups are considered in this VMGF is principally because the RPLRP is national in scope and since the exact sub project investment locations are not known, the only sensible approach would be to consider all these communities and then during the sub project screening further determination and exclusion will be made.

---

1 See Annex 1 for World Bank Operational Policy (O.P.) 4.10 Indigenous Peoples.
2 The Constitution states that a marginalized community/groups is one that meet the following criteria: (a) A community that are unable to participate in the integrated social economic life of Kenya as a whole due to (i) relatively small population or (ii) any other reasons; (b) Traditional Community that has remained outside the integrated social and economic life of Kenya as a whole; (c) Indigenous community that has retained a traditional lifestyle and livelihood based on a hunter-gatherer economy; and (d) Pastoral persons or communities, whether: (i) nomadic or (ii) a settled community that, because of its relative geographic isolation, has experienced only marginal participation in the integrated social and economic life of Kenya.
Although the groups listed in table 1 (see section 4.32) are categorised as VMGs under GoK's legislation, they would also need to meet the Bank's criteria for determining whether they are Indigenous Peoples. Given that the application of OP 4.10 in Kenya is evolving, the framework document describes what groups GoK recognizes as vulnerable and marginalized and the Bank's policy criteria for determining if they are Indigenous Peoples. Through the social assessment process at the sub project level, an evaluation will be made if OP 4.10 policy will be triggered (it should be noted that some groups, such as the Ogiek and Sengwer, Ilchamus, Boni and Sanye have met the criteria for OP 4.10 in other Bank-financed projects). Hence for that reason, the list provided in annex 7 by GOK is only indicative for the moment.

The marginalised and vulnerable communities face similar problems. From the formal legal point of view they are citizens equal to all other Kenyans. However, they do not have the same access to land and other resources, social and political influence, legal status and/or organizational, technical or economic capacities as other citizens of Kenya. The Ogiek and Sengwer for example, who formerly ranged over broad areas of uninterrupted forests as full-time foragers, have increasingly been restricted to areas with home ‘bases’ involving agriculture and livestock rearing and outlying areas where some honey gathering is still practiced. The continual expropriation of land and steadily intensifying restrictions on access to natural resources – especially forests - have further increased their sedentarization, marginalization, social discrimination, and impoverishment. The Ogiek and Sengwer, who are more dependent on forests than others, were - often in contravention of their legal utilization rights - forced out of forests with little or no compensation, and with little or no land to go to or resources to live on.

1.5 Vulnerable & Marginalized Groups Requirements

The World Bank’s Operational and Procedural Policies, specifically OP 4.10 requires the Government of Kenya to prepare a VMGF which establishes a mechanism to determine and assess future potential social impacts of the MALF’s planned sub projects under the proposed RPLRP on vulnerable and marginalized groups.

1.5.1 Free and Prior Informed Consent

Projects affecting the vulnerable and marginalized, whether adversely or positively, therefore, need to be prepared with care and with the participation of affected communities. The requirements include social analysis to improve the understanding of the local context and affected communities; a process of free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected vulnerable and marginalized communities in order to fully identify their views and to obtain their broad community support to the project; and development of project-specific measures to avoid adverse impacts and enhance culturally appropriate benefits.

This Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework (VMGF) sets out:

- The types of investments likely to be proposed for financing under the project.
- The potential positive and adverse effects of such investments on VMGs.
- A plan for carrying out the social assessment for such investments.
- A framework for ensuring free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected VMGs at each stage of project preparation and implementation.
• **Institutional arrangements (including capacity building where necessary) for screening project-supported investments, evaluating their effects on VMGs, preparing VMGs, and addressing any grievances.**
• **Monitoring and reporting arrangements, including mechanisms and benchmarks appropriate to the project.**
• **Disclosure arrangements for VMGs to be prepared under the VMGF.**

OP 4.10 requires that a process of free, prior, and informed consultation, with the affected vulnerable and marginalized communities, of the potential adverse and positive effects of the project be designed and used in consultation. It is likely that some of the proposed investments will result in significant adverse impacts for vulnerable and marginalized communities and as such the VMGs should be informed and consulted prior to project implementation.

Free, prior and informed consultation (FPIC), in relation to activities taking place on indigenous lands, refers to a process whereby affected vulnerable and marginalized communities, freely have the choice, based on sufficient information concerning the benefits and disadvantages of the project, of whether and how these activities occur, according to their systems of customary decision making.

This VMGF establishes an appropriate gender and inter-generationally inclusive framework that provides opportunities for consultation at each stage of project preparation and implementation among the RPLRP, and other local civil society organizations (CSOs) identified by the affected Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups.

*Free and prior informed consultation of the vulnerable and marginalized communities will be conducted at each stage of the project, and particularly during project preparation, to fully identify their views and ascertain their broad community support for the project.*

### 1.5.2 Screening, Preparation and Implementation of VMGs

The steps to be undertaken for the preparation of VMGP for each sub project investment will include a screening process, to determine whether VMGs are present in, or have collective attachment to, the project area. This screening will be conducted by the environmental and social specialists within the RPLRP/Project Management Unit (PMU) in collaboration with the agencies executing the specific sub project investment under the RPLRP and if needed using consultants with expertise on the social and cultural groups in the project area. Ideally the screening for VMGs should also follow the GOK’s framework for identification of Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups (VMGs) according to the New Constitution of Kenya (CoK). However, owing to the fact that the list of groups considered to be vulnerable and marginalized by the GOK is extensive and may not necessarily meet the criteria for consideration of VMGs by the bank, during screening, the bank criteria for identification of VMGs as per OP. 4.10 will be used to make a determination instead.

If, based on the screening, it is concluded that VMGs are present in, or have collective attachment to, the project area, a social assessment will be undertaken by executing
partner agencies of the RPLRP with direct support of the environmental and social specialists in the RPLRP/PMU to evaluate the projects’ potential positive and adverse effects on the VMGs, and to examine project alternatives where adverse effects may be significant. The breadth, depth, and type of analysis in the social assessment will be proportional to the nature and scale of the proposed project’s potential effects on the VMGs, whether such effects are positive or adverse. Consultation and participation will be mandatory as part of the preparation of the VMGPs which will include engaging in free, prior, and informed consultation with the vulnerable and marginalized groups.

Preparation of a specific sub-project VMGPs will be done in accordance with the requirements of OP 4.10 and each VMGP will be submitted to the Bank for review before the respective investment is considered eligible for Bank financing under the broader project framework. Annex 3 section of this report outlines the contents of a VMGP.

The need for VMGPs will depend on the nature and scale of the sub-project impact and vulnerability of VMGs. The social assessment will identify requirements for preparing a VMGP and/or incorporation of VMGP elements in other project design documents such as resettlement plan. A VMGP would be required if VMGs are found to be distinct and vulnerable and they experience significant impacts, including (i) adverse impacts on customary rights of use and access to land and natural resources; (ii) negative effects on the socioeconomic and cultural integrity; (iii) effects on health, education, livelihood, access to the project benefits, and social security status; and (iv) other impacts that may alter or undermine indigenous knowledge and customary institutions.

The VMGPs will set out the measures whereby the executing agency (EA) will consult with VMGs and ensure that (i) affected VMGs receives culturally appropriate social and economic benefits; and (ii) when potential adverse impacts on VMGs are identified, these will be avoided to the maximum extent possible. Where this avoidance is proven to be impossible, VMGP will outline measures to minimize, mitigate, and compensate for the adverse impacts.

The level of detail and comprehensiveness of VMGP will vary depending on the specific sub-project and the nature of impacts to be addressed. If the impacts are limited to acquisition of customary land, the elements of VMGP will be combined to the RAP. If VMGs are the sole or overwhelming majority of the subproject beneficiaries, the elements of the VMGP could be integrated into the subproject design or documents such as community development program to ensure that all VMGs participate in and receive culturally appropriate benefits from the subproject. No separate VMGPs will be prepared in such cases.

1.5.3 Consultation and Stakeholder Engagement

This framework seeks to ensure that VMGs are informed, consulted, and mobilized to participate in the relevant subprojects. The MALF/PMU and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) active in the project area will undertake consultation from the very beginning and will continue till end of the project. Participation of VMGs is to be ensured in selecting, designing and implementing the subprojects. The EA will undertake prior consultations with any likely impacted VMGs and those who work with and/or are
knowledgeable of VMGs development issues and concerns. To facilitate effective participation, the EA will follow a timetable to consult VMGs at different stages of the project program cycle, especially during preparation of the civil works program. Also, the MALF/PMU will undertake a social impact assessment (SIA) to gather relevant information on demographic data; (i) social, cultural and economic situation; and (ii) social, cultural and economic impacts — positive and negative — on the indigenous communities in the relevant subproject area.

1.5.4 Grievance Redress Mechanisms

A grievance redress mechanism will be developed for addressing the grievances from the affected VMGs related to sub project implementation. The procedure of grievance redress will be incorporated in the project information pamphlet to be distributed prior to implementation. Participatory consultation with affected households will be undertaken during project planning and implementation stages.

The EA will establish a mechanism to receive and facilitate resolution of affected VMGs concerns, complaints, and grievances about the project’s safeguards performance at each subproject having VMGs impacts, with assistance from Non-Governmental Organisations (NGO). Under the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), a Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) will be formed for each sub project with involvement of VMGs representative & local stakeholders. The GRCs are to be formed and activated during the VMGP’s implementation process to allow VMGs sufficient time to lodge complaints and safeguard their recognized interests. Assistance to VMGs will be given to document and record the complaint, and if necessary, provide advocate services to address the GRC. The grievance redress mechanisms is designed with the objective of solving disputes at the earliest possible time which will be in the interest of all parties concerned and therefore implicitly discourages referring such matters to the law courts for resolution which would otherwise take a considerably longer time.

As is normal practice under customary law, attempts will be made to ensure that the traditional leaders solve all disputes in communities after a thorough investigation of the facts using the services. The traditional dispute resolution structures existing for each of the VMGs will be used as the first step in resolving grievances.

All the grievances will be channeled to the existing structures in Kenya for handling grievances beginning with the traditional institutions as the first stop before resorting to the Kenyan Courts of Law as the last resort. The EA will make the public aware of the GRM through public awareness campaigns.

Marginalized and vulnerable communities will be provided with a variety of options for communicating issues and concerns, including in writing, orally, by telephone, over the internet or through more informal methods as part of the grievance redress mechanism. In the case of marginalized groups (such as women and young people), a more proactive approach may be needed to ensure that their concerns have been identified and articulated. This will be done, for example, by providing for an independent person to meet periodically with such groups and to act as an intermediary. Where a third party mechanism is part of the procedural approach to handling complaints, one option will be to include women or youth as representatives on the body that deals with grievances. It
should be made clear that access to the mechanism is without prejudice to the complainant’s right to legal recourse. Prior to the approval of individual VMGPs, all the affected VMGs will have been informed of the process for expressing dissatisfaction and seeking redress. The grievance procedure will be simple and administered as far as possible at the local levels to facilitate access, flexibility and ensure transparency.

1.5.5 Disclosure
This VMGF and sub project VMGPs will be made available to the affected VMGs in an appropriate form, manner, and language. Before project appraisal, the RPLRP/PMU will send the social assessment and draft VMGP to the Bank for review. Once the Bank accepts the documents as providing an adequate basis for project appraisal, the Bank will make them available to the public in accordance with Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information, and the GOK will also make the documents available to the affected communities in the same manner as the earlier draft documents.

Each subproject VMGP will be disclosed to the affected VMGs with detailed information of the subproject. This will be done through public consultation and made available as brochures, leaflets, or booklets, using local languages. Summary of the VMGP will be made available in hard copies and in language at: (i) Offices of the MALF; (ii) Sub County or County Office; and (iv) any other local level public offices. Electronic versions of the framework as well as the VMGPs will be placed on the official website of MALF and the official website of Bank after approval and endorsement of the VMGF and each VMGP by the Bank.

1.5.6 Capacity Building and Training
Effective implementation of the Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework will require that adequate capacity enhancement within institutions and other stakeholders are undertaken including the VMGs especially in regard to monitoring and evaluation. There is need for capacity building through training to be conducted by RPLRP/PMU and the executing agencies of the RPLRP. In the initial preparatory stages the use of the environmental and social safeguard specialists within the RPLRP/PMU to prepare VMGPs working in collaboration with the staff from the executing agencies to learn on the job through pairing is recommended.

1.5.7 Monitoring and Evaluation
The implementation of VMGPs will be monitored. RPLRP/PMU and the executing agency implementing specific sub project investments will establish a monitoring system involving RPLRP/PMU staff, partner implementing agencies, local governments, and VMGs to ensure effective implementation of VMGP. A set of monitoring indicators will be determined during VMGP implementation and will be guided by the indicators contained in the document (see table 3 section 7). The RPLRP/PMU support consultants will carry out monitoring. Appropriate monitoring formats will be prepared for monitoring and reporting requirements.

For subproject with significant adverse impacts on VMGPs, external experts or NGOs will be engaged by the EA to verify monitoring information of the VMGP for such sub projects. The NGOs will collect baseline data including qualitative information and analyze the same to assess the impacts of the project on indigenous people. The external experts will advise on compliance issues and if any significant issues are found, the EA
will prepare a corrective action plan or an update to the approved VMGP. The EA requires implementing the corrective actions and following up these actions to ensure their effectiveness.

Several key indicators and topics for monitoring and evaluation of VMGP are (i) process of consultation activities; (ii) appropriateness of affected assets valuation and compensation cultural, political and economic status of VMGPs in comparison with pre-project condition; (v) status of VMGs as identified in the SA; (vi) any disadvantaged conditions to VMGs that was not anticipated during the preparation of VMGPs, that required corrective actions; and (vii) grievance redress issues.

The EA will collect required data/information and regularly analyze project outputs and impacts considering impact on VMGs, and semi-annually report the results to the Bank.

1.5.8 Annual Reporting and Performance Review Requirements
Annual progress reports will be prepared by each RPLRP/PMU and the preparation of the progress reports will be supported by the environmental and social safeguards specialists in the PMU. These reports will be submitted to the PMU, which will thereafter submit them to the Bank.

1.5.9 Budget
All costs for implementation of VMGP will be financed by the RPLRP. The costs will be estimated during feasibility based on interviews with community members and relevant government officials. This will be updated after the detailed survey and investigation as well as further consultations with VMGs.

The budget for the implementation of the VMGP will mainly include costs for skills development & self-employment training of the VMGs, consultation/meetings, information dissemination, NGO/Agency hiring for VMGP implementation & monitoring, GRM etc. Once the subproject has been appraised and finalized in the context of the VMGF, the required budget is to be allocated by the EA for proper implementation of the VMGP. The VMGPs budget will also include costs for implementation of VMGPs, such as salaries and travel costs of the relevant RPLRP/PMU staff. In summary there should be adequate budgetary provisions to implement any VMGP where necessary for the subproject development.
2 INTRODUCTION

Chapter 1 of this VMGF highlights and describes the Regional Pastoral Livelihoods Resilience Project (RPLRP) outlining the project development objectives, justification for the proposed project including the different components and activities.

Project Description.

2.1.1 Country and sector context/ Project Concept

The Kenya Vision 2030: This is the overarching national development master plan that aims to move all Kenyans towards the future as one nation that respects and harnesses the diversity of its peoples’ values, traditions, and aspirations for the benefit of all. It reaffirms the Government’s commitment to addressing the particular priorities of Kenyans living in arid and semi-arid lands.

Agriculture (comprising livestock, fisheries and crops, and related activities) remains a key sector in Kenya’s economy. Agriculture is the mainstay of the Kenyan economy, directly contributing about 24% of the annual Gross Domestic Product (GDP), 65% of total exports and provides more than 60% of informal employment in the rural areas. Agriculture in Kenya, therefore, offers considerable options for economic growth and rural poverty reduction.\(^3\)

Livestock contributes more than 47% of the agriculture GDP, and 12% to the national GDP in Kenya. The livestock sector in Kenya employs about 50% of the agricultural workforce and about 90% of the Arid and Semi-Arid Lands (ASALs) workforce. The ASALs occupy 89% of the of the Kenyan landmass of which 70% is arid (Northern Kenya) and 19% semi-arid lands dispersed all over the country. The ASALs are home to about 14 million people (of whom 4 million are pastoralists\(^4\)). Approximately 95% of ASAL households derive their income from the livestock subsector where 70% of livestock is produced.

The number of smallholder farmers and small-herders who are unable to sustain a living from agriculture is however increasing and yet, with concerted efforts these groups could benefit greatly from the country’s long experience in collective actions through cooperatives and producer associations as witnessed in the dairy and horticulture sub-sectors. The under-exploited potential of rangelands and fisheries subsectors could also offer the residents in the ASALs sustainable alternative livelihood options.

The ASALs have the lowest development indicators and the highest incidence of poverty in the country, partly because of past government policies put into effect following the Sessional Paper No. 10 of 1965 which advocated giving less priority to investing in less developed Provinces.\(^5\) For many years this statement guided the direction of Government resources, with the result that the social and physical infrastructure of the arid districts

---

3 A Kenya CPP - A framework to End Drought Emergencies in the HoA, October 2012
4 Kirbride and Grahn 2008
5 ibid Government of Kenya Sessional Paper No 2 for 2008,
was neglected. Many analysts also argued that the region’s main livelihood strategy, pastoralism, was irrational and environmentally destructive, and that the ASALs contributed little to the national economy.

The most obvious implication of the worsening situation and vulnerability of ASALs in Kenya were demonstrated during the severe droughts that afflicted Kenya and other IGAD countries between 1981 and 2011. The negative impact of the droughts was particularly evident among the pastoralist who lost major parts of their livestock, and in the increasing number of people receiving food aid\(^6\). For example the number people who received food aid as a proportion of the total affected by drought increased from 60% in 2006 to 88 % in 2010. The livestock subsector sustained significant losses as a result of the drought between 2008 and 2011 which was estimated at approximately Ksh 699,336 billion with Ksh 56,142 billion in damages and Ksh 643,194 billion in losses in income flows\(^7\).

2.1.2 Pastoral Livelihoods Resilience Issue and Challenges in ASALS

Due to the drought in 2011 and high cost of farm inputs, the agriculture sector recorded a low growth of 1.5 per cent. The severe contraction of production in (ASALs) was the major contributory factor to the recorded reduction of national agricultural growth. In these areas, crop and livestock production declined significantly. There were also significant losses in livestock breeding herds and other assets that may reduce the productive capacity of these areas for years to come, if no outside intervention is taken to restore productive capacity quickly. In addition to the drought, crop and livestock farming was also adversely affected by fuel prices that has resulted to high inputs and transport costs during 2011/2012.

Over the past few decades, the ASALs have witnessed several transformations that impacted on the livelihoods of the pastoralists. Migration of the rural communities from the congested high-rainfall areas to the fragile low rainfall areas has contributed to change of land-use to non-pastoral activities such as cropping in grazing lands not well suited for that region. Also, large pastoral grazing areas have been converted to settlements, removed from communal use to conservation. The pastoral grazing areas have also been targeted by large government schemes such as Turkwell Electricity project and the Olkaria Geothermal project thereby reducing accessible communal grazing. In addition, records indicate that droughts in the arid and semi-arid parts of Kenya have become longer and more frequent. Over the past 8 years (2005–2012) Kenya has experienced four episodes of severe drought (2004/05, 2005/06, 2008/09, and 2010/11).

The negative impacts of shrinking pastoral grazing areas and drought manifest itself in accelerated ecological deterioration. This is characterized by increasing loss of vegetation cover, land degradation through soil erosion, fragmentation and deterioration of water sources. These has led to scarcity of livestock feed and water resources. The limited, overgrazed and degraded rangelands hamper herd growth, leading to reduction of stock numbers below economical thresholds, consequently driving many pastoralists to

\(^{6}\) Government of Kenya Sessional Paper No 2 for 2008,
perpetual food insecurity. Increased competition for scarce grazing and water resources often leads to inter-communal conflicts, insecurity, limited access to markets and other basic services.

Drought forces livestock owners to sell animals facing imminent death at low sale prices, thus leading to depressed purchasing capacity of pastoralists (as food prices increase) increasing their vulnerability to starvation. Deteriorating livestock health, low crop yields, and rising food prices exacerbate food insecurity. In spite of the above prevents and transformations, pastoralism remains the most viable economic activity for most of the people of the ASAL region.

Besides pastoralism, the climatic and cultural diversity of the vast arid and semi-arid areas offers significant economic and livelihood opportunities that is hitherto untapped or underexploited. The ASALs are a landscape rich in biodiversity, both fauna and flora, there are untapped underground water resources as well as stretches of land that could be used for food and animal feed production. These vast resources and opportunities, if properly managed and exploited in the presence of reliable infrastructure and social services, could offer sustainable and prosperous livelihoods for the inhabitants and eventually spur significant economic growth for the whole nation.

Experience shows that livestock market access is an invaluable part of the livelihood of the pastoralist and agro-pastoralists. Markets inject money into the local economy on each market day even in times of stress. This money supports financial access to food. Livestock markets therefore serve as drivers of rural economies, in pastoral areas. So if markets collapse it will result in the collapse of the local economy.

The RPLRP will address the concerns identified above which are the degradation of the rangeland, scarcity of livestock forage and water, access to market and trade, exploitation of alternative opportunities to ensure the livelihood of the ASAL communities is secure.

Implementation of natural resource management component aims at having prevailed access, management and utilization of shared resources. Development of well-planned water resources, with appropriate infrastructure and trained management capacities of local communities will ensure prevailed access, promote equity, ensure sustainable use and reduce user rights and access conflict. Water is recognized as a key constraint in ASAL that determines migratory nature of pastoralists. Migrations due to water scarcity are closely associated with livestock deaths, notifiable disease outbreaks, and increased incidence of waterborne human infections. Re-vegetation of degraded areas with grass and trees will be done to ensure that land regain its productivity. Strategic feed reserves (hay stores) will be constructed to store surplus, the reserves will be used as emergency feeds to reduce livestock mortalities in subsequent droughts. These activities will improve water and pasture availability in quantity and quality hence reducing resource based conflict and promoting resilience of the pastoral communities during dry seasons.

Protecting the pastoral economy from collapsing due to market failure in essence is building the resilience of the pastoralists. Activities under market access will ensure all year-round access to markets both local and regional by facilitating the physical markets and attendant infrastructure to remain functional. In addition the markets and trade access
component proposes measures that will prevent collapse of livestock prices to ensure the target communities are not disadvantaged in the terms of trade with other food and consumer items. The component will also address measures to improve the ice accruing to livestock and livestock products marketed by the target communities.

Enhanced health of livestock will contribute to reduction of morbidity and mortality. This will result in increased livestock off-take and increased incomes. Breeding will result in animals that are more productive under the ASAL conditions. Drought tolerant crops will contribute to food security and crop byproducts will be utilized for animal feeding hence increasing availability of feed. Surplus pastures during the rainy seasons will be conserved for utilization during dry spells. This will ensure the productivity of livestock is not adversely affected. The irrigation schemes will further contribute to fodder and food availability for animal left in the homesteads when others migrate. Support will be provided to pastoralists who have lost their animals to start income generating activities.

Under the Pastoral Risk Management (PRM) component, the project will build the capacity of target communities and their service providers to anticipate and monitor threats through early warning systems and respond appropriately. The anticipated state of preparedness will enhance the resilience of the community to shocks.

2.1.3 Proposed Development Objectives (PDOs)
The Project Development Objective (PDO) is to enhance livelihoods resilience of pastoral and agro-pastoral communities in drought prone areas through regional approaches. The project will be implemented in 14 Counties which are Lamu, Isiolo, Laikipia, Mandera, Marsabit, West Pokot, Turkana, Tana River, Garissa, Baringo, Samburu, Narok and Wajir which have cross border activities and trans-boundary stock routes linking pastoral communities on either side of the borders.

2.1.4 Objectives of the Project
The overall development objective of the RPLRP is to develop and implement regional approaches that enhance livelihoods resilience of pastoral and agro-pastoral communities in the drought prone areas of the ASALs of Kenya and its neighboring countries including Ethiopia and Uganda.

The project will contribute to the agreed response of Kenya along with other IGAD member states to address the regional priorities developed through the Country Programs Papers (CPP) in response to the IGAD drought disaster resilience and sustainability initiative (IDDRSI) in the Horn of Africa

The aims of the project include:
1. Mitigating droughts impact at the national level by introducing regional interventions in complement to the existing national initiatives such as Kenya Government Sessional Paper No 2 of 2008 on National Livestock Policy, and the Kenya Vision 2030.
2. Building capacities in Kenya for pastoral and agro-pastoral communities from ASALs that will increase resilience to medium and long-term climate related vulnerabilities with a focus on livestock related livelihoods;
3. Building and strengthening linkages with Ethiopia and Ugandan Governments to better tackle issues that affect communities in the ASALs.

Specific objectives under the components

1. The specific objective of the Natural Resource Management Component is to contribute to the development of a framework for the management and utilization of shared pastoral and agro-pastoral resources within the context of social stability and conflict prevention and, management. The outcome of this is to increase availability and equitable access to sustainably managed land, pasture and livestock water resources for cross border livestock and pastoralist movement.

2. The objectives of the Marketing Access and Trade Component is to harmonize trade policies regulatory framework to promote formal regional/cross border trade; streamline livestock movement requirements at border control points; address the constraints of cross-border trade services including infrastructure, certification, information, human capacity development and value chain development. The intended outcome of this component is improved access to markets and trade.

3. In the Livelihoods Support Component, the objective is to develop resilient livestock livelihoods and other supporting diversified livelihoods. This will result in increased adaptive capacities of households in drought prone communities.

4. The Pastoral Risk Management Component objective is to establish national infrastructure and capacity for implementing harmonious and effective early warning and response systems for management of droughts and other disasters. This will result in enhanced disaster (especially drought) preparedness, prevention, management and response.

5. The Project Management objective is to have a harmonized project management within the implementing counties in the country and between the implementing countries. The outcome of this will be effective and efficient utilization of resources for the drought resilience project in the target areas

2.2 Project Beneficiaries and Targeting Approach

The project direct beneficiaries estimated at 92,290\(^8\) are the pastoral and agro-pastoral communities susceptible to climate uncertainties and recurrent droughts. The beneficiaries will be targeted based on informed processes and innovative tools (e.g. PAPOLD, CMDRR, applying maps, GIS, remote sensing and ICT tools and resources) of defining the biophysical areas that have direct or indirect impact on the ASALs communities and ecosystems e.g. country, national and regional boundaries, trans-boundary water and land resources, trans-boundary animal movement and livestock trade areas, conflict areas and hotspots.

\(^8\) The population has been estimated from the proportion of the project investment (KES 6 billion) relative to the requirement in the Kenya CPP (226 billion) which is multiplied by the proportion of people affected by major drought hazards (3.5 million)
2.3 Regional Pastoral Livelihoods Resilience Project (RPLRP)

2.3.1 Component 1: Natural Water Resources Management

At the national and sub-regional levels, this component will support the mapping of major natural resources (water resources, rangelands), their full embedment in the policy design/review process and a better planning of interventions related to them. It will rehabilitate natural resources that are crucial for livestock productivity and resilience to droughts, such as major water points and pasture. The activities will empower communities in sustainable natural resources management by introducing collective rangeland management systems. This component focuses on:

1. New water facilities to be constructed
2. Water facilities to be rehabilitated
3. Water sheds for the existing shared water facilities to be rehabilitated/developed
4. Pastoral and Agro-pastoral rangelands/field demonstration schools to established

Sub-component 1.1: Water Resources Development

The subcomponent will be implemented through the following outputs:

Available regional/national mapping of water resources and issues users (including for investments) refined and disseminated

The project will refine, improve, assimilate and disseminate information of water resource from the existing maps and databases of the selected project areas. IGAD will coordinate the development of the legal framework as well as provide a platform for sharing the data and maps. They will facilitate the improvement of the maps resolution. At the national activities will include;

- Develop legal framework for data and map sharing
- Carry out water resources, water use/users profiling. This will be achieved by: study availed data/maps on location of water resources, function of the resource and quality of water; Ground truthing and; Update profiles of water resources and access facilities (data collection, analysis, documentation, storage and production

The infrastructures for water access resources developed and rehabilitated

The project will develop surface water through appropriate community-owned water harvesting structures while harnessing ground water based on social and environmental sustainability criteria. IGAD will convene meeting to coordinate the siting of the water resource facilities. The following activities will be undertaken to achieve this output:

1. Identify and design water resources access facilities. This involves carrying out feasibility studies on identified water resources access facilities and survey and design water resources access facilities;
2. Rehabilitate water resource access facilities-water pans, earth dams and boreholes
3. Construct new water resource facilities- water pans, earth dams, rock catchment and boreholes.
The ministry of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, which possess the necessary technical knowhow and have the mandate will be engaged in the process and to evaluate

**The stakeholders’ capacities to manage the shared water resources through knowledge dissemination and sharing are strengthened**

To achieve the output IGAD will support the setting up of integrated information system and support regional workshops to share best practices. At the country level activities to achieve this will include;

Set up integrated information system;

1. Build capacity for water user association. Where there none existence the project will establish water resources user committees for shared water resources; train on water management skills; hold workshops to share knowledge on best practices on water resources management at regional, country and county levels
2. Build capacity for staff. The staff will be trained on operation and maintenance of water facilities; sponsor relevant short courses and exchange visit to enhance their capacities.

**Sub-component 1.2: Sustainable Land Management**

The subcomponent will be implemented through following outputs:

**Available regional/national mapping of land resources and use related issues refined and disseminated**

To effectively manage the shared rangelands ecosystems (e.g. seasonal grazing areas, degraded pasture resource areas and forest cover). IGAD will coordinate the development of the legal framework as well as provide a platform for sharing the data and maps. They will facilitate the improvement of the maps resolution At the national activities will include; Refine, improve, assimilate and disseminate information existing in maps and databases in the project areas, use the information to target intervention points. Concentration will be mainly in areas adjacent to borders and along key regional livestock corridors.

1. Promote best practices for rangeland resources through: carry out needs assessment to identify gaps; hold knowledge sharing workshops to share best practices at county, national and regional levels; support exchanges visits and study tours.

**Rangeland ecosystems with trans-boundary implications including for Livestock movements are rehabilitated**

IGAD will convene meeting to coordinate the choice of intervention points. At the national level The following activities will be undertaken to achieve this output: Rehabilitate degraded areas through bush control, seed bulking, extensive reseeding and soil conservation; conservation of pasture seeds and forage will be promoted

**Policies at regional level on rangeland management are harmonized.**

---

10. Example, Rangeland NDVI, Fewsnet, ICPAC, MET, RCMRD
These will be achieved through the following activities: IGAD will convene joint meetings for the member state to review and harmonize policies on rangeland management. While at the national level the activities will include:

1. Collect, Identify gaps, review and harmonize relevant policies; disseminate the policies
2. Disseminate the AU land policy
3. Carry out a study on effectiveness of implementation of policy regulation

Sub-component 1.3: Securing Access to Natural Resources in the ASALs and border countries

The subcomponent will be achieved through the implementation of the following outputs:

**Conflict prevention, management and resolution strategies and approaches are harmonised**

To realize this output, the following activities will be undertaken: IGAD will facilitate setting up platform for information sharing; they will also coordinate the development of a legal framework for information sharing

1. Form cross-boundary resilience platform; identify conflict hotspots and drivers; sensitize relevant stakeholders on the identified causes and mitigation options;
2. Conflict resolution by: Set up a platform for accessing, disseminating and sharing information Facilitate repeated joint meetings between communities to foster unity; Support joint activities; Train local government officials and security officials to enforce agreements; Facilitate signing of the shared agreement; facilitate exposure visits of community members

**Policies at regional and national level on rangeland management including those on secured access to NR are harmonized and supported**

To achieve the above the project will undertake the following activities; IGAD will facilitate and coordinate meetings to review and harmonize policies related to secure access to natural resources. At the national level activities will include:

1. Support harmonization of decentralization policies and land use policies; hold regional and local policy consultations and harmonization meeting; support production and dissemination of the policies. A consultant will be engaged to drive the process.
2. Strengthen traditional institution for implementation of policies by; mobilize community leaders; facilitate meeting that build on traditional conflict management; facilitate formal recognition of the institutions; facilitate the community to carry peace campaigns
3. Operationalize existing policies through; Civic education, create awareness and network at county and regional level; Support formal cross border peace building negotiations and information sharing meetings.
2.3.2 Component 2: Market Access and Trade

This component will support market infrastructure with a focus on those that support regional trade and export. The objectives are both to facilitate intra-regional trade of animals and animal products. It will build the capacity in the national veterinary services, as well as promotion of increased collaboration among countries. It will improve the surveillance and control systems of trans-boundary animal diseases that negatively impact trade. The component will finally assist the three countries and IGAD in: (i) harmonizing their animal health and food safety standards as part of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Standards in compliance with international ones (set up by the World Organization for Animal Health – OIE and Codex Alimentarius); (ii) strengthening the national and regional market information systems; and (iii) establishing and improving regionally recognized animal identification and traceability systems. Key subcomponent activities include:

1. Construction/rehabilitation of Livestock Markets
2. Construction/rehabilitation of Border Check Points
3. Construction/rehabilitation of Laboratories
4. Construction/rehabilitation of Slaughter Facilities
5. Construction/rehabilitation of Holding/Auction Grounds to be rehabilitated/
6. Trading routes to be demarcated
7. Grazing and strategic livestock feed reserves to be demarcation
8. Watering points to be demarcated

Sub-Component 2.1: Marketing Infrastructure and Information Systems

This sub-component will facilitate access to markets and trade through the provision of physical infrastructure and marketing information to livestock market participants.

2.1.1: Livestock Marketing Infrastructure improved

Physical Markets are generally underdeveloped and poorly integrated locally and regionally. In several instances, poorly supported cross-border trade and mobility are major causes of health and security hazards. IGAD will Coordinate Mapping and gap analysis of cross-border market infrastructure to ascertain the adequacy of:

Holding grounds for animals to rest and recuperate after long period of trekking before being sold. The holding grounds services will include access to water and animal feed, livestock products processing facilities, testing and certification facilities and quarantine facilities.

Infrastructure improvement is expected to facilitate access to markets and trade through the improved physical infrastructure facilities such as, (i) construction of five sanitary slaughtering facilities in selected towns; (ii) the development of four and rehabilitation of 6 holding and auction yards/grounds in marketing towns and border trading points with Uganda and Ethiopia and providing veterinary services at these places, including inspection and certification services; and (iii) demarcating cross-border trading routes and providing services (watering and animal health) along these routes

1. There specific objectives will be achieved through the following priority activities:
2. Mapping of existing and required infrastructure and harmonize the need for coordinated infrastructure in the region, and;
3. Identification of needs, renovation/construction of infrastructures and installing management models.

2.1.2 Establishment of a Market Information System
Limited access to market information (prices, sources, demands and objective standards for selling and buying animals, etc.) is a real constraint marketing livestock in the ASALs. Improving the information flows to local producers will be an important undertaking to improve market access. There are several market information systems including the voluntary widely adopted use of mobile phone systems. However these systems lack approaches that assure clear and harmonized definition of data, access to reliable data and innovative ways of information dissemination and feeding systems.

The project will provide marketing information both nationally (Kenya) and at IGAD level to enhance informed decision-making. IGAD will develop regional market information platform that will enable the region to share marketing information. National priority activities include:

1. Upgrade and integrate the National Livestock Marketing Information System with the regional market information systems
2. Development of mechanisms for dissemination of the information through electronic, print and verbal media.
3. Capacity building of pastoral populations and relevant stakeholders on marketing information utilization and other aspects of livestock marketing and trade (auction system, grading and certification, taxation, and legal procedures for export).

Sub component 2.2: Livestock Marketing support and Value Chain Development
The transfer of animals from the farm gates to the terminal markets is the major value added activity along the value chain of the pastoral and agro-pastoral livestock systems. Livestock marketing channels are segmented into domestic and cross-border sections. Most of the producers market small quantities of livestock commodities and this happens seasonally. The phenomena become a barrier to their participation in domestic as well as the cross-border segments of the livestock markets.

Furthermore, while all traders (small, medium and large-scale) participate in the domestic segment of the marketing chain, only large-scale traders get involved in the export segment due to the huge initial capital investment In addition, there is very little presence of processors in the marketing channels particularly the cross-border segment.

This project will address the value chain barriers through the following priority activities:

2.2.1: Model Cooperatives
The project will develop model cooperatives capable of strengthening the sale and marketing power of the small herding communities by selling to the producer association for onward sale to exporters and processors. To achieve this output the following activities will be undertaken:
1. Develop Organizations’ model for coordinating sales of livestock to major livestock buyers. Innovative approaches such as the experience of CARE in Marsabit where community bank approaches were set to link the producers with the market systems.
2. Build the capacity pastoralists on cooperative and contract marketing with other market actors (Major abattoirs and exporters) and sensitize producers on benefits of collective actions through cooperatives and producer associations.

2.2.2 Value Chain Development
Cross border Livestock Marketing Channels will be analyzed to isolate channels with good prospects for value chain development, and for the promotion of high value livestock commodities such as the “green livestock”. IGAD will Commission a study on gap-analysis of regional value chains that would provide high impact on the livelihood of pastoralists. In addition, IGAD will develop a training programme for member states on cross-border value chains.

The following national activities will then be undertaken to achieve this output:
1. Conduct livestock market research using value chain approach
2. Develop value chains of selected cross border livestock products including specialty livestock products (Organic/green meat)
3. Build capacities of market actors on cross-border value chains

2.2.3. Protection of pastoral assets
Livestock is both the principal asset and source of income for the vast majority of ASAL residents. Drought is the single greatest cause asset loses through body condition loss and livestock mortality. This imposes considerable economic and welfare costs on pastoralists.

Conversion of livestock assets into other asset forms and sustainable insurance can mitigate this risk and shock. This will support the food security strategy of the region by sensitizing communities to sell livestock and use the money received to purchase cereals/food at the most favorable terms of trade between livestock and cereals, and store cereals/food. The following activities will be implemented:
1. Capacity building of pastoralists on alternative asset holding
2. Scaling up of grain storage and warehouse receipt systems
3. Popularizing and scaling up of the index based livestock insurance schemes

2.2.4 Financial systems to support livestock trade
Considering that lack of access to credit has been identified as major barrier to entry in livestock marketing, and particularly international trade, efforts will be put in place to provide a revolving fund where producers and traders can access financial capital. Support financial service provision to small business particularly for women and young people will go a long way in enhancing resilience. The project will:

1. Review existing financial access schemes and products to pastoralists and livestock farmers and support improvement/up scaling of systems where relevant.
2. Improve access to financial products and services (banking and insurance) to low-income urban and rural communities, through micro-finance and micro-insurance initiatives.

Sub-component 2.3: Improving Livestock Mobility and Trade in Livestock and Livestock Product
The anticipated outcome of this subcomponent will be achieved through the implementation of the following output

2.3.1: Trade policies and Regulatory framework of the Governments and Region improved

IGAD will coordinate harmonization of regional trade policies for the member states to trade with each other and with the outside world. Then the country will achieve this output through the following activities:

1. Review, ratification and implementation of regional trade policies and protocols.
2. Review of national policies and regulations and aligning them with the regional policies and protocols
3. Making the policy, legal and regulatory requirements accessible by livestock marketing and trade participants.
4. Capacity building of relevant government officials and private sector people working in the livestock trade on basic legal and regulatory requirements

2.3.2: Cross border food safety control of livestock products Improved

Uncontrolled cross border movement of livestock is a major barrier to trade in the region, which could be overcome by harmonized legislation, regulation testing and certification systems condoned by the countries in the region. In addition certification is an important means of collection of valuable information to consumers about product quality and safety. (e.g. food safety).

This output will be achieved by undertaking the following activities through the application and scaling up the available innovations and practices such as Digital Pen and Animal Identification and Traceability systems.

IGAD will Coordinate Setting and harmonizing cross-border trade SPS, and other trade-facilitating tools. It will also coordinate regional diseases surveillance and laboratory networks. In addition, IGAD, will coordinate development of harmonized livestock identification, certification and traceability system

1. Implementation of the harmonized cross-border trade SPS, and other trade-facilitating tools
2. Upgrading of veterinary laboratory services through provision of reagents, equipment and training
3. Implementation of harmonized animal identification system and traceability

2.3.3 Component 3: Livelihoods Support

This component will address trans-boundary issues related to improved livestock productivity (fodder/feed production, animal health and breed improvement) and
diversification (processing, non-livestock products, etc.). This component will disseminate across participating countries existing and tested approaches and/or technologies developed through research for the dry lands. The component will be implemented under three key sub component namely; i) Livestock production and Health, ii) Food and Feed Production and productivity, iii) Livelihood diversification. The component activities include:

1. Construction of Communal demonstration permanent crushes (galvanized iron)
2. Construction of Crushes
3. Pasture improvement (degrade range rehabilitation/ reseeding, pasture seeds scheme)
4. Training and demonstration for households in different income generation activities
5. Support appropriate alternative income generating enterprises for households
6. Field demonstration plots to be established
7. Storage Facilities

**Sub-component 3.1: Livestock Production and Health**
The sub-component will be implemented through the following outputs:

3.1.1 Disease and vector surveillance and control services strengthened and harmonized at national and regional levels
IGAD will convene meetings for harmonisation of regional policies (AU policy and IGAD-LPI) and disease control protocols, vaccination programmes. IGAD will support research in camel diseases

Under this sub component significant investment will be made on the national activities including:
1. Aligning national policies with regional policies (AU policy, IGAD-LPI) and cascade to the county governments; developing and strengthening regional disease-surveillance (through work with FAO to scale up digital pen technology) to monitor disease prevalence and spread;
2. Synchronized regional vaccination campaigns for identified major diseases such as FMD, CBPP, CCPP and PPR in targeted areas;
3. Vector and pest control will be carried out through spraying, spot on or pour on, traps and targets
4. Identify research institutions to collaborate in the camel diseases research

3.1.2 Capacity of the pastoral Community to access sustainable Animal Health services enhanced
IGAD will set up platform for information sharing on best practices, innovation on animal production and health delivery services
At the national level the following activities will be supported; (i) building the capacity of the pastoral communities and animal health service providers to identify and report occurrence of diseases; (ii) develop partnerships between the community, animal health service providers and other stakeholders in order to facilitate provision of inputs and services within the counties;
3.1.3 Indigenous Livestock Breeds Conserved
The ASAL areas are home to diverse and adapted livestock breeds, which can be used as foundation stock for breed improvement programs. The diverse breeds occupy different ecosystems and can be used to enhance resilience of pastoral communities

At the regional level IGAD will support harmonisation of breeding strategies for the countries. At the National level the program will establish four sub-regional breeding centres (SBC) to provide superior breeding material to individual pastoralists and the community breeding programmes;

1. Develop community based livestock breeding programmes (CBLBP) for cattle, camels, sheep and goats while incorporating indigenous knowledge;
2. Strengthen national focal point to develop breeding strategy; support breeder organization to enhance data collection and maintain breed standards
3. Build capacity of communities for improved productivity using platforms such as pastoral/farmer field days, schools (FFS/FPS) and farmer-farmer exchanges.

Sub-component 3.2: Food and Feed Production
The sub-component will be implemented at the national level through uptake of technologies and best practices for up scaling to achieve the following outputs:

3.2.1. Availability and utilization of drought tolerant fodder
1. Drought tolerant fodder offers an opportunity for communities to build reserves to combat drought. The sub-component will support the following activities:
2. Promote production, bulking and conservation of drought tolerant fodder for livestock feeding in the agro-pastoral areas;
3. Support development of a food security reporting system to assess the vulnerability of the communities; and
4. Construct model hay sheds and provide harvesting equipment at strategic locations.

3.2.2. Investments in irrigation and irrigated agriculture enhanced
The following activities will be implemented:
- Enhancing irrigation technologies along permanent water sources in the pastoral areas for the cultivation of fodder crops to reduce vulnerability of communities especially during prolonged dry spells;
- Support distribution of certified fodder crop seeds and other inputs to improve productivity, and
- Establishment and capacity building of irrigation water users associations (IWUA) to manage and ensure sustainability of the irrigation schemes.

Sub-component 3.3: Livelihood Diversification
Viable value chains on pastoral and agro-pastoral alternative livelihoods promoted (e.g. hive products, Gums & Resins, Poultry, Medicinal plants, Fodder and Fish).
Under this component IGAD would facilitate the member states to carry out market survey and identify international markets for identified products under this component.

The following national priority activities will be undertaken to achieve this output
• Value chain analysis of identified possible alternative livelihood sources (e.g. Honey, Gums and Resins, Poultry, Medicinal plants, fodder and fish) will be carried out in order to minimize inefficiencies/losses thereby maximizing returns for the different players involved.

• Capacity building of communities on improved production using platforms such as pastoral/farmer field days, schools (PFS/FFS) and farmer-farmer exchanges,

• Support cottage industries for selected enterprises to improve market access; develop training programs for communities on group dynamics and financial management, and promote linkages to existing micro-financing institutions

• Support capacity building on wildlife conservancy, livestock tourism and eco-tourism.

2.3.4 Component 4: Pastoral Risk Management

This component will strengthen existing national early-warning and response systems in the project districts and link them with a sub-regional under the IGAD platform. It is aimed at helping pastoralists build resilience to drought and other climatic shocks. The activities will harmonize the response to disasters in communities and other stakeholders, including public institutions in charge of drought management, at the sub regional level. This will be done by organizing joint sub-regional training and disseminating recognized tools for drought response such as the “Livestock Emergency Guidelines and Standards”. The activities will also support conflict management with particular focus on cross-border issues, as livestock and access to natural resources (water and pasture), which are the major drivers behind conflicts and security in the pastoral areas. Key subcomponent activities include:

1. Support conflict management with particular focus on cross-border issues, as livestock and access to natural resources (water and pasture), which are the major drivers behind conflicts and security in the pastoral areas.

2. Readily avail, timely disseminate, and understood Early warning information

3. Harmonized and strengthen Drought Resilience Mechanism policies

4. Institutionalized effective responses to early warnings

Sub-Component 4.1: Pastoral Risk Early Warning and Response Systems

This subcomponent will aim at strengthening and harmonizing the EW and response systems at the national and regional levels through two out puts. The sub-component will involve institutions that have capacity in early warning on forage supply, water supply, livestock market prices/volume, livestock movement, disease control, conflict resolution and terms of trade – cereal prices. The institutions include technical departments such as Livestock, Agriculture and Meteorology, East African early warning system (www.glews.tamu.edu), National Livestock Marketing Information Center Kenya (www.lmiske.net), waterhole monitoring for East Africa with NASA data (www.watermon.tamu.edu), IGAD Climate Prediction and Application Centre (www.icpac.igad.org) and our own National Drought Management Authority.

4.1.1 Early Warning Systems are strengthened and harmonized in the region; and capacities are built / developed to turn early warning into action

Strengthening and harmonizing early warning in the region, and capacity building to turn early warning into action. IGAD will coordinate, organize and facilitate capacity
building (workshops, study tours, trainings etc.) for national experts and senior officials to enable knowledge and experience sharing to identify best practices, and develop a harmonized methodology for risk profiling at regional level and train member states in the use of the methodology, establish and operationalize a regional EWR platform to collect and analyze information for dissemination to relevant national authorities to guide action, establish and operationalize a regional EWR platform to collect and analyze information for dissemination to relevant national authorities to guide action. Interventions in national Early warning systems will be achieved through the implementation of the following activities:

- Expand sentinel sites to cover 60% of Kenya
- Establish National Rapid Response Systems, livestock-insurance schemes/pilot projects for adoption in the region. Support and incorporate traditional EW information and coping systems and integrate with the conventional EWS.
- Best practices and interventions acquired from the regional capacity building will then be domesticated in the project area. Such innovations include financial risk transfers mechanisms (weather based Insurance) that have already been piloted and may need scaling up.
- Undertake national risk profiling e.g. natural hazards vulnerability, climate, and institutional capacities and disseminated at all levels. IGAD to consolidate a regional risk profile.
- Dissemination of EW information through traditional vernacular media, print media and website with the ultimate aim being linkage of early warning to action. Link national platform to Regional EW platform.

**4.1.2 Building capacity of communities to develop Contingency plans**

Developing and operationalization of contingency plans will be achieved through; IGAD to organise regional training of trainers in formulation of contingency plans and develop guidelines, training modules and capacity build trainers for contingency planning, assess existing national structures’ response capacity and build where gaps exist.

- Strengthening contingency planning capacities of communities and stakeholders by training different levels of implementers and beneficiaries at national level in contingency planning
- Formulation and dissemination of contingency plans at all levels.
- The contingency plans will be submitted to IGAD for consideration and compilation of regional contingency plans.
- Internally assess existing national structures’ response capacity
- The project will Support food security assessments and development of a livestock food commodity balance sheet.

**Subcomponent 4.2: Disaster Risk Management (DRM)**

The objective of the DRM is to operationalize effective disaster risks management policies and avail contingency funds. Three outputs are expected to be achieved namely:

---

11 Systems and capacities for effective response
4.2.1 Ex-ante risk reduction measures for effective disaster management developed and implemented

This will entail supporting the following activities:

- IGAD undertaking training of trainers (TOT) on DDRM-related key guidelines/standards such as Livestock Emergency Guidelines and Standards (LEGS)
- As part of the Regional-national activities, this will entail supporting the following activities:
  - TOTs will cascade the trainings at national levels.
  - Upscale disaster preparedness and mitigation measures through adopting research and innovations at all levels as well as developing human capacity (education – institutionalization of Livestock Emergency Guidelines and Standards (LEGS) and Community Managed Disaster Risk Reduction (CMDRR). This will help focus interventions to early warning.
  - Awareness creation of disaster risk management options among policy makers

4.2.2 DRM policies are harmonized, operationalized, mainstreamed and institutionalized at the central and line ministry levels

- To harmonize, operationalize, mainstream and institutionalize DRM policies, the following activities will be undertaken;
- Participate in regional workshops organized by IGAD for knowledge and experience sharing on DRM policies and harmonize where possible.
- Harmonizing DRM policy at the national and county.
- Mainstream DDRM policies and strategies into all line ministries and institutions

4.2.3 Contingency Funds (regional & national) created and operationalized

- Create mechanisms (fiduciary and administrative capacities) for receiving and disbursing contingency funds. IGAD to building countries capacities to access the IGAD regional DRM contingency fund (guidelines)
- IGAD to support the development of DRM contingency fund guidelines and country criteria for assessing those funds

Sub-Component 4.3: Climate Risk Management

The following priority outputs will be achieved,

4.3.1 Readily available and accessible drought related weather and climate information (decision making processes to enhance resilience of livelihoods in the ASALs research and analytical work on climate change including climate outlook) are used in planning

Climate Risk Management strives to ensure that drought related weather and climate information is readily available and accessible to the stakeholders. The project will implement the following activities:

- Link to Global framework for climate services (GFCS)
- Facilitate workshops for identifying actionable elements from GFCS at the regional level.
• Implement climate change activities as identified at the regional forum at the country level.
• Adoption of research and innovations (advocate for conservation tillage, limited burning and promote reforestation and use organic fertilizers) at all levels (National)

4.3.2 Climate change management policies are incorporated into planning and investments for pastoralists

The project will incorporate climate change adaptation policies into national planning and budgeting in the various components in order to adapt and mitigate the effects of climate change. This output will be achieved through Integration of climate change information into early warning and information systems with emphasis on improving the integration of climate change information into existing bottom-up and top-down early warning systems (e.g. CIBLEWS, ALRMP, FEWSNET, LINKS, LEWS, ICPAC and FAO forecasts), and refining information formats and dissemination structures.
2.4 Project Implementation

_Institutional And Implementation Arrangements_

Project activities are implemented at (i) regional and/or (ii) Country (national and county) levels.
The National Activities:
In Kenya, the department responsible for livestock development will be the project-implementing agency at the national and county level. The department responsible for livestock development will designate a project coordinator for the entire period of the project. The project coordinator shall be responsible for the day-to-day coordination of the entire project. There will also be Component Coordinators for the four components of the project. And in line with Bank guidelines the GoK will also second an environmental specialist with requisite qualifications. The necessary support staff, including an accountant and a procurement specialist, will support the coordinator.

Project Steering Committees
The Project will be coordinated at the regional level through a regional coordination committee under the auspices of IGAD. At the Country level, a National Project Steering Committee will be established. It will be the technical coordination committee and will comprise of relevant stakeholders (e.g. KCA, KARI, KWS, KLMC, KLBO ILRI and Universities) and other relevant NGOs. The NSC will meet quarterly and be responsible for approving: (i) the annual work plans and budgets before recommending their endorsement by the Inter-Ministerial Coordination Committee (ICC), and (ii) monitoring of the implementation progress and reporting. Membership of the committee will be derived from relevant institutions including NDMA, and Research institutions. The beneficiaries will be represented through pastoral associations and individuals identified.

The committee will be responsible for technical guidance for implementation of the Kenya-RPLRP. Relevant Sub-committees will be formed to handle specific issues on behalf of the Steering Committee. Decisions of the national steering committee will be implemented by a project secretariat headed by the national project coordinator.

Other key roles of the NSC will be to spearhead formation of county project teams; convene the project steering committee and other national meetings; Constitute the national project Secretariat, Facilitate implementation of relevant project interventions in the context of resilience to drought and sustainable livelihoods; and be the entry point for development partners and other funding agencies in this project.

Like the National Project Steering committee, there will a County steering Committee headed by and appointee of the Governor. A county project service committee (CPSC) headed by a county project coordinator and comprising of all implementing agencies at county level will be established. Their role will be to plan, budget and monitor implementation of project activities at county level. The CPSC will link closely with existing County stakeholder forums (including the ASAL stakeholder forum in the respective county) for necessary input into project planning and re-planning. The Secretariat of the CSC will be the county project coordination unit headed by the county project coordinator (CPC). The Agricultural Sector development project (ASDP) is spearheading establishment of stakeholder forums and steering committees in the Agricultural sector in all counties of the country including the project area. RPLRP will therefore utilize these committees and forums. The implementation of project activities
at the sub county level will be done through sub-county service units (SCSU) coordinated by the County Project Coordinator.

**Project Coordination Unit (PCU)**
The project coordination unit will comprise of the National Coordinator, 4 project component heads, M&E officer, community development Specialist, procurement specialist and the project Financial Accountant accountant as shown in the organizational structure below. The PCU will oversee the project implementation by backstopping and facilitating the project implementation teams at counties and sub- counties to access the project resources based on the approved activities.

Below is a flowchart showing the interactions of various players in the organization and implementation structure of the Kenya- RPLRP.

**Implementation Arrangements**
General Organizational/Coordination and Implementation Structure of RPLRP

**Figure 2: Organisation Structure of RPLRP**
3 METHODOLOGY AND CONSULTATION

The study was conducted using the following approaches and methodologies namely:

3.1 Detailed and in-depth literature review

3.2 Review on the existing baseline information

Literature material was undertaken and helped in gaining a further and deeper understanding of the project. Among the documents that were reviewed in order to familiarize and deeply understand the project included:

- World Bank Indigenous Peoples Operational Policy OP 4.10
- Technical Mission Aide Memoire
- Other relevant VMGF documents prepared in Kenya for bank projects
- RPLRP Draft Project Appraisal Document
- Constitution of Kenya
- Relevant legislative documents in Kenya on vulnerable and marginalised groups

3.3 Interactive Discussions

Discussions have been held with project staff as well as the World Bank relevant staff as part of the consultation. From November 7th-15th the consulting team held workshops in several counties (Mandera, Garissa, Marsabit, Laikipia, Narok, Isiolo, Tana River, Turkana, Lamu, Kajiado, Samburu, West Pokot, Wajir and Baringo) with key stakeholders and issues related to VMGs were discussed. These discussions were very insightful in understanding the issues and are the basis for most of the measures contained in this VMGF. Annex 8 and 9 is a summary of key issues discussed during the public consultation including list of all the participants in the public consultation workshops held.

3.4 Preparation of VMGF

This involved:

- Collation of baseline data on the Vulnerable and Marginalized Communities in Kenya including lifestyle, livelihood, history;
- Identification of positive and negative impacts of the proposed sub projects on the VMGs;
- Formulation of monitoring and evaluation plan.
4 SOCIAL ASSESSMENT OF THE VULNERABLE & MARGINALISED GROUPS IN RPLRP OPERATIONAL AREAS

The actual specific project investments are not yet known and specifically the locations which would be significant in helping to make a determination of the locations of the marginalized and vulnerable communities. Since the scope of the RPLRP is targeting 14 ASAL Counties, it therefore implies that all the communities described as vulnerable and marginalized as per the Kenyan Constitution in these 14 Counties are likely to be affected by this project especially in view of the fact that the actual sub project locations remain unknown for the present. The annex 7 of this report describes all the vulnerable and marginalized communities in the 14 Counties as described by the constitution of Kenya.

However, even though the GOK’s constitution spells out communities categorized as vulnerable and marginalized, OP. 4.10 expressly defines the criteria within which a group is considered or qualifies to be vulnerable and or marginalized. During screening, groups categorized as vulnerable and marginalized by GOK will be subjected to the banks threshold screening of indigenous groups before they are qualified to meet the banks criteria and trigger OP.4.10 (some groups, such as the Ogiek and Sengwer, have met the criteria for OP 4.10 in other Bank-financed projects).

4.1 Vulnerable and Marginalized Peoples in Kenya
In Kenya, the peoples who identify with the indigenous movement are mainly pastoralists and hunter-gatherers as well as a number of small farming communities. Pastoralists are estimated to comprise 25% of the national population, while the largest individual community of hunter-gatherers numbers approximately 30,000.

Pastoralists mostly occupy the arid and semi-arid lands of northern Kenya and towards the border between Kenya and Tanzania in the south. Hunter-gatherers include the Ogiek, Sengwer, Yaaku, Waata, El Molo, Malakote, Wagoshi and Sanya, while pastoralists include the Turkana, Rendille, Borana, Maasai, Samburu, Ilchamus, Somali, Gabra, Pokot, Endorois and others. They all face land and resource tenure insecurity, poor service delivery, poor political representation, discrimination and exclusion. Their situation seems to get worse each year, with increasing competition for resources in their areas. Both pastoralists and hunter-gatherers face land and resource tenure insecurity, poor service delivery, poor political representation, discrimination and exclusion. Their situation seems to get worse each year, with increasing competition for resources in their areas.

4.2 Brief Highlight of Vulnerable and Marginalized Peoples in Kenya
The vulnerable and marginalized groups in Kenya as per the CoK are described below in summary with a detailed description of the same contained in annex 7 of the report.
Sengwer
The Sengwer live in the three administrative districts of Marakwet, West Pokot and Trans Nzoia in and along Cherangany Hills. They are estimated to be 50,000 (30,000 of them live in their traditional territories and another 20,000 in the diaspora). They lived by hunting and bee keeping. In his evidence before the 1932 Kenyan Land Commission, Mr. C.H. Kirk, stated how they used to go over Cherengany shooting and the only peoples with whom they came into contact along Cherengany Hills were the Cherengany Dorobo, a small tribe of Dorobo (Sengwer).

As so many other ethnic minorities, the Sengwer were considered by the British to be served best if they were forced to assimilate with their dominant neighbors. Due to that their traditional structure was not recognized and integrated as independent ethnic group in the system of indirect rule, but as sub-structure of their neighbors. As their land in the plains of Trans Nzoia turned out to be the best area for agricultural production in Kenya, they were displaced entirely from there to make way for white farmers. A minority stayed behind as farm workers, but the majority went up into the forests of the Cherangany hills. As the Sengwer were not considered as independent group, they were also not invited to join the settlement schemes in which the independent Kenya redistributed the white farms to the farm workers and the dominant ethnic groups of the area. While most Sengwer are officially landless, some few Sengwer especially in the northern parts of the Cherangany hills received some land, but even this land is contested.

Livelihood
Before the colonial time, Sengwer used to be hunters and honey-gatherers. Following their contacts with the Arabs and the Maasai some adopted small scale agriculture (shifting cultivation) and/or livestock rearing, but it is said that hunting remained their main source of livelihood until the 1920s. The elders reported collective as well as individual hunting techniques. Gathering of fruits and other non-timber-forest-products is mostly done by women, while honey collection from beehives as well as from natural places such as holes in trees etc. is traditionally a male activity. It has - beside being eaten - a variety of uses: Honey is mixed with water as a daily drink (breakfast), and used to brew beer; Honey plays a major role in marriages and other ceremonies. Before marriage, honey is given to the mother of the bride as part of the dowry. Honey has also medical use. People apply it to their body to drive away mosquitoes and against muscle pains. Another smelly mixture is spread around the compounds to keep wildlife at distance. Millet and Sorghum are the “traditional” crops, which were inherited from the Arab traders and mostly planted in the lowlands.

The current status of Indigenous Sengwer
The Sengwer have increasingly been restricted to areas with home ‘bases’ involving agriculture and livestock rearing and outlying areas where some honey gathering is still practiced. The Sengwer continue to experience expropriation of their land and restrictions on access to natural resources- especially forests and water- which have further increased their sedentarization, marginalization, social discrimination, and impoverishment. Even though they are considered, from the formal legal point of view, as citizens equal to all other Kenyans, they do not have the same access to land and other
resources, protection against cattle rustlers, social and political influence, legal status and/or organizational, technical or economic capacities as other Kenyan citizens.

**Turkana**
The Turkana people are the second largest of the pastoral people of Kenya with a population of 1,034,000. They occupy the far northwest corner of the nation, an area of about 67,000 square kilometers. This nomadic community moved to Kenya from Karamojong in eastern Uganda. The Turkana tribe occupies the semi Desert Turkana District in the Rift valley province of Kenya. Around 1700, the Turkana emigrated from the Uganda area over a period of years. They took over the area, which is the Turkana County today by simply displacing the existing people of the area. Turkana warriors today still take pride in their reputation as the most fearless fighters in East Africa. Adherence to the traditional religion is weak and seems almost nonchalant among the Turkana.

**Location in the Country** - Rift Valley Province, Turkana, Samburu, Trans-Nzoia, Laikipia, Isiolo districts, west and south of Lake Turkana; Turkwel and Kerio rivers

**Livelihood:** Like the Maasai and tribes, Turkana people keeps herds of **cattle, goats and Camel**. Livestock is a very important part of the Turkana people. Their animals are the main source of income and food. Turkana’s have also pursued other non-pastoral income-earning activity in both urban and rural environments. This includes various forms of wholesale and retail trade (e.g. selling livestock, milk, hides and skins, honey, and artisan goods etc.), traditional rental property ownership and sales, waged employment (local and non-local, including working as a hired herder, farm worker, and migrant laborer), farming (subsistence and commercial), and the gathering and selling of wild products (e.g. gum arabic, firewood, or medicinal plants). Fishing in Lake Turkana is another, long standing form of diversification. Fishermen along Lake Turkana migrate to follow the patterns of fish movement. The pastoralists also supplement their livelihoods by selling the fish. Many of them have also taken up weaving mats and baskets particularly near the lake where weaving material is readily available from the Doum Palm. Other natural resource-based livelihood diversification activities have included the collection and sale of aloe, gum arabic, honey, wild fruits, firewood, and the production and sale of charcoal and alcohol.

**Rendille**
The Rendille are a Cushitic tribe that inhabits the climatically harsh region between Marsabit hills and Lake Turkana in Northern Kenya where they neighbor the Borana, Gabbrá, Samburu and Turkana tribes. They (Rendile) consist of nine clans and seven sub clans. They are culturally similar to the Gabbrá, having adopted some Borana customs and being related to the Somali people to the east. Rendille are semi-nomadic pastoralists whose most important animal is the camel. The original home of the Rendille people was in Ethiopia. They were forced to migrate southwards into Kenya due to frequent conflicts with the Oromo tribe over pasture and water for their animals. Being pastoralists, the lifestyle of the Rendille revolves around their livestock. In the northerly areas, camels are their main source of livelihood. This is because camels are best adapted to the desert conditions that prevail in the northern Kenya. The camels are an important source of milk and meat for the Rendille people. There are about eight or nine sub clans...
including the Urowen, Dispahai, Rongumo, Lukumai (Nahgan), Tupsha, Garteilan, Matarbah, Otola, and Saale with an estimated population of 63,000. The Rendille are located in Eastern Province, Marsabit District, between Lake Turkana and Marsabit Mountain. The primary towns include Marsabet, Laisamis, Merille, Logologo, Loyangalani, Korr, Kamboi, Ngurunit, and Kargi.

Livelihood: The Rendille people are traditionally pastoralists keeping goats, sheep, cattle, donkeys, and camels. Their nomadic lifestyle is become more prominent in the areas exposed to little urbanization and modernization. In the recent past though, their livelihood has experienced constant competing interests from the Samburu and Gabra leading them to constant conflict over land and water resources particularly at the borderline of the boundary districts. In the most cases, the raids and conflicts have had the objective to replenish their herds depleted by severe droughts, diseases, raiding or other calamities.

Gabra
The Gabra are an Oromo people who live as camel-herding nomads, mainly in the Chalbi desert of northern Kenya and the highlands of southern Ethiopia. They are closely associated with other Oromo, especially their non-nomadic neighbors, the Borana. The Gabra speak the Borana dialect of Oromo, which belongs to the Cushitic branch of the Afro-Asiatic language family and have a population of about 3,000. They are located in Samburu District, Lake Baringo south and east shores; and in Rift Valley Province (Chamus), Baringo District.

Livelihood: Gabra are pastoralists who keep and depend on cattle, sheep, goats, donkey, and camels. They solely rely on access to water and pastures for the survival of their livestock. Typical Gabra household keeps 5-10 cattle; 20-25 goats; 15-20 sheep; and 0-5 camels. Cattle provide the majority of income from livestock production followed by goats, sheep, and camels. Majority of the grain consumed by Gabra household in this zone is purchased. This includes maize, rice, and sugar. Households also rely on the wild food including fruits and berries, honey, roots, and tubes. Climate change has had an impact on new weather patterns and prolonged drought pushing the Gabra community to frequent water shortages. They have a conglomerate of peoples living north of the Tana River in Kenya, the area around Lake Turkana and the highlands of southern Ethiopia.

Ajuran
The Ajuran are ethnically Somalis. They were a kingdom that ruled Somalia before the advent of Europeans into Africa. When the rest of the Somalis got fed up with their rule they took up arms against them in war popularly known as Eji iyo Ajuran meaning the rest of Somalis vs. the Ajuran. The wars that ensued deposed the kingdom and drove some of the Ajuran as far as where they live today in the North Eastern Kenya and Eastern part of Ethiopia. Some of those who settled in present day Kenya eventually adopted the language and customs of their neighbors and hosts, the Borana. The Ajuran are best known in Somali history for establishing the Gareen dynasty based in Qalaaf (now part of Ethiopia). The Gareen dynasty ruled parts of East Africa from the 16th to the 20th century. Among the Kenyan Ajuran people, the majority speak the Borana language as their first language while others speak the Somali language as their first language especially those from Wajir North District in the areas of Wakhe and Garren. It
is vital to note that since Somali is the language of wider communication in Northeastern Province, even the Ajuran who speak Borana as their first language learn the language. The link between the Garreh and Ajuran is their primary language which is Borana and not Somali. Population: 59,000. Location in the Country: Eastern Province, Marsabit, Isiolo and Moyale districts, Wajir North.

Livelihood: The Ajurans, like the rest other Somali tribes of Northern Kenya have traditionally lived a nomadic life. This way of life is dictated by the climate which is semi-arid with two seasonal rains. They follow water and pasture for the animals they keep such as cattle, camels, goats, sheep, donkeys and mules that provide them their livelihood. Where the land is good for farming there are settled populations growing corn, millet, sorghum and some fruits and vegetables. The Ajuran live in an area with relatively high rainfall and good pasture for their animals. However, this blessing has on many occasions become troublesome to them in terms of marauding neighbors in need of the same resources. The intrusion by others has periodically resulted in clashes. Today, the Ajuran allow others to live and pasture their animals in their communal land. Some of the main causes of their vulnerability include the following: erosion of assets due to armed conflict during intermittent inter/intra-clan conflict, resulting in poverty; protracted conflict and insecurity; systematic marginalization and discrimination based on ethnicity and caste; poor access to economic/employment opportunities. Notably, their right and ability of the transhumant pastoralists to eventually return to their homes characterizes this type of seasonal movement and gives rise to certain analyses.

Maasai
Kenya's most well-known ethnic tribe, the Maasai (or Masai) are semi-nomadic people located primarily in Kenya and northern Tanzania. They are considered to be part of the Nilotic family of African tribal groups, just as the Scilluk from Sudan and the Acholi from Uganda. The Maasai probably migrated from the Nile valley in Ethiopia and Sudan to Maasailand (central and south-western Kenya and northern Tanzania) sometime around 1600 AD, along the route of lakes Chew Bahir and Turkana (ex Rudolph), bringing their domesticated cattle with them. Once considered fierce warriors, feared by all tribes in the zone, the Maasai lost most of their power during the late XIX century, as a consequence of a string of natural and historic calamities. They were hit by drought, smallpox, and cattle pest, and contemporarily had to mourn the departure of Laibon Mbatiani, their respected and much admired leader, direct descendant of the mythical OlMasinta, founder of the tribe. The Maasai speak the Maasai language, an Eastern Nilotic language closely related to Samburu (or Sampur), the language of the Samburu people of central Kenya, and to Camus spoken south and southeast of Lake Baringo. Maasai’s population is about 684,000 and is located in the Rift Valley Province, Kajiado and Narok districts.

Livelihood: The Maasai are cattle and goat herders, their economy almost exclusively based on their animal stock, from which they take most of their food: meat, milk, and even blood, as certain sacred rituals involve the drinking of cow blood. Moreover, the huts of the Maasai are built from dried cattle dung.

Illchamus
They are originally a pastoralist people who used to live on the mainland but due to clashes they have been forced to migrate to an island in Lake Baringo. It is a very traditional and culturally bound society, hierarchical and male-dominated. They live from fishing in small boats made of balsam tree that dates back maybe a thousand years. They also do some souvenirs and they have some livestock. Many are uneducated and illiterate. They are eager to learn new things, participating and seemingly eager to create a better life. They communicate mainly in their local language. They have a population of 34,000 and are located in Southeast and south shore of Lake Baringo, and southwest shore as far north as Kampi ya Samaki.

Livelihood: The majority of the Ilchamus practice both livestock rearing and agriculture, but on the islands in Lake Baringo there are about 800 Ilchamus who live nearly entirely from fishing. The mainland Ilchamus are semi-pastoralists with a long history of small scale agriculture. The main types of livestock owned by the Ilchamus are cattle (zebus), sheep (red maasai and dopper cross) and goats (small east African), but their herds are significantly smaller than those of their neighbours. The key problems here are the insufficient security against aggressions from their neighbours, access to water and pressure of other people on their land due to the non-existence of land titles. The nearest markets are at Marigat and Kiserian.

Aweer
The Aweer are a remnant hunter-gatherer group living along the Kenyan coast in Lamu District on the mainland. In the last 30 years, the Aweer have faced very difficult times. In 1967, their homeland became a battle field in the war between Kenya and Somalia. In Kenya today, they are a vulnerable group, struggling to survive, in search of a new identity. Traditionally they depend on their elders for leadership and do not normally meet for village discussion. There are some men who have more than one wife, and each wife has her own house in which she lives with her children. The husband does not have his own home but lives with each wife periodically. The Aweer have a population of 8,000 and are located in the Coast Province, behind Lamu, and Tana River districts in forests; North-Eastern Province, Garissa District.

Livelihood: Hunters and Gatherers. They are indigenous hunter/gatherers famous for their longbows and poison arrows. The Aweer are often referred to - and even sometimes refer to themselves - as the "Boni". Considered by some as pejorative, Boni is based on the swahili word "kubuni" which means 'to move', in reference to their proclivity, historically, to move around in pursuit of their livelihoods, rather than settle in one place. The lives of the Aweer were drastically changed when the Kenyan government curtailed their traditional way of life as a response to the insecurity of the region after the Shifta War (1963–1967), forcing them to settle in villages along the Hindi-Kiunga Road on Government Land between the Boni National Reserve and the Dodori National Reserve while adopting slash and burn agriculture.

Pokot
They speak Pökoot, language of the Southern Nilotic language family, which is close to the Marakwet, Nandi, Tuken and other members of the Kalanjen grouping. Kenya's 2009 census puts the total number of Pokot speakers at about 620,000 in Kenya. They have once considered part of the Kalenjin people who were highland Nilotic people who
originated in southern Ethiopia and migrated southward into Kenya as early as 2,000 years ago. Though the Pokot consider themselves to be one people, they are basically divided into two sub-groups based on livelihood. Population: 662,000. The Pokot are located in the Rift Valley Province, Baringo and West Pokot districts.

Livelihood: It is usually claimed that from the earliest time of the original Pokot, they were agriculturalist, they did not have many cattle, and the few they had were taken by wild animals abounding the area. They have been hunters and gatherer living in caves. Currently, Pokot are semi-nomadic, semi-pastoralists who live in the lowlands west and north of Kapenguria and throughout Kacheliba Division and Nginyang Division, Baringo District. These people herd cattle, sheep, and goats and live off the products of their stock. The other half of the Pokoot are agriculturalists who live anywhere conditions allow farming. Mixed farming is practiced in the areas of Kapenguria, Lelan and parts of Chepararia. These areas have recorded rainfall between 120mm to 160mm while pastoral areas include Kiwawa, Kasei, Alale and parts of Sigor receiving 80mm and 120mm. The livelihood of Pokot has led to constant conflict between them and other pastoral communities – the Turkana, Matheniko and the Pokot of Uganda. This clash has been sustained by semi-arid savannah and wooded grassland terrain that cuts along the habitation area. Resources such as land, pasture, water points are communally owned and they are no specific individual rights.

Endorois
Endorois community is a minority community that was living adjacent to Lake Baringo and has a population of about 20,000. However, the Government of Kenya forcibly removed the Endorois from their ancestral lands around the Lake Bogoria area of the Baringo and Koibatek Administrative Districts, as well as in the Nakuru and Laikipia Administrative Districts within the Rift Valley Province in Kenya, without proper prior consultations, adequate and effective compensation. Endorois are a community of approximately 60,000 people who, for centuries, have lived in the Lake Bogoria area. They claim that prior to the dispossession of Endorois land through the creation of the Lake Hannington Game Reserve in 1973, and a subsequent re-gazetting of the Lake Bogoria Game Reserve in 1978 by the Government of Kenya, the Endorois had established, and, for centuries, practiced a sustainable way of life which was inextricably linked to their ancestral land.

However, since 1978 the Endorois have been denied access to their land, neighbouring tribes as bona fide owners of the land and that they continued to occupy and enjoy undisturbed use of the land under the British colonial administration, although the British claimed title to the land in the name of the British Crown. At independence in 1963, the British Crown’s claim to Endorois land was passed on to the respective County Councils. However, under Section 115 of the Kenyan Constitution, the Country Councils held this land in trust, on behalf of the Endorois community, who remained on the land and continued to hold, use and enjoy it. The Endorois’ customary rights over the Lake Bogoria region were not challenged until the 1973 gazetting of the land by the Government of Kenya. The act of gazetting and, therefore, dispossession of the land is central to the present to their current predicament.
The area surrounding Lake Bogoria is fertile land, providing green pasture and medicinal salt licks, which help raise healthy cattle. Lake Bogoria is central to the Endorois religious and traditional practices. The community’s historical prayer sites, places for circumcision rituals, and other cultural ceremonies are around Lake Bogoria. These sites were used on a weekly or monthly basis for smaller local ceremonies, and on an annual basis for cultural festivities involving Endorois from the whole region. The Complainants claim that the Endorois believe that the spirits of all Endorois, no matter where they are buried, live on in the Lake, with annual festivals taking place at the Lake. They believe that the Monchongoi forest is considered the birthplace of the Endorois and the settlement of the first Endorois community. Despite the lack of understanding of the Endorois community regarding what had been decided by the Kenyan Wildlife Service (hereinafter KWS) informed certain Endorois elders shortly after the creation of the Game Reserve that 400 Endorois families would be compensated with plots of "fertile land." The undertaking also specified, according to the Complainants, that the community would receive 25% of the tourist revenue from the Game Reserve and 85% of the employment generated, and that cattle dips and fresh water dams would be constructed by the State.

To date, the Endorois community has not received adequate compensation for this eviction, nor have they benefited from the proceeds of the reserve. Because they no longer have free accesses to the lake or land, their property rights have been violated and their spiritual, cultural and economic ties to the land severed. Once able to migrate with the seasons between Lake Bogoria and the Mochongoi forest, the Endorois are now forced to live on a strip of semi-arid land between their two traditional sites with no access to sustain their former cattle rearing and bee-keeping livelihood. The eviction of the Endorois people by the Kenyan government and the ‘gazetting’ (or public declaration of state ownership) of their land began in 1973 and continued until 1986.

**Livelihood:** Dependent on land and fishing from Lake Bogoria. Critically, land for the Endorois is held in very high esteem, since tribal land, in addition to securing subsistence and livelihood, is seen as sacred, being inextricably linked to the cultural integrity of the community and its traditional way of life.

**Boni**
The Boni people are known for their unique tradition of whistling to birds that guide them to honey. They are found in Northeastern Kenya's district of Ijara and Lamu district. Their population is about 4,000, compared to 25,000 half a century ago (Source: Organization for the Development of Lamu Communities (ODLC). They are nomadic hunter-gatherer tribe of mainly Cushitic origin with a unique characteristic. The community sources their subsistence from forest products such as honey, wild plants/fruits for consumption and medicinal purposes. The Boni are found in the North-Eastern part of Lamu district and Ijara District. They are concentrated mainly in Witu, Hindi and Kiunga divisions. The community is located in villages of Bargoni (Hindi Division), Milimani, Bodheii, Basuba, Mangai, Mararani, Kiangwe and Kiunga (Kiunga division), Pandanguo and Jima (Witu Division).

The Boni live in forested areas of the district i.e. within the Witu and Boni forests. They live deep into the forest and only come out to the periphery when there is hardship or
hunger. They perceive the forest in the Boni inhabited areas as communally theirs. However, with the gazettement of all the forest by the government this has become a source of conflict.

**Watha**
The Watha people are mostly found in the rural arid and semi-arid lands of the country. The people are traditionally hunters and gatherers. In Malindi Watha community is found in four divisions (i.e. Malindi, Langobaya, Marafa and Magarini). In Tana River district the Watha are found in Sombo and Laza divisions while in Mandera the Watha are found in Central division. The population of Watha community in the districts is estimated at approximately 30,000 persons. This is only 2.7% of the entire Malindi, Mandera and Tana River population.

The Watha people are traditionally hunters and gatherers. However since the government abolished unlicensed hunting of game and wild animals, the Watha people now live in permanent settlements, some of them along the river and where there are forests, mainly in the mixed farming and livestock farming zones. The forests afford them an opportunity to practice bee keeping while those along the river practice crop production.

The land tenure system in the district is communal ownership. Most of the land in the three districts of Malindi, Mandera and Tana River are currently under trust land by the county councils. Few influential people in the district have however managed to acquire title deeds from the land offices in Nairobi. However, most of this trust lands are controlled by the majority tribes and becomes a point of conflict if the smaller tribes and outsiders get involved. This is what has pushed the small and marginalized tribes like Watha deep into the forests.

4.3  No specific legislation on Vulnerable & Marginalized Groups in Kenya
There is no specific legislation governing vulnerable and marginalized peoples in Kenya. However, the Constitution of Kenya (CoK) 2010 recognizes the rights of VMGs and requires that they be accorded special focus, attention and support. The CoK goes further and defines who are VMGs in Kenya and describes the VMGs in Kenya.

4.3.1  2010 Constitution of Kenya
The new constitution of Kenya 2010 specifically includes minorities and marginalized communities as a result of various historical processes, with specific reference to indigenous peoples. The definition of marginalized groups, being broad, encompasses most of the groups that identify as indigenous peoples. Kenya however, abstained from the vote when the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) was adopted by the UN General Assembly in 2007.

4.3.2  Constitutional Implementation
Kenya’s 2010 Constitution provides a rich and complex array of civil and political rights, socio-economic rights and collective rights that are of relevance to indigenous communities. While important, constitutional provisions alone are not enough. They require a body of enabling laws, regulations and policies to guide and facilitate their effective implementation. In 2011, Kenya’s parliament enacted 22 laws. In the main, these laws are of general application and will have a bearing on the way in which the
state exercises power in various sectors, some of them of fundamental importance to indigenous communities.

Laws relating to reform of the judiciary, such as the Supreme Courts Act as well as the Vetting of Judges and Magistrates’ Act, are already transforming the way in which the judiciary is dealing with claims presented to it by local communities. The revamped judiciary is already opening its doors to the poorest and hitherto excluded sectors of Kenyan society. Indicative of this changed attitude on the part of the judiciary - at least at the highest level – is the fact that the deputy president of the Supreme Court met with elders from the Endorois indigenous people in July 2011 and assured them of the possibility of supporting the implementation of the African Commission’s decision in favor of the community. More substantively, indigenous groups are already using the revamped judiciary to ventilate their rights. For example, in Ibrahim Sangor Osman et al. the Hon. Minister of State for Provincial Administration & Internal Security, the High Court in Embu awarded a global sum of Kshs. 224,600,000 (US$ 2,670,750), equating to US$ 2,378, to each of the 1,123 evictees from Medina within Garissa town of Northern Kenya as damages following their forced eviction from their ancestral land within the jurisdiction of the Municipal Council of Garissa. All the petitioners were Kenyan Somalis. The court also declared that the petitioners’ fundamental right to life (Article 26), right to inherent human dignity and security of the person (Articles 28 & 29), right to access information (Article 35), economic, social and specific rights (Articles 43 & 53 (1) (b) (c) (d) and the right to fair administrative action (Article 47) had been violated by virtue of the eviction from the alleged public land and the consequent demolition of property by the Kenya police.

Additionally, the adoption of a law establishing the Environment and Land Court is important for indigenous communities given that the Court will “hear and determine disputes relating to environment and land, including disputes: (a) relating to environmental planning and protection, trade, climate issues, land use planning, title, tenure, boundaries, rates, rents, valuations, mining, minerals and other natural resources; (b) relating to compulsory acquisition of land; (c) relating to land administration and management; (d) relating to public, private and community land and contracts, chooses in action or other instruments granting any enforceable interests in land; and (e) any other dispute relating to environment and land.” While most indigenous communities are yet to become aware of the existence of this court, it will be an important arena for determining the land rights challenges of indigenous communities such as the Ogiek, which have remained unaddressed for decades.

In the main, though, constitutional implementation has so far failed to take cognizance of indigenous peoples’ core concerns. The Election Act, as well as the Political Parties Act, has failed to clearly articulate mechanisms for the political participation of indigenous peoples in terms of Article 100 of the Constitution. The constituency boundary reviews that started in 2011 indicate a limited commitment on the part of the state to implement important court decisions that have a bearing on indigenous peoples’ representation, such as that of Il-Chamus. Conversely, attempts to implement such decisions following limited consultation of indigenous communities have tended to exacerbate conflicts between different indigenous groups.
The new Revenue Allocation Commission, mandated by Article 204 of the Constitution to earmark 0.5% of annual state revenue to the development of marginalized areas, in addition to 15% of national revenue for direct transfer to county governments, has yet to take a specific interest in the concerns of indigenous communities. In implementing Article 59 of the Constitution, the government has split the Equality and Human Rights Commission into three: the Human Rights Commission, the Commission on Administrative Justice and the Gender Commission. These bifurcated human rights institutions may serve to either provide increased opportunities for indigenous peoples’ rights activism or to weaken the collaboration hitherto established with the previous Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR).

Table 1. List of Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups as per the New Kenyan Constitution;
Source: ERMIS Africa Ethnographic Survey of Marginalized Groups, 2005-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Other Names Usually derogatory</th>
<th>Estimated Population</th>
<th>Livelihood</th>
<th>Administrative Location Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sengwer</td>
<td></td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>HG/Farmers</td>
<td>Trans-Nzoia; Uasin-Gishu; West Pokot; Keiyo-Marakwet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ogiek</td>
<td>Dorobo</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>HG/Farmers</td>
<td>Nakuru; Baringo; Uasin Gishu; Bomet; Kericho; Narok; Nandi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Waatha</td>
<td>Wasanye</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>HG/Farmers</td>
<td>Kwale; Tana River; Marsabit, Kilifi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Aweer</td>
<td>Boni</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>HG</td>
<td>Lamu, Tana River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Yiaaku</td>
<td>Dorobo</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>HG/Farmers</td>
<td>Laikipia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. El Molo</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td>Marsabit, Samburu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ilchamus</td>
<td></td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>Fishing/Farmers/Livestock Keeper</td>
<td>Baringo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Endorois</td>
<td>Dorobo</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>Fishing/Farmers/Livestock Keeper</td>
<td>Baringo, Laikipia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Borana</td>
<td></td>
<td>136,936</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>Marsabit, Wajir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Gabra</td>
<td></td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>Marsabit, Samburu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Rendille</td>
<td></td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>Marsabit, Samburu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Turkana</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,08,463</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>Turkana, Baringo, Laikipia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Pokot</td>
<td></td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>West Pokot /Baringo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Maasai</td>
<td></td>
<td>666,000</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>Narok, Kajiado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12 Internet based – several sites
13 Source: ERMIS Africa Ethnographic Survey of Marginalized Groups, 2005-2012
14 Ibid.
Figure 4. Map showing locations of Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups as per the CoK
5 POTENTIAL POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS OF RPLRP ON VULNERABLE & MARGINALISED GROUPS

Critical to the determination of potential adverse impacts is an analysis of the relative vulnerability of, and risks to, the affected vulnerable and marginalized communities given their distinct circumstances and close ties to land and natural resources, as well as their lack of access to opportunities relative to other social groups in the communities, regions, or national societies in which they live. The potential beneficial impacts of the RPLRP proposed sub projects to the vulnerable and marginalised communities include among others:

5.1 Potential Beneficial Impacts

- The communities in the project areas/counties are both agriculturists and pastoralists who keep cattle, goats, and sheep. The new facilities including water points, markets, and rehabilitation of rangelands will improve upon their pastoral livelihood and access to market in addition to recognizing their rights to natural resources especially watering points.
- Distribution of drought tolerant crops will provide the IPs and vulnerable groups with drought tolerant crop varieties to overcome famine one of their major problems. The IPs will also certainly benefit from extension services to realize sustainable food production to feed their individual communities. This will enhance the agricultural skills of the IPs to enable them go beyond subsistence farming to producing crops for sale in the long-term.
- Since drought is one of the key challenges faced by the IPs targeted region, early warning information for droughts will help their communities plan on how to deal with drought periods.
- Famine is an issue among the IPs and the vulnerable groups and construction of storage facilities is likely to ensure safe storage practices to avoid losses due to storage pests.
- Conflict management with particular focus on cross-border issues is likely to promote peaceful coexistence, and to eradicate the discrimination and persecution of IPs by other groups as well as the neighboring pastoral groups from Uganda and Ethiopia.

Permanent effects - Permanent effects will result into an infinite loss of use of property, vegetation, or land by the affected person as a result of the subproject activities. This is likely to occur where permanent installations such as laboratories and slaughter facilities are established. Such effects are anticipated to affect:

- IPs and vulnerable groups whose land is found suitable for these infrastructures and this can translate into either loss of land or crop cover or both. The main activity for land use among the IPs is subsistence farming dominated by cultivation of crops, bee keeping, hunting wild animals and gathering fruits.
mitigation is for MALF to maximize rehabilitation of existing infrastructure and only create new ones where they are critically needed to avoid the need for land acquisition and displacement of people. Where land acquisition is inevitable, the provisions in the RPF will be followed.

- Resettlement can also lead to the loss of access to communal resources:
  - Loss of land for grazing;
  - Loss of access to water;
  - Loss of medicinal plants; and
  - Loss of trees for charcoal production and firewood.

The above mitigations to minimize the need for new land acquisition should be considered in line with the requirements of the RPF.

- Increase of infectious diseases like HIV/AIDS due to new interactions of communities that utilize the new facilities. Therefore, the IPs and Vulnerable Groups will have to be compensated as provided by the RPF where loss of land occurs. In addition, sensitization about HIV/AIDS will be carried out to mitigate the IPs and Vulnerable Groups from contracting HIV/AIDS.

- The above impacts can result in further indirect impacts, including causing the IP and vulnerable groups to be more vulnerable as the above can trigger poverty.

**Temporal impacts** - Temporal impacts will result into an interruption in the current use of property or land by the affected communities or individuals as a result of the subproject activities. This is likely to occur during rehabilitation of existing infrastructure especially cattle crushes, holding grounds, pastureland, water points etc. This is likely to affect:

- IPs and Vulnerable Groups that currently use water points and pasturelands meant for rehabilitation and will therefore have to find temporary pastureland;
- IPs and Vulnerable Groups especially the poor who work at the existing slaughterhouses that will have to be temporarily closed during rehabilitated;

The mitigation measures to the above temporary impacts is for MALF to implement the project in phases i.e. one set of water points and pasturelands is rehabilitated while the others are in use to avoid the need for the IPs to search for alternative water points and pasturelands. After the first set is fully rehabilitated and functional, then the second set will then be rehabilitated.

### 5.2 Potential Negative Impacts

Adverse project impacts include:

a) Infrastructure projects within and shared by different ethnic groups has the ability to achieve peace building goals of increasing interaction and fostering cooperation. However, there is a risk that new infrastructure improvements undertaken by the RPLRP project could increase conflict and instability e.g. conflict originally fuelled by preferential access to natural resources could be restarted if these new dams or rehabilitation of pasturelands is undertaken.
b) Apart from meeting a basic human need, new water points could have a direct impact on the distribution of livestock and human settlement. If new water point construction does not take into account grazing patterns, it has risk of creating environmental degradation by promoting permanent grazing patterns.

c) Best practices emphasize that projects should be based on community-identified priorities. Lack of community ownership for infrastructure project can result in facilities going unused or being abandoned. Expensive infrastructure investments completed without local community input face a risk of poor use and maintenance. Previous efforts to upgrade infrastructure especially in the ASALs in the form of roads, water supplies, health facilities and schools have faced challenges to sustainability since projects were implemented in a top-down manner.

d) Increased agricultural production as a form of livelihood diversification and land use may come at the expense of former dry season grazing areas. To ensure that RPLRP interventions are conflict sensitive, MALF will have to carefully monitor the impact of agricultural livelihoods development and rangeland use among groups within communities. This will be critical to reduce the likelihood that expanding agricultural land use will further conflict among groups relying on accessing rangelands.

e) Seed distribution programs have several risks, including creating dependency among communities for hand-outs, and limited crop performance when seeds distributed do not fit local contexts or do not reflect farmer variety preferences. Free distribution of seeds can create high expectations among recipients that the project will continue to provide seed year in year out. To avoid dependency creation, MALF will have to limit free seed distributions to a specific period and the project design should include a mechanism to inform and educate recipients about planning and savings for future seed purchases.

5.3 **Proposed Mitigation Measures**  
To avoid or minimize adverse impacts and, at the same time, ensure enhancement of benefits and full participation of the Indigenous People and vulnerable groups, the PMU at MALF in collaboration with the partner implementing agencies should apply the following:

- Ensure that IP communities and vulnerable groups in general and their organizations are not excluded by any means in activities selection, design, and implementation processes;

- RPLRP/PMU should ensure even distribution of the new facilities (water points and markets) in the project areas to ensure that the IPs and vulnerable groups get equal share of new facilities in their lands. A coordinated attempt to ensure the equitable distribution of services among neighboring tribes will also further reduce the likelihood of raids erupting as a result of newly formed disparities in
economic assets and opportunities. Thus, RPLRP/PMU has to be mindful of the potential for harm caused by gaps in service provision;

- RPLRP/PMU should carry out specific assessments of the impact of proposed projection the economic and social development of indigenous peoples and the vulnerable groups as an integral part of the project cycle, through a transparent process with the free and informed participation of the affected communities. RPLRP/PMU has to ensure that the RPLRP interventions do not unnecessarily and unintentionally exacerbate factors outside the scope of planned impacts;

- Together with IPs and vulnerable groups, RPLRP/PMU should carefully screen the activities of all subprojects for a preliminary understanding of the nature and magnitude of potential impacts, and explore alternatives to avoid or minimize any adverse impacts as detailed in the ESMF and RPF;

- Where alternatives are infeasible and adverse impacts on IPs and vulnerable groups are unavoidable, the RPLRP/PMU, together with IPs and others knowledgeable of IP culture and concerns should immediately make an assessment of the key impact issues and possible mitigation measures;

- RPLRP/PMU should undertake the necessary tasks in order to adopt appropriate mitigation measures. The most important in this respect is intensive consultation with the IP communities, community elders/leaders, civil society organizations like NGOs and others who have experience working with IPs and other vulnerable groups.

A summary of the potential adverse impacts of sub project investments under RPLRP on VMGs and possible mitigation actions are highlighted in table 2 below and should be considered as a guideline in the development of investment specific VMGP in terms of mitigation measures and considerations.
Table 2. Potential Adverse Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Possible Actions</th>
<th>Responsibilities and Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration of people and livestock around water points a likely cause of conflict or degradation of soil</td>
<td>Ensure adequate distribution of water points for both people and livestock</td>
<td>Initial costs might be higher, but in long run will be cost effective and will contribute to environmental sustainability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of water rights</td>
<td>Ensure that adequate benefits and/or compensation be provided based on agreements reached through free, prior and informed consultations</td>
<td>RPLRP executing agencies will implement any agreements reached under the RPLRP framework. RPLRP and the GOK would provide resources to implement these agreements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project should work with the marginalized and vulnerable communities to ensure that any agreements made on water provision are honored</td>
<td>RPLRP executing agencies will also implement any other agreements reached under the framework of other projects. In this case, the associated development partner or the GOK would be expected to provide the necessary resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Land</td>
<td>No displacement of VMGs and thus exclude all sub projects screened and determined to trigger displacement of VMGs.</td>
<td>RPLRP/MALF and executing partner agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Because physical relocation of VMGs is particularly complex and may have significant adverse impacts on their identity, culture, and customary livelihoods, the Bank requires the borrower to explore alternative project designs to avoid physical relocation of Indigenous Peoples. In exceptional circumstances, when it is not feasible to avoid relocation, the borrower will not carry out such relocation without obtaining broad support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Area</td>
<td>Mitigation Strategies</td>
<td>Responsible Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased risk of exposure to diseases such as HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and other communicable diseases</td>
<td>Design public health messages and measures to combat spread of diseases</td>
<td>RPLRP/MALF/MOPHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical/Economic Displacement of VMGs</td>
<td>No physical displacement of VMGs and thus exclude all sub projects screened and determined to trigger displacement of VMGs Compensate the VMGs economically displaced</td>
<td>RPLRP/MALF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of traditional livelihoods</td>
<td>Design projects to blend with traditional livelihoods to the extent possible</td>
<td>RPLRP/MALF and executing partner agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encroachment on and degradation of land, territory and natural resources</td>
<td>Provide for meaningful representation of the VMGs in decision making in RPLRP sub project development - investment planning and operations and maintenance</td>
<td>Strict control of migration may not be possible within the context of Kenya where there is no restriction to free movement of citizens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPLRP Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework - VMG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>of service provision</strong> – providing for the VMPs the voice to shape the benefits they would like to see from the project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement VMGP to re-assert VMGs rights to land and other natural resources affected by the project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure adherence to the project Resettlement Policy Framework.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control in-migration of people from outside the area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participation of women in decision-making processes</strong>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPLRP executing agencies should include community stakeholders in the ownership and decision making to encourage the community to decide on self-management and financing of the operations and maintenance of their own infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase hygiene and public health campaigns to sensitize the community.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase funding to community water supply bodies to ensure that water is treated while at the same time ensuring that water supply facilities are rehabilitated and kept in good condition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increased incidences of water-borne diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Plan for combating Water Borne related diseases to be put in place.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increased marginalization and exclusion of the VMGs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate communication framework to ensure VMPs voices are heard, pending issues resolved and grievances heard.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPLRP/MALF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strike a balance between water supply in rural and urban areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OP 4.10 requires that a process of free, prior, and informed consultation, with the affected vulnerable and marginalized communities, of the potential adverse and positive effects of the project be designed and used in consultation. It is likely that some of the proposed investments will result in significant adverse impacts for vulnerable and marginalized communities and as such the VMGs should be informed and consulted prior to project implementation.

Free, prior and informed consultation (FPIC), in relation to activities taking place on indigenous lands, refers to a process whereby affected vulnerable and marginalized communities, freely have the choice, based on sufficient information concerning the benefits and disadvantages of the project, of whether and how these activities occur, according to their systems of customary decision making.

This VMGF establishes an appropriate gender and inter-generationally inclusive framework that provides opportunities for consultation at each stage of project preparation and implementation among the RPLRP, and other local civil society organizations (CSOs) identified by the affected Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups.

Free and prior informed consultation of the vulnerable and marginalized communities will be conducted at each stage of the project, and particularly during project preparation, to fully identify their views and ascertain their broad community support for the project in the following ways:

6.1 Sub Project Investment Screening
Screening of all the RPLRP project investments will be a mandatory requirement prior to implementation to determine if vulnerable and marginalized people are present because the sub project investment locations have not yet been identified. Any project investment involving involuntary resettlement, acquisition or physical relocation of VMGs will be avoided at all costs and actually excluded for consideration.

Box 1. The Elements of Free, Prior and Informed Consent

- **Free** – people are able to freely make decisions without coercion, intimidation or manipulation
- **Prior** – sufficient time is allocated for people to be involved in the decision-making process before key project decisions are made and impacts occur
- **Informed** – people are fully informed about the project and its potential impacts and benefits, and the various perspectives regarding the project (both positive and negative)
- **Consultation** – there are effective uses of consultation methods appropriate to the social and cultural values of the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities and their local conditions and, in designing these methods, gives special attention to the concerns of Indigenous women, youth, and children and their access to development opportunities and benefits.

Adapted from UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Interests (UNPFII), the Tebtebba Foundation, the International Indian Treaty Council and others.
Early in sub project preparation, screening to determine whether Indigenous Peoples are present in, or have collective attachment to, the project area. In conducting this screening, the technical judgment of qualified social scientists with expertise on the social and cultural groups in the project area will be sought. Consultations with the VMGs concerned and the executing agency will be undertaken. The Government of Kenya’s framework for identification of VMGs during project screening will be followed only and only when that framework is consistent with this policy.

However, the sub projects that are selected may not impact the entire group or it may impact non-vulnerable group living in their midst (several VM groups appear to be dispersed among other ethnic groups). In view of which it is necessary to carefully identify who will be adversely affected by subprojects which may well turn out to be part of a VM group or parts of several different groups only some of which are VM. This will be done during the screening phase of the sub project implementation.

Box 2. Indigenous Peoples in the Africa Region. Applying OP 4.10 in the Sub-Saharan African context poses significant challenges, the most important of which is determining to whom the policy applies. Many countries in the region are multi-ethnic, with tribal and local affiliations often cutting across geographical boundaries and national identities. Due to migration of peoples and attendant assimilation from inter-marriage, plus centuries of colonialism, the notions of —place and —group identify are often unclear. As a result, governments in the region, as well as local groups themselves, have become highly sensitive to applying and using the term Indigenous Peoples. Meanwhile, there are parallel efforts at the global level to officially recognize distinct, vulnerable social and cultural groups. These efforts, while meeting international definitions of Indigenous Peoples, have been difficult to apply in AFR due to increasing disagreements between government and local populations, especially grassroots social organizations and their advocates who support a more inclusive view. In the past, the Bank’s Indigenous Peoples Policy has been applied to some of the most marginalized and vulnerable social and cultural groups who date back to pre-colonial times. Bank-financed projects provided social services and livelihood support to groups with relatively small populations who traditionally, and in a few cases still, live by foraging (hunting, gathering, and fishing) and whose claims to land have been routinely rejected by neighboring groups (adapted from World Bank 2011).

6.1.1 Preparation of Social Screening Form
The RPLRP/PMU Environmental and Social Specialists will prepare the screening forms in collaboration with the executing agency for the specific sub project considered for implementation. A sample screening form is shown in annex 1. The OP 4.10 of the World Bank suggests “to use the term ‘indigenous peoples’ in a generic sense to refer to a distinct, vulnerable, social and cultural group possessing the following characteristics in varying degrees:

- Self-identification as members of a distinct indigenous cultural group and recognition of this identity by others;
- Collective attachment to geographically distinct habitats or ancestral territories in the operational area and to the natural resources in these habitats and territories;
- Customary cultural, economic, social, or political institutions that are separate from those of the dominant society and culture; and
- An indigenous language, often different from the official language of the country or region.”

Therefore, during the screening exercise, even though the GOK has an exhaustive list of VMGs, the above stated definition and characteristics of VMGs according to the Bank will be used to screen and determine if indeed the VMGs on the GOK list meet the threshold and can be considered indigenous. If the results show that there are VMGs in the zone of influence of the proposed sub-project, a Social Assessment (SA) will be planned for those areas.

**Screening Criteria:** The RPLRP/PMU and Consultants responsible for subproject preparation and implementation will visit all VMGs settlements near the selected subproject areas, which may be affected and influenced by the subproject components. Public meetings will be arranged in selected communities by the RPLRP/PMU with the VMGs and their leaders to provide them information about the subproject and take their views on the subproject.

During this visit, the screening team mentioned above will undertake screening of the VMGs with the help of the community leaders and local authorities. The screening will cover the following aspects:

1) Name(s) of VMGs in the area;
2) Total number of VMGs in the area;
3) Percentage of VMGs to that of total area/locality population
4) Number and percentage of VM households along the zone of influence of the proposed subproject.
5) Any land acquisition required from any VMGs for the subproject?
6) If so, any alternatives to avoid land acquisition?
7) If no, will this subproject be excluded?
8) Will a VMGPs be required if a subproject passes through any VMG?
9) If no, why?

If the results of the screening indicate the presence of VMGs in the zone of influence of the proposed subproject, a social assessment will be undertaken for those areas.

**6.2 Social Assessment Process**

If, based on the screening, the RPLRP/PMU concludes that VMGs are present in, or have collective attachment to, the project area; the executing agency of that sub project will undertake a social assessment to evaluate the project’s potential positive and adverse effects on the VMGs, and to examine project alternatives where adverse effects may be significant. The breadth, depth, and type of analysis required for the social assessment will be proportional to the nature and scale of the proposed sub project’s potential and effects on the Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups present. The RPLRP/PMU will prepare detailed Terms of Reference (ToR) for the social assessment study once it is determined that VMGs are present in the project area. *Annex 4 contains draft sample ToRs for the development of a VMGPs.*
The social assessment will also identify if the proposed investment will involve change in use or management of commonly held properties in the community as well as involving the commercial development of natural and cultural resources on lands or territories that VMGs traditionally owned, or customarily used or occupied. The social assessment will ensure free, prior and informed consultation with the VMGs during project planning and implementation. It will ensure that mitigation of potential adverse impacts, deriving from project activities, will be based on a participatory and consultative process acceptable to the World Bank and the VMGs themselves.

Sub project investments will comply with the following other than social screening namely:-
- Conserve and sustainably use land and other natural resources that impact on VMGs and other communities
- Provide equitable share of benefits to VMGs and mitigate any possible adverse impacts
- Be socially and culturally acceptable to the VMGs and economically feasible so that the VMGs are not further discriminated/marginalized
- Be institutionally feasible: Local institutionally capacity should be adequate to take up activities
- Be environmentally sustainable and avoid detrimental impacts from those activities that cannot be mitigated
- Be elected and owned by the VMGs and other communities through participatory consultation
- Be supported by training and capacity building to enhance VMGs and community development

6.2.1 Steering Committee for sub projects
A sub project steering committee will be established at the project area once it has been determined that VMGs are present in an area and that a VMGP is needed. The steering committee will comprise of the following representatives from the area namely:-

- County Administrator/representative
- District Administrator
- Representative of CBOs/NGOs active in the area
- Representative of the VMGs
- Women representative from the VMGs
- Youth representative from the VMGs
- Government ministries representatives e.g. Ministry of Lands, Ministry of Environment and Mineral Resources, Ministry of Youth and Gender, Ministry Culture and National Heritage etc.
- Consultants (social specialists)
- Representative from the Executing Agency e.g. Social Development Specialist

6.2.2 Role of Sub Project Steering Committee
The sub project steering committee will play the following roles in relation to the development of VMGPS.
6.3 Methodology of Social Assessment

**Combined qualitative and quantitative analysis method**
Since a Social Assessment (SA) is comprehensive and involves complex social issues, quantitative analysis is preferred, such as for population structure, educational level and socioeconomic indicators. These indicators are analyzed arithmetically and evaluated objectively. Qualitative indicators that cannot be quantified should be analyzed and evaluated through a combination of qualitative and quantitative analysis, but primarily qualitative analysis.

**Comparative analysis method**
The comparative analysis method is designed to find out the social profile of a project area in the absence of the project, and the impacts of the project on the area after its completion, thereby identifying the natures and degrees of different benefits and impacts.

**Logic framework analysis method**
This method is used to analyze causalities of things, and identify the project objectives and the related prerequisites by analyzing a series of processes related to the project in order to improve the project design.

**Stakeholder analysis method**
Stakeholders refer to all parties directly or indirectly interested in the Project, and affecting the success of the Project directly or indirectly. In the stakeholder analysis method, we have to first identify different stakeholders involved in the Project and prepare a stakeholder identification table, then evaluate their attitudes to and expectations for the Project, and their importance for the success of the Project, and analyze their interactions with the Project, and finally propose measures to be taken for different stakeholders during project implementation.

**Participatory assessment**
Participatory assessment is a method that involves all stakeholders in SA. The key points include listening to all stakeholders’ opinions sincerely, extensively, patiently and modestly, respecting all participants, showing interests in their knowledge, speeches and behaviors, and encouraging them to share their knowledge and ideas. Through a semi-structured survey and whole-process participation, this method will make compensation and resettlement programs, measures for mitigating social risks, and other programs concerning the affected persons’ immediate interests more operable and acceptable.

**Other methods**
A number of data analysis tools and methods may be used in undertaking SA, including: ① socioeconomic survey; ② related agency analysis; ③ social gender analysis; ④ social impact analysis; ⑤ poverty analysis; and ⑥ social risk assessment.

6.3.1 Survey/Data Gathering Methods

The process of gathering baseline information on demographic social, cultural, and political characteristics of the affected VMGs, the land and territories that they have traditionally owned or customarily used or occupied, and the natural resources on which they depend will be through a participatory rural appraisal mapping exercise involving the VMGs in the proposed project investment area. There is absolute need to map the community resources where the project investments are targeted to determine the sphere of influence, how the vulnerable and marginalized communities utilize the said resources so as identify their access to and/or control of these.

Regarding customary rights of VMGs to use of common resources, the mapping will provide information on (i) location and size of the area and condition of resource, (ii) primary users, including those that belong to VMGs that currently use or depend on these common resources, (iii) secondary users and the types of uses they make, (iv) the effects of these uses on the VMGs, and (vi) mitigation measures of adverse impacts if any.

The following survey methods should be used mainly in SA for sub project investments where a VMGP is required:

**Literature review:** is intended to learn the history and background of the project, and the social and economic development of each subproject area, which is an important basis for in-depth field survey. The SA team should collect feasibility study reports, plans and other documents related to the Project according to the Bank policies of Indigenous Peoples and Involuntary Resettlement, including:

1. **Statistics:** social and economic development statistics of the sub project area; census and sampling population survey data; statistics on social relief.

2. **Documents:** documents of construction, traffic on project implementation; provisions of civil affairs authorities on minimum living security; documents of the social security authorities on unemployment and medical insurance.

3. **Research findings:** existing research findings on road construction planning, flood control, water treatment, and other findings related to the Project Design documents related to the Project: project proposals, feasibility study reports and relevant documents.

4. **Review of Legal Framework:** A review, on a scale appropriate to the project, of the legal and institutional framework applicable to VMGs. However, a common shortcoming in addressing the legal issues, whether in the section on the legal framework or later on in the VMGP, is the lack of correspondence between the legal rights of VMGs and project design. In many cases the description of indigenous legislation is very general and is not
fully consistent with the specific situation of VMGs in the project area, for instance regarding land and natural resources, an issue of importance to Indigenous Peoples.

5. **Focus Group Discussions (FGD):** Should be held with officials and technicians of competent authorities aimed to learn their attitude to, ideas about and suggestions for the Project, local social and economic development level, social security condition, people’s employment, per capita income level, local impacts of the Project, production level and living standard of stakeholders, and government policies on project implementation.

6.3.2 **Stakeholder Identification**

All the interested and affected stakeholders will be identified with specific focus of the vulnerable and marginalized groups and will include an elaboration of a culturally appropriate process for consulting with the VMGs at each stage of project preparation and implementation. A stakeholder mapping exercise will be conducted for each of the proposed investment where there is a likelihood of VMGs being affected and the stakeholder mapping process will ensure that all the interested and affected stakeholders are identified and included in the social assessment process including impact identification and mitigation.

6.3.3 **Stakeholder Consultation**

Once screening has been conducted and an investment found to be located in an area where vulnerable and marginalized groups are present, the existing administrative structures –county and district leaders will be used to inform the vulnerable and marginalized communities about the proposed sub project. County or District Development Committees in collaboration with the agencies within RPLRP executing the investments will facilitate and arrange for consultative meetings with members of the vulnerable and marginalized groups and in these meetings there will be free and prior information about the proposed sub project, the proposed location, and potential adverse impacts of the project on the marginalized and vulnerable groups.

Such consultation will include use of indigenous languages, allowing time for consensus building, and selecting appropriate venues to facilitate the articulation by VMGs of their views and preferences. Representatives of the vulnerable and marginalized groups in collaboration with the local administration in the sub project area will select a venue that is considered by way of mutual consensus as appropriate.

Engagement will be based on honest and open provision of information, and in a form that is accessible to VMGs. Engagement will begin at the earliest possible stage, prior to substantive on-the-ground activity implementation. Engagement, wherever possible, will be undertaken through traditional authorities and structures within communities and with respect for traditional decision-making structures and processes. However, recognition of the limitation these structures sometimes pose for some groups, such as women and young people will be taken into account.

Good practice community engagement, in the context of Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups and projects, will aim to ensure that:

- **Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups have an understanding of their rights**
• **Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups** are informed about, and comprehend the full range (short, medium and long-term) of social and environmental impacts – positive and negative – that can result from the proposed investment.

• Any concerns that Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups have about potentially negative impacts are understood and addressed by the executing agency for RPLRP.

• Traditional knowledge informs the design and implementation of mitigation strategies and is treated respectfully.

• There is mutual understanding and respect between the RPLRP/PMU and the Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups as well as other stakeholders.

• Vulnerable and Marginalized People aspirations are taken into account in project planning so that people have ownership of, and participate fully in decisions about, community development programs and initiatives.

• The project has the broad, on-going support of the Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups.

• The voices of all in the Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups are heard; that is, engagement processes are inclusive.

### 6.3.4 Impact Identification Including Long Term

The assessment of each sub project investment beneficial and adverse impacts will be conducted based on free, prior, and informed consultation, with the affected Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups (VMGs), on the potential adverse and positive effects of the investment. In a participatory process the determination of potential adverse impacts will entail an analysis of the relative vulnerability of, and risks to, the affected VMGs given their distinct circumstances and close ties to land and natural resources, as well as their lack of access to opportunities relative to other social groups in the communities, regions, or national societies in which they live.

Another concern is that while projects may consider short-term positive or negative impacts, they usually fail to consider the long-term impacts that project interventions could have on the livelihood, social organization and cultural integrity of VMGs, such as irrigation, dams or road improvement projects that may open up remote areas and increase pressure on indigenous lands and resources. Therefore the identification of impacts will have to consider the long-term impacts as well.

### 6.3.5 Determination of Mitigation Measures

The identification and evaluation, based on free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected VMGs, of measures necessary to avoid adverse effects, or if such measures are not feasible, the identification of measures to minimize, mitigate, or compensate for such effects, and to ensure that the VMGs receive culturally appropriate benefits under the project will be conducted in a participatory manner. The use of the above mentioned methods in the SA process will be used in determining mitigation measures. Mitigation measures may involve compensation as well and typical mitigation actions or compensation may include among others:

- Building and operating schools
- Enhancing food security
- Achieving secure land rights
6.3.6 Development of strategies for participation of Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups

Participation will be through meetings with the different groups of the vulnerable and marginalized communities primarily to ensure that the results of the mapping exercise are presented and comments including review of the results are done and agree on its implications regarding proposed investments and activities, resource access restrictions, mitigation/compensatory measures and VMGs participation arrangements for project activities. Sub project activities selected should ensure that the VMGs do not:

- Face further physical and economic displacements from land and forests traditionally utilized by them as source of livelihood and basis for their cultural and social system, lose further legal access to natural resources, which are an important source of livelihood and basis for their cultural and social system,
- Become even more marginalized in the society and disintegrate from the nation, receive less assistance from governmental services,
- Have less capacities to defend their legal rights,
- Become or remain as dependent of other ethnic groups, and
- Lose their cultural and social identity.

6.3.7 Strategies for inclusion of women and youth

While it is important to acknowledge the role of elders and other traditional community leaders, it should not automatically be assumed that those who occupy formal leadership positions, whether they be traditional or government appointed, represent all interests in the community. In particular, the RPLRP/PMU and its executing partners need to be sensitive to those sections of the community who are frequently excluded from the decision-making process, such as women and young people.

During the Social Assessment, where it is determined that traditional decision-making structures exclude women and younger people, it may be necessary to obtain input from these groups by less direct means (for example, and where possible, via community needs surveys and baseline studies, or through informal discussions with small groups).

Overall RPLRP and executing agencies will have to consider and apply the following strategies in order to avoid many of these problems associated with VMGs and specifically the RPLRP should:

- Confer with the VMGs at the outset on how they wish to be engaged
- Understand and respect local entry protocols as they relate to permission to enter a community and access traditional lands
- Commit to open and transparent communication and engagement from the beginning and have a considered approach in place
- Conduct an initial risk analysis prior to entering the area and implement controls to mitigate key risks
- Ensure that all representatives of the RPLRP and executing partner agencies (including third party subcontractors and agents) are well briefed on local customs, history and legal status, and understand the need for cultural sensitivity
- Regularly monitor performance in engagement
• **Enlist the services of reputable advisers with good local knowledge.**

It is a good idea for representatives of RPLRP and executing partner agencies to be present at initial meetings wherever possible and to meet with the traditional heads of communities, as this demonstrates respect and sets the scene for building long-term trust and relationships with communities.

1. Agreement on acceptable time frames to make decisions throughout the lifetime of the project, taking into consideration logistics, local customs, commercial requirements and time needed to build trusting relationships should be pursued. Ensure that it is clear how the timetable for involvement links into when project decisions are made. Ideally, VMGs initial involvement should be sought well in advance of commencement or authorization of activities, taking into account VMGs own decision-making processes and structures.

2. Agreement on a mechanism to resolve disputes or grievances in order to proactively address the likelihood that differences of opinion will arise.

3. Agreement on the terms and conditions for the provision of any ongoing community support with affected vulnerable and marginalized stakeholders and any associated reciprocal obligations.

4. Record the process and decisions reached where VMGs are involved, including the results of any monitoring or reviews, to provide a record for current or future generations who may be affected by the decisions, and to ensure transparency in the decision-making process. Support the communities’ capacity to engage in decision making: for example, by providing access to independent expert advice, capacity building, facilitation and mediation, or involving external observers.

**6.3.8 Capacity Building**

During the vulnerable and marginalized peoples ‘orientation and mobilization process, the interest, capacity and skills of the VMGs and their institutions, CBOs and NGOs for natural resource management, including social screening, will be assessed. This will be conducted through a detailed capacity needs assessment geared at ascertaining the inherent strengths and capacities and designing a capacity building/strengthening plan. This VMGF proposes the provision of training for the VMGs in among others resource mapping, natural resource management evaluation, planning, record keeping, basic account keeping and monitoring and evaluation.

The staff within the RPLRP/PMU will provide training for the partner executing agencies in social impact, social assessment, implementation of the VMGF with special attention to developing their knowledge on VMGF background, history and areas of concern as well as their skills for community orientation, free, prior and informed consultative planning, PRA tools and techniques.
6.3.9 Establish equitable representation of VMGs in decision making organs within RPLRP

The RPLRP/PMU will ensure that the VMGs are well represented in the county and district steering committees to articulate issues important to them including ensuring that in each sub project if and when screening ascertains that VMGs are likely to be affected, as part of equitable representation, VMGs will be represented in the sub project implementation and planning unit. They will help make decisions on their needs and priorities with facilitation and guidance from RPLRP/PMU and the partner executing agencies. In so doing, VMGs representatives will take the following into account:

- Conservation of natural resources by adopting specific, tangible actions that demonstrate sustainable use and management, linked with improving their livelihoods while upholding their rights, culture and dignity.
- Social sustainability ensuring that their livelihoods and way of life are not adversely impacted but rather improved and that there is equitable share of benefits with VMGs and that measures to mitigate or eliminate adverse impacts, if any, on them are adequate
- Environmental sustainability ensuring that detrimental environmental impacts such as depletion of biodiversity are avoided or mitigated

6.4 Bank Decision on Sub Project Investments

In deciding whether to proceed with the project, the RPLRP will then ascertain, on the basis of the social assessment and the free, prior, and informed consultation, whether the affected VMGs’ provide their broad support to the project. Where there is such support, the RPLRP/PMU will prepare and submit to the Bank a detailed report (Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Plan) that documents:

1) The findings of the social assessment;
2) The process of free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected VMGs’;
3) Additional measures, including project design modification, that may be required to address adverse effects on the VMGs’ and to provide them with culturally appropriate project benefits;
4) Recommendations for free, prior, and informed consultation with and participation by Indigenous Peoples’ communities during project implementation, monitoring, and evaluation; and
5) Any formal agreements reached with VMGs’ communities and/or the VMGOs.

The Bank reviews the process and the outcome of the consultation carried out by the RPLRP to satisfy itself that the affected VMGs have provided their broad support to the project. The Bank will pay particular attention to the social assessment and to the record and outcome of the free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected VMGs’ as a basis for ascertaining whether there is such support. The Bank will however not proceed further with project processing if it is unable to ascertain that such support exists.

6.4.1 Who Conducts the SA

The social assessment (SA) will be undertaken by the social consultants who will be recruited by the RPLRP/PMU. The SA consultants will gather relevant information from separate group meetings: Discussions will focus on sub-Project impacts, positive and negative; and recommendations for design of sub-Project. The social consultants will be responsible for
analyzing the SA, and for leading the development of an action plan with the ethnic minority leaders, project engineers and other staff. If the SA indicates that the potential impact of the proposed sub-project will be significantly adverse or that the VMGs rejects the project, the sub-project will not be implemented in that locality; no further action is needed in this case.
7 THE VULNERABLE & MARGINALISED GROUPS PLAN

The constitution of Kenya recognizes a number of communities in various parts of the country and vulnerable and marginalized (see table 1 and annex 3) but although they may be considered VMGs under GoK’s legislation, they also need to meet the Bank’s criteria for determining whether they are indigenous. The Bank’s policy criteria for determining indigenousness will be used during the social assessment and a determination and evaluation made if the policy will be triggered. If the VMGs support the sub-Project implementation a VMGP will be developed.

This Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Framework (VMGF) contains specific measures to ensure that the VMGs receive social and economic benefits that are culturally appropriate, including measures to enhance the capacity of the project implementing agencies and other stakeholders. This VMGF calls for the preparation of a VMGP for each sub projects screened and found to be implemented in areas where VMGs are present or have a collective attachment. The Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Plan (VMGP) will be prepared in a flexible and pragmatic manner, and its level of detail will varies depending on the specific project and the nature of effects to be addressed.

7.1.1 Elements of a Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Plan

All the VMGPs that will be prepared under the RPLRP will include the following elements, as needed:

1. A summary of a scale appropriate to the project, of the legal and institutional framework applicable to Indigenous Peoples. Baseline information on the demographic, social, cultural, and political characteristics of the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities, the land and territories that they have traditionally owned or customarily used or occupied, and the natural resources on which they depend.
2. A summary of the social assessment.
3. A summary of results of the free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected VMGs that was carried out during project preparation and that led to broad community support for the project.
4. A framework for ensuring free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected VMGs during project implementation.
5. An action plan of measures to ensure that the VMGs receive social and economic benefits that are culturally appropriate, including, if necessary, measures to enhance the capacity of the project implementing agencies.
6. When potential adverse effects on VMGs are identified, appropriate action plans of measures to avoid, minimize, mitigate, or compensate for these adverse effects.
7. The cost estimates and financing plan for the VMGP; each sub project will bear full cost of assisting and rehabilitating VMGs.
8. Accessible procedures appropriate to the project to address grievances by the affected VMGs arising from project implementation. When designing the grievance procedures,
the borrower takes into account the availability of judicial recourse and customary dispute settlement mechanisms among the VMGs’.

9. Mechanisms and benchmarks appropriate to the project for monitoring, evaluating, and reporting on the implementation of the VMGP. The monitoring and evaluation mechanisms should include arrangements for the free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected VMGs’.

**Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Plan**

The action plan will consist of a number of activities and will include mitigation measures of potentially negative impacts, modification of sub-project design, and development assistance. Where there is land acquisition in VMGs, the Project will ensure that their rights will not be violated and that they be compensated for the use of any part of their land in a manner that is culturally acceptable to them. The compensation will follow the Resettlement Policy Framework of the project.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>Carry out an inventory of VMGs</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and executing partner executing agencies/VMGs</td>
<td>Population and dynamics of VMPs in screened areas well understood by key players</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups</td>
<td>Reconnaissance survey</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and partner executing agencies/VMGs</td>
<td>VMGs in all areas identified give broad support for the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation and Mobilization</td>
<td>Community meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultations with Vulnerable and</td>
<td>Participatory Rural Appraisals</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and executing partner executing agencies/VMGs</td>
<td>Information from consultations verified by VMGs and VMGOs as correct and a true representation of their needs and priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginalized Groups</td>
<td>Baseline Surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping of community resources critical to VMGs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of strategies for participation of VMGs</td>
<td>Social Screening</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and executing partner executing agencies/VMGs</td>
<td>Community transect reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and mitigation measures</td>
<td>VMGOs</td>
<td>do not leave the VMGs worse off than they were Activities respect the rights, culture and dignity of the VMGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry out VMGP(s), if the need arises</td>
<td>If the inventory documents that the proposed sub projects might impact on the indigenous peoples: carry out VMGP</td>
<td>The VMGP are accepted by the GoK, the World Bank and the VMGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building</td>
<td>Trainings for VMGs and VMPOs</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and executing partner executing agencies VMGOs VMGs and VMGOs use training to advance their cause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equitable representation of VMGs in decision making organs</td>
<td>Election of representatives Annual Steering Committee meetings Bi-annual District Level meetings</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and executing partner executing agencies VMGOs Active participation of VMGs in forums VMGs and M&amp;E indicate that representation is satisfactory to the VMGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory M&amp;E with VMGs</td>
<td>Internal M&amp;E External M&amp;E</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and executing partner executing agencies VMGOs M&amp;E reports accessible to VMPs and implementing agencies Mechanism for feedback into VMGF in place and implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and Capacity Building for</td>
<td>Training of staff from partner executing agencies and VMG</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU and executing partner executing agencies Participants are able to implement VMGF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of VMGPF</td>
<td>Organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8 STRATEGY FOR PARTICIPATION & CONSULTATION WITH VULNERABLE & MARGINALISED GROUPS

Participation of VMGs in selection, design and implementation of the subprojects will largely determine the extent to which the VMGP objectives would be achieved. Where adverse impacts are likely, the RPLRP/PMU will undertake prior and informed consultations with the likely affected indigenous communities and those who work with and/or are knowledgeable of indigenous people’s development issues and concerns. To facilitate effective participation, EA will follow a timetable to consult indigenous people communities at different stages of the Project program cycle, especially during preparation of the civil works program. The primary objectives would be to examine the following:

- To seek their inputs/feedback to avoid or minimize the potential adverse impacts associated with the required works;
- Identify culturally appropriate impact mitigation measures; and
- Assess and adopt economic opportunities, which the EA could promote to complement the measures required to mitigate the adverse impacts.

Consultations will be carried out broadly in two stages. First, prior to final selection of any subproject located in an area inhabited by VMGs, the RPLRP/PMU will consult the VMGs about the need for, and the probable positive and negative impacts associated with the expansion/renovation works. Second, prior to detailed impact assessment, ascertain how the VMGs in general perceive the need for undertaking physical works for the subproject and gather any inputs/feedback they might offer for better outcomes, which would eventually be addressed in VMGP and design of the physical works.

The EA will:

- Facilitate widespread participation of VMGs with adequate gender and generational representation; customary/traditional VMG organizations; community elders/leaders; and civil society organizations VMGs development issues and concerns.

- Provide them with all relevant information about the subproject, including that on potential adverse impacts, organize and conduct the consultations in manners to ensure free expression of their views and preferences.

- Document details of all consultation meetings, with VMGs perceptions of the proposed works and the associated impacts, especially the adverse ones; any inputs/feedbacks offered by VMGs; and an account of the conditions agreed with indigenous people.
The EA will assess the detailed impacts at household and community levels, with a particular focus on the adverse impacts perceived by VMGs and the probable (and feasible) mitigation and community development measures. To ensure continuing informed participation and more focused discussions, the EA will provide indigenous people with the impact details of the proposed civil works. Consultations will cover topics/areas concerning cultural and socioeconomic characteristics, as well as those indigenous people consider important. Consultations will continue throughout the preparation and implementation period, with increasing focus on the households, which might be directly affected. Consultation stages, probable participants, methods, and expected outcomes are suggested in the VMGs consultation matrix below.

### Table 4. VMGs Consultation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultation Stages</th>
<th>Consultation Participants</th>
<th>Consultation</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reconnaissance &amp; ground verification of existing and location/sites for sub projects</strong></td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU, project consultants (Social Scientist) and other stakeholders</td>
<td>VMGs, including organizations, community leaders/elders</td>
<td>Open meetings &amp; discussions, visit of proposed sub project sites, IP settlements &amp; surroundings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Screening of the proposed sub projects</strong></td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU, APs consultants (Social Scientists) &amp; other stakeholders</td>
<td>VMGs, including likely affected IPs, IP organizations, community leaders/elders, key informants</td>
<td>Open meetings, focus group discussions, spot interviews, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-depth study of risks and benefits taking into consideration, inter alia the conditions that led to</strong></td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU project consultants (Social Scientist), NGOs / CBOs, other knowledgeable persons</td>
<td>Would-be affected VMGs, VMGs, organizations, Community leaders/elders, key informants</td>
<td>Formal/informal interviews; focus group discussions; hotspot discussion on specific impacts,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community consensus</td>
<td>Social Assessment (SA)</td>
<td>Adversely affected individual VMGs./households</td>
<td>Structured survey questionnaires covering quantitative &amp; qualitative information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPLRP/PMU</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU project consultants (Social Scientist)</td>
<td>VMGs, organizations, community leaders/elders, adversely affected VMGs</td>
<td>Group consultations, hot spot discussions, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preparation of civil works and VMGP</td>
<td>Preparation of civil works and VMGP, project consultants (Social Scientist) and other stakeholders</td>
<td>VMGs, organizations, community leaders/elders, adversely affected VMGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU, APs, consultants (Social Scientists) &amp; other stakeholders</td>
<td>Individual VMGs, organizations, community leaders/elders &amp; other stakeholders</td>
<td>Implementation monitoring committees (formal or informal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring &amp; Evaluation</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU, APs, consultants (Social Scientists), NGOs &amp; CBOs</td>
<td>VMGs organizations/groups and individuals</td>
<td>Formal participation in review and monitoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following strategies should also be included in the project to support the participation of the VMGs:

1. The project needs to accommodate the most vulnerable and destitute members of VMGs, especially those who have been living in the project area.
2. Encourage members of VMGs’ to be get involved in various development planning, implementation, operation and maintenance (O&M) activities in the project through arranging related training;
3. Assist members of VMGs’ to develop their capacity and capability to enable them to participate in proposed sub projects;
4. Explore avenues for creating employment opportunities for VM women;
5. The project should ensure adequate resources and technical support for the implementation of the action plan for VMGs’.
6. At all stages culturally appropriate communication methods (verbal and nonverbal, in local language) should be used to ensure meaningful consultation.
7. Provision to ensure involvement of indigenous community members in various training activities as part of the project to make their livelihoods more sustainable;

Once the VMGs are identified in the project area, the VMGPs will ensure mitigation of any adverse impact of the project. The sub project should ensure benefits to the VMGs by providing, in consultation with the VMGs themselves, opportunity to get them involved in various income earning opportunities and activities;

The following issues need to be addressed during the implementation stage of the project;

- Provision of an effective mechanism for monitoring implementation of the VMGF and any VMGPs
- Development of accountability mechanism to ensure the planned benefits of the project are received by indigenous people;
- Involve suitably experienced NGOs to address the VMGs’ vulnerability through developing and implementing action plans;
- Ensuring appropriate budgetary allocation of resources for the VMGs’ development plans;
- Provision of technical assistance for sustaining the VMGF;
- Ensure that VMGs traditional social organizations, cultural heritage, traditional political and community organizations are protected;
9 GRIEVANCES REDRESS MECHANISM

Even with the best-designed social impact assessments, agreements, engagement programs and risk mitigation strategies, conflicts and disagreements can still occur, in some cases with the potential for rapid escalation. Grievance handling procedures are required to ensure that VMGs are able to lodge complaints or concerns, without cost, and with the assurance of a timely and satisfactory resolution of the issue. Stakeholders will be informed of the intention to implement the grievance mechanism, and the procedure will be communicated at the time that the VMGPs are finalized.

Vulnerable and marginalized local communities and stakeholders may raise a grievance at all times to the RPLRP/PMU and the executing agencies about any issues covered in this framework and the application of the framework. The VMGs should be informed about this possibility and contact information of the respective organizations at relevant levels should be made available. These arrangements should be described in the project-specific frameworks and VMGPs along with the more project-specific grievance and conflict resolution mechanism. Many of the factors that may give rise to conflict between VMGs and proposed project investments can be a source of conflict with non-VMGs as well. These include, for example:

- Establishing a project investment in the absence of broad community support
- Inadequate engagement or decision-making processes
- Inadequate or inequitable compensation for land
- Inequitable distribution of benefits
- Broken promises and unmet expectations of benefits
- Failing to generate opportunities for employment, training, supply or community development
- Environmental degradation
- Disruption to amenity and lifestyle
- Loss of livelihood
- Violation of human rights
- Social dislocation
- Historical grievances not being adequately addressed.

In addition, however, there are some contextual factors that have particular salience for vulnerable and marginalized people and their relations with sub project investments. For example, a lack of respect (perceived or actual) for indigenous customary rights or culture, history and spirituality, is likely to trigger a strong reaction. Similarly, issues around access to and control of land and the recognition of sovereignty are very important for many VMGs and can lead to serious conflict if they are not handled sensitively and with due respect for the rights of affected groups.
9.1 Overview
A key element during the development of the sub project investment VMGPs will be the development and implementation of a grievance mechanism. Grievances will be actively managed and tracked to ensure that appropriate resolution and actions are taken. A clear time schedule will be defined for resolving grievances, ensuring that they are addressed in an appropriate and timely manner, with corrective actions being implemented if appropriate and the complainant being informed of the outcome. The grievance procedure will be simple and will be administered as far as possible, at the sub project level by the relevant institutions and partners.

The grievance procedure does not replace existing legal processes. Based on consensus, the procedures will seek to resolve issues quickly in order to expedite the receipt of entitlements, without resorting to expensive and time-consuming legal actions. If the grievance procedure fails to provide a result, complainants can still seek legal redress bearing in mind that the constitution of the Government of Kenya recognizes the rights of vulnerable and marginalized groups.

9.2 Grievance Redress Process
All sections of the community where a sub project investment is identified, including those with low levels of literacy, should be able to access the grievances mechanism easily. The RPLRP/PMU and executing partner agencies should facilitate access by maintaining and publicizing multiple access points to complaint mechanisms, such as at the project site and in key locations within communities, including downstream and remote communities.

A grievance redress mechanism will be developed for addressing the grievances from the affected VMGs related to sub project implementation. The procedure of grievance redress will be incorporated in the project information pamphlet to be distributed prior to implementation. Participatory consultation with affected households will be undertaken during project planning and implementation stages.

The EA will establish a mechanism to receive and facilitate resolution of affected VMGs concerns, complaints, and grievances about the project’s safeguards performance at each subproject having VMGs impacts, with assistance from Non-Governmental Organisations (NGO).

9.2.1 Establishment of Grievance Redress Committee
A Grievance Redress Committee will be established at the project area once it has been determined that VMGs are present in an area and that a VMGP is needed. Under the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), a Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) will be formed for each sub project with involvement of VMGs representative & local stakeholders. The GRC will comprise of the following representatives from the area namely:-

1. County Administrator/representative
2. District Administrator
3. Representative of CBOs/NGOs active in the area
4. Representative of the VMGs
5. Women representative from the VMGs
6. Youth representative from the VMGs
7. Government ministries representatives e.g. Ministry of Lands, Ministry of Environment and Mineral Resources, Ministry of Youth and Gender, Ministry Culture and National Heritage etc.
8. Consultants (social specialists)
9. Representative from the Executing Agency e.g. Social Development Specialist

The GRCs are to be formed and activated during the VMGPs implementation process to allow VMGs sufficient time to lodged complaints and safeguard their recognized interests. Assistance to VMGs will be given to document and record the complaint, and if necessary, provide advocate services to address the grievances. The grievance redress mechanisms is designed with the objective of solving disputes at the earliest possible time which will be in the interest of all parties concerned and therefore implicitly discourages referring such matters to the law courts for resolution which would otherwise take a considerably longer time.

As is normal practice under customary law, attempts will be made to ensure that the traditional leaders via the GRC solve all disputes in communities after a thorough investigation of the facts. The traditional dispute resolution structures existing for each of the VMGs will be used as the first step in resolving grievances.

Marginalized and vulnerable communities will be provided with a variety of options for communicating issues and concerns, including in writing, orally, by telephone, over the internet or through more informal methods as part of the grievance redress mechanism. In the case of marginalized groups (such as women and young people), a more proactive approach may be needed to ensure that their concerns have been identified and articulated. This will be done, for example, by providing for an independent person to meet periodically with such groups and to act as an intermediary. Where a third party mechanism is part of the procedural approach to handling complaints, one option will be to include women or youth as representatives on the body that deals with grievances. It should be made clear that access to the mechanism is without prejudice to the complainant’s right to legal recourse. Prior to the approval of individual VMGPs, all the affected VMGs will have been informed of the process for expressing dissatisfaction and seeking redress. The grievance procedure will be simple and administered as far as possible at the local levels to facilitate access, flexibility and ensure transparency.

How conflicts and disagreements are interpreted and handled is shaped by culture, both indigenous and corporate. For this reason, it is very important that sub project executing partner agencies in the RPLRP understand the cultural preferences that VMGs have for dealing with disputes. Well-designed and executed baseline studies should be used to help to build this understanding.

Before the approval of individual VMGPs all the affected VMGs will have to be informed of the process for expressing dissatisfaction and to seek redress. The grievance procedure will be simple and administered as far as possible at the local levels to facilitate access, flexibility and ensure transparency.
9.2.2 Use of Alternative Dispute Resettlement Mechanisms
The Land Act, 2012, Part VIII/128 provides for dispute resolution through the Land and Environment Court. However, as is normal practice under customary law, leaders solve all disputes in communities after a thorough investigation of the facts using the services of local officials. The traditional dispute resolution structures existing for each of the VMGs will be used as the first step in resolving grievances. All attempts would be made to settle grievances. Those seeking redress and wishing to state grievances would do so by notifying their traditional leader of the VMGs or the appropriate district authority, who will in turn inform and consult with MALF/RPLRP/PMU.

9.2.3 Further Redress-Kenya Courts of Law
All the grievances that will not be resolved by the GRC or which the VMGs are dissatisfied with in terms of resolution will be channeled to the existing structures in Kenya for handling grievances which is the Kenyan Courts of Law as the last resort.

9.2.4 Complain Pattern
If a complaint pattern emerges, RPLRP, Sub County and County administrations, with the traditional leaders will discuss possible remediation. The local leaders will be required to give advice concerning the need for revisions to procedures. Once they agree on necessary and appropriate changes, then a written description of the changed process will be made. RPLRP, regional and local administrations and the traditional leaders and representatives will be responsible for communicating any changes to future potential PAPs when the consultation process with them begins.

In selecting a grievance structure, the VMGP should take into account their customary dispute settlement mechanisms, the availability of judicial recourse and the fact that it should be a structure considered by all stakeholders as an independent and qualified actor.

The aim will be to integrate both indigenous and corporate ways of resolving problems into the complaints mechanism. Systems and procedures must adequately reflect VMGs preferences for direct or indirect interaction, negotiation, debate, dialogue, and application of indigenous traditional management and/or ceremony, with external agents to ensure mutually acceptable processes and outcomes.

Where a sub project is dealing with more than one VMG, there may well be multiple culturally appropriate methods for dealing with problems by different interests. Given the often-marked differences between project and indigenous cultures, it is highly desirable to utilize processes that focus on dialogue, building cross-cultural understanding and through this, finding mutually agreeable solutions. Such approaches are more equitable and, on a practical level, are more likely to facilitate viable, long-term resolution of community issues and concerns.

9.2.5 Grievance Log
Documentation and Recording
Documentation of complaints and grievances is important, including those that are communicated informally and orally. These should be logged, assessed, assigned to an individual for management, tracked and closed out or “signed off” when resolved, ideally with the complainant(s) being consulted, where appropriate, and informed of the resolution. Records
provide a way of understanding patterns and trends in complaints, disputes and grievances over time. While transparency should be maintained – for example, through regular reports on issues raised and rates of resolution – provision should also be made for confidentiality of information or anonymity of the complainant(s) whenever necessary.

A grievance log will be established by the RPLRP/PMU and executing partner agencies and copies of the records kept with all the relevant authorities at the County, Sub County and Village level and will be used in monitoring of complaints and grievances.

In each sub project investment, the executing partner agency will appoint a VMGs/Project Liaison Officer (PLO) who will ensure that each complaint has an individual reference number, and is appropriately tracked and recorded actions are completed. The log also contains a record of the person responsible for an individual complaint, and records dates for the following events:

- Date the complaint was reported;
- Date the grievance log was uploaded onto the project database;
- Date information on proposed corrective action sent to complainant (if appropriate);
- The date the complaint was closed out; and
- Date response was sent to complainant.

**Responding to complaints**

Once parties agree on a path forward – such as an apology, compensation or an adjustment to operations – an action plan should be formalized and implemented. Depending on the issue, responses may vary from a single task to a program of work that involves different parts of the operation. Effective responses will also include engagement with parties involved to ensure that the response continues to be appropriate and understood. Communities should also be advised of the closeout of the issue and what has been done to achieve it. This feedback provides an opportunity for the PMU to demonstrate that it has addressed the issue as well as confirming that the community considers the response satisfactory and the matter closed.

**Understanding root causes**

As outlined above, there are many factors that can potentially lead to conflict or disagreement between RPLRP sub projects and communities, both vulnerable and marginalized or otherwise. Although it is not always possible to identify root causes, some issues will warrant deeper analysis in order to better understand the issue and avoid its further escalation. In the absence of a tailored methodology for analyzing community-related disputes and grievances, these methods may be adapted to guide this analysis. Funding will be allocated in during the preparation of each VMGP to support community-based research to highlight the VMGs perspective, which could further provide a deeper understanding of the causes of conflict.

**9.2.6 Monitoring Complaints**

It is important to collect data on community interactions – from low-level concerns and complaints to ongoing disputes and higher-order grievances – so that patterns can be identified and project management alerted to high-risk issues. Effective monitoring may also help to prevent the escalation of lower-level disputes into more serious conflicts.
Information related to monitoring of the VMGPs will be gathered through various channels, such as formal review, evaluation and analysis or through day-to-day interaction with VMGs. Monitoring will help determine the effectiveness of processes for responding to community concerns; for example, by tracking complaint resolution rates over time. This information can then be used to refine the system and improve the outcomes being achieved. The outcomes of monitoring should be reported formally to the community on a regular basis, in addition to being used for internal management purposes. The VMGs/Project Liaison Officer for each sub project investment will be responsible for:

- Providing the project investment reports detailing the number and status of complaints;
- Any outstanding issues to be addressed; and
- Monthly reports, including analysis of the type of complaints, levels of complaints, and actions to reduce complaints.
10 MONITORING AND REPORTING ARRANGEMENTS

10.1 Monitoring and evaluation mechanisms

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) are fundamental components of projects involving affected communities. Monitoring should be participatory and include the monitoring of beneficial and adverse impacts on Indigenous peoples within project impact areas. M&E should be based on free, prior and informed consultation with the VMGs who should play an integral role in its implementation.

All monitoring activities will principally remain the responsibility of the RPLRP/PMU. Each executing partner agency of the RPLRP will be responsible for compiling the data and auditing for completeness of the records, and they will be responsible for providing compiled M&E information to the RPLRP/PMU.

The overall goal of the M&E process for the Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Plan is to ensure that:

- Effective communication and consultation takes place;
- Reporting of any grievances that require resolution;
- Document the performance of the RPLRP as regards the VMGs; and allow program managers and participants to evaluate whether the affected VMGs have maintained their rights, culture and dignity and that they are not worse off than they were before the project.

The specific objectives of evaluation will include:
1. An assessment of the compliance of activities undertaken in relation to the objectives and methods identified in the VMGF;
2. An assessment of the consultation procedures that have taken place at the community and individual level;
3. An assessment on whether the affected communities have had access to mitigation activities;
4. The occurrence of grievances and extent of resolution of disputes;
5. An evaluation of the impact of the Project on income and standard of living within the communities; and
6. Identification of actions that can improve the positive impact of the Project and mitigate potential negative impacts.

The VMGPs will indicate parameters to be monitored, institute monitoring milestones and provide resources necessary to carry out the monitoring activities. The RPLRP/PMU will institute an administrative reporting system that will:

- Provide timely information about all grievances arising as a result of RPLRP activities;
- Identify any grievances that have not been resolved at a local level and require resolution through the involvement of the RPLRP/PMU;
- Document the timely completion of project obligations for all vulnerable and marginalized peoples grievances;
The M&E reports for each sub project investment will be prepared by each sub project executing partner agency of the RPLRP each year and presented to VMGs for feedback etc., before being handed over to the VMGF-committees at district or county level for discussion and prepare recommendations on how to fine-tune the VMGP. There will be a sub project steering committee, which will be established for each sub project where VMGs are involved and a representative of the VMGs will sit in this committee. The M and E report will be submitted to this committee for review and then submitted to the RPLRP/PMU and the World Bank.

Every year an independent external evaluation will be carried out to further crosscheck the quality and to guarantee that the indigenous peoples’ dignity, human rights, economies, and cultures are respected by the RPLRP, that all decisions which affect any of these are based on the free, prior, and informed consultation with the indigenous peoples, that the indigenous peoples receive social and economic benefits that are culturally appropriate and gender and inter-generationally inclusive, that adverse effects on the indigenous peoples' communities are, as much as possible, avoided, and if this was according to the VMGPF sub project committees not feasible, minimize, mitigate, or compensate in a culturally appropriate manner, based on broad support by the indigenous peoples’ communities.

10.1.1 Participatory Impact Monitoring

The monitoring and evaluation of the VMGF implementation as well as the implementation of the sub projects in the operational areas inhabited by VMGs is an important management tool, which should include arrangements for the free, prior, and informed consultations with the affected VMGs. The implementation of the participatory impact monitoring (PIM) at district or county level will be an important element to assist the various structures to fine-tune their intervention in view to maximize culturally appropriate benefits and provide space for the indigenous peoples’ communities to voice their concerns.

The PIM will be based on the data gathered by the screening process/social assessments, the organizations of the VMGs, the relevant governmental structures (lands, forests, development and social) at county or district level etc. The organizations representing the VMGs will play a key role as facilitator of the PIM process and the selection of the facilitators will be the decision of the communities, but it is advised to choose people who are able to elaborate on the basis of the PIM reports, which reflect the situation on the ground in a transparent and plausible way.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Data Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building for implementation of VMPPF</td>
<td>Number of individuals &amp; institutions trained</td>
<td>RPLRP/PMU</td>
<td>Training workshops reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Orientation and Mobilization</td>
<td>Number of VMGs meetings; Number of VMGs sensitized</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU Vulnerable and Marginalized</td>
<td>Reconnaissance survey reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groups Organizations/Elders</td>
<td>Community meeting reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultations with Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups:</td>
<td>Number of PRA/RRA Attendance of PRA/RRA PRA reports acceptable to VMGs</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU Vulnerable and Marginalized</td>
<td>RRA reports PRA reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groups Organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping of community resources critical to VMGs</td>
<td>Level of VP participation Reports verified and accepted by VMGs</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU Vulnerable and Marginalized</td>
<td>Baseline survey reports Community transect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groups Organizations</td>
<td>reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of strategies for participation of VMGs and mitigation</td>
<td>Number of projects passed by social screening Number of sub projects</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU Vulnerable and Marginalized</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU reports Implementing agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measures</td>
<td>implemented</td>
<td>Groups Organizations</td>
<td>reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building</td>
<td>Types of training Number of Trainings Attendance by VMGs</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU Vulnerable and Marginalized</td>
<td>Training reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groups Organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equitable representation of VMG in decision making organs</td>
<td>Number of meetings attended by VMG representatives Number and types of</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU Vulnerable and Marginalized</td>
<td>District Level and National Steering Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VMGs issues articulated</td>
<td>Groups Organizations</td>
<td>reports VMGO reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory M&amp;E with VMG</td>
<td>Internal M&amp;E External M&amp;E</td>
<td>RPLRP/ PMU Vulnerable and Marginalized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groups Organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11 DISCLOSURE ARRANGEMENTS FOR VMGFS

11.1 Communication framework

Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries (State Department of Livestock) is the principal implementing institution for this project and a senior official in the Ministry will be the overall Project Coordinator. MALF will also be responsible for day-to-day implementation (project management, financial management, procurement, disbursement, monitoring, including environmental and social aspects of the project etc.) for all components.

A Project Management Unit (PMU) housed in the MALF will manage the RPLRP and specific arrangements for administering project activities by the PMU at other levels will be established during project design.

In regard to ensuring compliance with the banks’ safeguards, the RPLRP/PMU will recruit or retain the current environmental and social safeguard specialist. These specialists will provide technical support and ensure compliance with the VMGF by coordinating and working with the executing institutions in the RPLRP.

This communication framework elaborates principles, strategies and structures on how the RPLRP and the affected VMGs should interact at each stage of project preparation and implementation to satisfy the criteria of free, prior and informed consultations.

A sub project steering committee will be formed if a determination is made during the screening that the sub project is likely to be located in an area with VMGs and hence likely to interfere with their livelihood and rights. The committee will comprise of the MALF, RPLRP/PMU representative, County and Sub County representative and a representative from the VMGs. In addition sector ministries including Environment and Mineral Resources, Lands, Gender, Sports, Culture and Social Affairs will also be in this committee. This committee will provide a linkage between RPLRP, the VMGs and the County/sub County administration. It should meet once every month and work as focal point for all VMGF related issues at during the implementation of that sub project.

It should be informed about all kinds of RPLRP activities and communicate relevant information through the VMGs representatives to the vulnerable and marginalized communities. It should also gather information and feedback from the vulnerable and marginalized communities to channel them to the relevant governmental structures and the RPLRP/PMU.

The elected representative of the VMGs for a particular sub project will be in charge to facilitate the communication between the VMGs in their area. They will be elected during the pilot phase of the VMGF after a further introduction and general discussion on the VMGF, the communication channels etc. to ensure that the elected representatives
have broad community support and are elected on the base of free, prior and informed consultations.

11.2 Disclosure
This VMGF and sub project VMGPs will be made available to the affected VMGs in an appropriate form, manner, and language. Before project appraisal, the RPLRP/PMU will send the social assessment and draft VMGP to the Bank for review. Once the Bank accepts the documents as providing an adequate basis for project appraisal, the Bank will make them available to the public in accordance with Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information, and the GOK will also make the documents available to the affected communities in the same manner as the earlier draft documents.

Each subproject VMGP will be disclosed to the affected VMG with detailed information of the subproject. This will be done through public consultation and made available as brochures, leaflets, or booklets, using local languages. Summary of the VMGP will be made available in hard copies and in language at: (i) Offices of the EA; (ii) Sub County or County Office; and (iv) any other local level public offices. Electronic versions of the framework as well as the VMGPs will be placed on the official website of MALF and the official website of Bank after approval and endorsement of the VMGF and each VMGP by the Bank.

11.3 Roles and Responsibilities
11.3.1 RPLRP/PMU
RPLRP/PMU specifically the environment and social safeguard specialists will remain responsible for:

- Screening for projects affecting Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups;
- Review and approve project proposals, ensuring that they adequately apply the World Bank’s Indigenous Peoples Policy;
- Assess the adequacy of the assessment of project impacts and the proposed measures to address issues pertaining to affected indigenous communities. When doing so project activities, impacts and social risks, circumstances of the affected indigenous communities, and the capacity of the applicant to implement the measures should be assessed. If the risks or complexity of particular issues
- Assess the adequacy of the consultation process and the affected indigenous communities’ broad support to the project—and not provide funding until such broad support has been ascertained; and
- Monitor project implementation, and include constraints and lessons learned concerning VMGs and the application of this VMGF in its progress and monitoring reports; it should be assured that affected indigenous communities are included in monitoring and evaluation exercises

11.3.2 Non-Governmental Organizations
The NGOs present and active in the area will be used during the social assessment studies as well as during the monitoring and evaluation of each sub project. The formation of Grievance Redress Committees and Steering Committees for each sub project investment will also include representation by NGOs.
11.3.3 **World Bank**
The Bank will receive all the VMGPs prepared and review and provide a No Objection or otherwise prior to sub project implementation. During appraisal, the bank will also conduct field monitoring and evaluation. The bank will also approve the VMGF for the RPLRP.
12 VMGF IMPLEMENTATION BUDGET

All costs for implementation of VMGP will be financed by the RPLRP. The costs will be estimated during feasibility based on interviews with community members and relevant government officials. This will be updated after the detailed survey and investigation as well as further consultations with VMGs.

The budget for the implementation of the VMGP mainly includes costs for skills development & self-employment training of the VMGs, consultation/meetings, information dissemination, NGO/Agency hiring for VMGP implementation & monitoring, GRM etc. Once the subproject has been appraised and finalized in the context of the VMGF, the required budget is to be allocated by the EA for proper implementation of the VMGP. The VMGPs budget will also include costs for implementation of VMGPs, such as salaries and travel costs of the relevant RPLRP/PMU staff. In summary there will be adequate budgetary provisions to implement any VMGP where necessary for the subproject development. The Government of Kenya will finance all the cost of the VMGP.

At this stage, it is not possible to estimate the exact number of VMGs who may be affected under RPLRP since the technical designs and details of all investments have not yet been finalized. It is therefore not possible to provide an estimated budget for the total cost of VMGF that may be associated with RPLRP implementation.

However, when these locations are known, and after the conclusion of the site-specific socio-economic study, a detailed and accurate budgets for each VMGP will be prepared. Each VMGP will include a detailed budget, using the following template thus facilitating the preparation of a detailed and accurate budget for resettlement and compensation.

Table xx: Indicative Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative Budgetary Item</th>
<th>Unit cost (USD$)</th>
<th>Total cost (USD$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Stakeholders trainings/consultation forums on VMGF</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Monitoring and evaluation studies on the implementation of VMGPs under RPLRP</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Evaluation of Livelihood empowerment programs under RPLRP</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. RPLRP VMGPs training manual</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Annual VMGPs audit</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,550,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### 14 ANNEX

#### 14.1 ANNEX 1-SOCIAL SCREENING FORM

To be filled by RPLRP/PMU Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOCIAL SCREENING FORM FOR RPLRP ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. BACKGROUND INFORMATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 1. Type/description/justification of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proposed activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 2. Location of activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3. Duration of activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 4. Focal point and person for activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. EXPECTED BENEFITS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1. Benefits for local people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2. Benefits to Vulnerable and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginalized Groups (VMGs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3. Total Number of expected beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4. Total Number of expected Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Marginalized Peoples beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5. Ratio of B4 and B5; Are benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distributed equitably? □ YES □ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If NO state remedial measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. POTENTIAL ADVERSE SOCIAL IMPACTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1. Will activity entail restriction of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>access of VMP to lands and related natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resources □ YES □ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes exclude from project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. Will activity entail commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development of natural and cultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resources critical to VMGs □ YES □ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes exclude from project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3. Will activity entail physical relocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Vulnerable and Marginalized Peoples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ YES □ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes exclude from project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. CONSULTATION WITH IP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1. Has VMP orientation to project been</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>done for this group? □ YES □ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2. Has PRA/RRA been done in this area?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ YES □ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3. Did the VMP give broad support for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project □ YES □ NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared by: __________________________     Verified by: __________________________
Note: Attach sketch maps, PRA/RRA results and other relevant documents.
14.2 ANNEX 2- CONTENTS VULNERABLE AND MARGINALIZED GROUPS FRAMEWORK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OP 4.10, Indigenous Peoples Planning Framework</th>
<th>These policies were prepared for use by World Bank staff and are not necessarily a complete treatment of the subject.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The Indigenous Peoples Planning Framework (IPPF) sets out:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) The types of programs and subprojects likely to be proposed for financing under the project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) The potential positive and adverse effects of such programs or subprojects on Indigenous Peoples.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) A plan for carrying out the social assessment for such programs or subprojects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) A framework for ensuring free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected Indigenous Peoples‘ communities at each stage of project preparation and implementation (see paragraph 10 of this policy).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Institutional arrangements (including capacity building where necessary) for screening project-supported activities, evaluating their effects on Indigenous Peoples, preparing IPPs, and addressing any grievances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Monitoring and reporting arrangements, including mechanisms and benchmarks appropriate to the project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) Disclosure arrangements for IPPs to be prepared under the IPPF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14.3 ANNEX 3-CONTENTS OF VULNERABLE AND MARGINALIZED GROUPS PLAN (VMGP), OP 4.10

Indigenous Peoples Development Plan

Prerequisites
Prerequisites of a successful development plan for indigenous peoples are as follows:

(a) The key step in project design is the preparation of a culturally appropriate development plan based on full consideration of the options preferred by the indigenous people affected by the project.

(b) Studies should make all efforts to anticipate adverse trends likely to be induced by the project and develop the means to avoid or mitigate harm.

(c) The institutions responsible for government interaction with indigenous peoples should possess the social, technical, and legal skills needed for carrying out the proposed development activities. Implementation arrangements should be kept simple. They should normally involve appropriate existing institutions, local organizations, and nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) with expertise in matters relating to indigenous peoples.

(d) Local patterns of social organization, religious beliefs, and resource use should be taken into account in the plan's design.

(e) Development activities should support production systems that are well adapted to the needs and environment of indigenous peoples, and should help production systems under stress to attain sustainable levels.

(f) The plan should avoid creating or aggravating the dependency of indigenous people on project entities. Planning should encourage early handover of project management to local people. As needed, the plan should include general education and training in management skills for indigenous people from the onset of the project.

(g) Successful planning for indigenous peoples frequently requires long lead times, as well as arrangements for extended follow-up. Remote or neglected areas where little previous experience is available often require additional research and pilot programs to fine-tune development proposals.

(h) Where effective programs are already functioning, Bank support can take the form of incremental funding to strengthen them rather than the development of entirely new programs.

Contents of VMGP
The development plan should be prepared in tandem with the preparation of the main investment. In many cases, proper protection of the rights of indigenous people will require the implementation of special project components that may lie outside the
primary project's objectives. These components can include activities related to health and nutrition, productive infrastructure, linguistic and cultural preservation, entitlement to natural resources, and education. The project component for indigenous people’s development should include the following elements, as needed:

(a) **Legal Framework.** The plan should contain an assessment of (i) the legal status of the groups covered by this OD, as reflected in the country's constitution, legislation, and subsidiary legislation (regulations, administrative orders, etc.); and (ii) the ability of such groups to obtain access to and effectively use the legal system to defend their rights. Particular attention should be given to the rights of indigenous peoples to use and develop the lands that they occupy, to be protected against illegal intruders, and to have access to natural resources (such as forests, wildlife, and water) vital to their subsistence and reproduction.

(b) **Baseline Data.** Baseline data should include (i) accurate, up-to-date maps and aerial photographs of the area of project influence and the areas inhabited by indigenous peoples; (ii) analysis of the social structure and income sources of the population; (iii) inventories of the resources that indigenous people use and technical data on their production systems; and (iv) the relationship of indigenous peoples to other local and national groups. It is particularly important that baseline studies capture the full range of production and marketing activities in which indigenous people are engaged. Site visits by qualified social and technical experts should verify and update secondary sources.

(c) **Land Tenure.** When local legislation needs strengthening, the Bank should offer to advise and assist the borrower in establishing legal recognition of the customary or traditional land tenure systems of indigenous peoples. Where the traditional lands of indigenous peoples have been brought by law into the domain of the state and where it is inappropriate to convert traditional rights into those of legal ownership, alternative arrangements should be implemented to grant long-term, renewable rights of custodianship and use to indigenous peoples. These steps should be taken before the initiation of other planning steps that may be contingent on recognized land titles.

(d) **Strategy for Local Participation.** Mechanisms should be devised and maintained for participation by indigenous people in decision making throughout project planning, implementation, and evaluation. Many of the larger groups of indigenous people have their own representative organizations that provide effective channels for communicating local preferences. Traditional leaders occupy pivotal positions for mobilizing people and should be brought into the planning process, with due concern for ensuring genuine representation of the indigenous population. No foolproof methods exist, however, to guarantee full local-level participation. Sociological and technical advice provided through the regional environment divisions (REDs) is often needed to develop mechanisms appropriate for the project area.

(e) **Technical Identification of Development or Mitigation Activities.** Technical proposals should proceed from on-site research by qualified professionals acceptable to the Bank. Detailed descriptions should be prepared and appraised for such proposed services as
education, training, health, credit, and legal assistance. Technical descriptions should be included for the planned investments in productive infrastructure. Plans that draw upon indigenous knowledge are often more successful than those introducing entirely new principles and institutions. For example, the potential contribution of traditional health providers should be considered in planning delivery systems for health care.

(f) Institutional Capacity. The government institutions assigned responsibility for indigenous peoples are often weak. Assessing the track record, capabilities, and needs of those institutions is a fundamental requirement. Organizational issues that need to be addressed through Bank assistance are the (i) availability of funds for investments and field operations; (ii) adequacy of experienced professional staff; (iii) ability of Indigenous Peoples’ own organizations, local administration authorities, and local NGOs to interact with specialized government institutions; (iv) ability of the executing agency to mobilize other agencies involved in the plan’s implementation; and (v) adequacy of field presence.

(g) Implementation Schedule. Components should include an implementation schedule with benchmarks by which progress can be measured at appropriate intervals. Pilot programs are often needed to provide planning information for phasing the project component for indigenous peoples with the main investment. The plan should pursue the long-term sustainability of project activities subsequent to completion of disbursement.

(h) Monitoring and Evaluation. Independent monitoring capacities are usually needed when the institutions responsible for indigenous populations have weak management histories. Monitoring by representatives of Indigenous Peoples’ own organizations can be an efficient way for the project management to absorb the perspectives of indigenous beneficiaries and is encouraged by the Bank. Monitoring units should be staffed by experienced social science professionals, and reporting formats and schedules appropriate to the project’s needs should be established. Monitoring and evaluation reports should be reviewed jointly by the senior management of the implementing agency and by the Bank. The evaluation reports should be made available to the public.

(i) Cost Estimates and Financing Plan. The plan should include detailed cost estimates for planned activities and investments. The estimates should be broken down into unit costs by project year and linked to a financing plan. Such programs as revolving credit funds that provide indigenous people with investment pools should indicate their accounting procedures and mechanisms for financial transfer and replenishment. It is usually helpful to have as high a share as possible of direct financial participation by the Bank in project components dealing with indigenous peoples.
14.4 ANNEX 4: SAMPLE TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR DEVELOPING A VMGP

A. Executive Summary of the Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups Plan

2. This section should concisely describe the critical facts, significant findings, and recommended actions.

B. Description of the Project/Background Information

3. This section provides a general description of the project; discusses project components and activities that may bring impacts on indigenous people; and identify project area.

The ToR should provide pertinent background for preparing the VMGP. This would include a brief description of:

- Statement of the project objectives,
- Implementing agency/sponsor and their requirements for conducting a VMGP,
- Project components, especially those that will finance subprojects;
- Anticipated types of subprojects/components, and what types will not be financed by the project;
- Areas of influence to be assessed (description plus good map)
- Summary of environmental/social setting
- Applicable Bank safeguards policies, and consequent Project preparation requirements.

The ToR should also include a brief history of the project, including alternatives considered, its current status and timetable, and the identities of any associated projects. Also include a description of other project preparation activities underway (e.g., legal analysis, institutional analysis, social assessment, baseline study).

C. Social Impact Assessment

4. This section should among others entail:
   (i) Review of the legal and institutional framework applicable to indigenous people in the project context

   (ii) Provide baseline information on the demographic, social, cultural, and political characteristics of the affected Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups (VMGs); the land and territories that they have traditionally owned or customarily used or occupied; and the natural resources on which they depend.

   (iii) Identify key project stakeholders and elaborate a culturally appropriate and gender-sensitive process for meaningful consultation with VMGs at each stage of project preparation and implementation, taking the review and baseline information into account.
(iv) Assess, based on meaningful consultation with the affected indigenous people’s communities, the potential adverse and positive effects of the project. Critical to the determination of potential adverse impacts is a gender-sensitive analysis of the relative vulnerability of, and risks to, the affected indigenous people’s communities given their particular circumstances and close ties to land and natural resources, as well as their lack of access to opportunities relative to those available to other social groups in the communities, regions, or national societies in which they live.

(v) Include a gender-sensitive assessment of the affected VMGs perceptions about the project and its impact on their social, economic, and cultural status.

(vi) identify and recommend, based on meaningful consultation with the affected indigenous peoples communities, the measures necessary to avoid adverse effects or, if such measures are not possible, identifies measures to minimize, mitigate, and/or compensate for such effects and to ensure that the indigenous peoples receive culturally appropriate benefits under the project.

Information Disclosure, Consultation and Participation
5. This section of the ToR should:
(i) Describe the information disclosure, consultation and participation process with the affected VMGs that was carried out during project preparation;

(ii) Summarize their comments on the results of the social impact assessment and identifies concerns raised during consultation and how these have been addressed in project design;

(iii) in the case of project activities requiring broad community support, document the process and outcome of consultations with affected indigenous people’s communities and any agreement resulting from such consultations for the project activities and safeguard measures addressing the impacts of such activities;

(iv) (iv) Describe consultation and participation mechanisms to be used during implementation to ensure indigenous people’s participation during implementation; and

(v) Confirm disclosure of the draft and final VMGP to the affected VMGs.

E. Beneficial Measures
6. This section should describe and specify the measures to ensure that the VMGs receive social and economic benefits that are culturally appropriate, and gender responsive.

F. Mitigation Measures
7. This section should specify the measures to avoid adverse impacts on indigenous people; and where the avoidance is impossible, specifies the measures to minimize mitigate and compensate for identified unavoidable adverse impacts for each affected indigenous people groups.
G. Capacity Building
8. This section should provide measures to strengthen the social, legal, and technical capabilities of (a) government institutions to address indigenous people’s issues in the project area; and (b) indigenous people’s organizations in the project area to enable them to represent the affected indigenous peoples more effectively.

H. Grievance Redress Mechanism
9. This section should describe the procedures to redress grievances by affected indigenous people’s communities. It also explains how the procedures are accessible to VMGs and culturally appropriate and gender sensitive.

I. Monitoring, Reporting and Evaluation
10. This section should describe the mechanisms and benchmarks appropriate to the project for monitoring, and evaluating the implementation of the VMGP. It also specifies arrangements for participation of affected indigenous people in the preparation and validation of monitoring, and evaluation reports.

J. Consulting Team
11. The general skills required of VMGP team are: Social Specialist or Anthropologist, Stakeholder engagement specialist, Community Development expert.

K. Services, Facilities and Materials to be provided by the Client
The ToR should specify what services, facilities and materials will be provided to the Consultant by the World Bank and the Borrower, for example:

1. The Project ISDS and draft PAD;
2. Relevant background documentation and studies;
3. Example VMGPs that demonstrate best practice, especially from the region or country;
4. Making all necessary arrangements for facilitating the work of the Consultant and to provide access to government authorities, other Project stakeholders, and Project sites.

L. Schedule and Deliverables
Specify dates for the consultancy deliverables (e.g. detailed work plan within 2 weeks, interim report within 7 weeks, and final draft report within 10 weeks of contract signature), and the overall duration of the consultancy (e.g. 15 weeks from contract signature).

M. Technical Proposal Contents
The ToR should require a technical proposal that at least:

5. Demonstrates that the Consultant understands the overall scope and nature of the VMGP preparation work, and what will be required to respond satisfactorily to each component of the ToR;
6. Demonstrates that the Consultant and his proposed team have relevant and appropriate experience to carry out all components of the ToR. Detailed curriculum vitae for each team member must be included;
7. Describes the overall methodology for carrying out each component of the ToR, including desk and field studies, and data collection and analysis methods; and
8. Provides an initial plan of work, outputs, and staff assignments with levels of effort by task.

N. Budget and Payments
The ToR should indicate if there is a budget ceiling for the consultancy. The ToR should specify the payment schedule (e.g. 10% on contract signature, 10% on delivery of detailed work plan, 40% on delivery of interim report, 30% on delivery of final draft VMGP, 10% on delivery of final VMGP).

0. Other Information
Include here lists of data sources, project background reports and studies, relevant publications, and other items to which the consultant's attention should be directed.
ANNEX 5; SAMPLE FACT SHEET FOR VMGPS; VMGP REVIEW – FACT SHEET FOR VMGPS

To be filled by RPLRP/PMU Team and World Bank as part of review and monitoring

[Country] – [Project ID #] – [Project Name]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Update: [11/20/ 2008]</th>
<th>PROJECT DATA AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer:</td>
<td>Date of Mission:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country:</td>
<td>Project Loan Amount:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project title:</td>
<td>Total Project Cost:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project ID:</td>
<td>Appraisal Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPP #:</td>
<td>Effectiveness Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Manager:</td>
<td>Closing Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Spec.</td>
<td>Last PSR/ISR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Spec.</td>
<td>MTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Last Aide Memoire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REVIEW SUMMARY (Based on Desk and Field Review)

Issues / Observations

Proposed Actions (short term / long term, for TTL, SD, etc.)

B. SAFEGUARD IDENTIFICATION AND COMPLIANCE AT PREPARATION

1 Environmental Safeguard Classification:

2 Safeguard Policies Triggered at Preparation According to the ISDS, EDS, ESDS, PAD:

   Applicable

   Source

   Environmental Assessment (OP/BP 4.01)
   Natural Habitats (OP/GP 4.04)
   Forestry (OP 4.36)
   Pest Management (OP 4.09)
   Cultural Property (OP 4.11) – OPN 11.03
   Indigenous Peoples (OP 4.10)
   Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37)
   Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP 7.60)
   Involuntary Resettlement (OP 4.12) – OD 4.30
   Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP 7.50)

3 Project Objective and Components

   Project Objectives

   Project Description

4 Social Safeguard Triggers: Are there any social safeguard policies which should have
been triggered but were not?

### C. SOCIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS AT PREPARATION

This review is based on IPP PAD SA RAP ISDS (check all that applies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCREENING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have all IP groups in project area been identified (is screening by the Bank adequate)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOCIAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has a social assessment taken place (is baseline data given)? Provide summary of social assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the legal framework regarding IPs been described?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have benefits/ adverse impacts to IP groups been identified?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSULTATION, PARTICIPATION, COMMUNITY SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have IPs been involved in free, prior and informed consultation (at the project’s preparation stage)? Are there any records of consultations? Is there a description of steps for increasing IPs participation during the project implementation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the project have verifiable broad community support (and how has it dealt with the issue of community representation)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a framework for consultation with IPs during the project implementation?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDIGENOUS PEOPLES PLAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there a specific action plan (implementation schedule)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the IPP include activities that benefit IP?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are activities culturally appropriate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have institutional arrangements for IPP been described?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a separate budget earmarked for IPP?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there specific monitoring indicators? If yes, are these monitoring indicators disaggregated by ethnicity?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has a complaint/conflict resolution mechanism been outlined?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disclosure:** Were IPP/IPPF disclosed at the *Infoshop*? Y / N

**Was IPP/IPPF disclosed in Country and in a form and language accessible to IPs?** Y / N

**What’s missing:**

### SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS
If applicable, what considerations have been given to the recognition of the rights to lands and natural resources of IPs

If applicable, what considerations have been given to the IP sharing of benefits in the commercial development of natural and cultural resources?

Does the project involve the physical relocation of IPs (and have they formally agreed to it)? If yes, has the project prepared a resettlement instrument (resettlement policy framework, process framework, resettlement action plan)?

### D. IMPLEMENTATION AND SUPERVISION (Based on initial desk review and verified by field assessment)

#### 1. Social Safeguards

- a. Have issues (anticipated and unexpected) been monitored and reported systematically in Aide Memoires and ISRs? Have appropriate actions been taken?

- b. Were social specialists included in supervision missions and how often?

- c. What are the project impacts on IPs culture, livelihoods and social organization?

- d. In terms of consultation process, are there ongoing consultations with the IP communities? Are there records of carried out consultations?

- e. Have any social risks been identified? Have appropriate risk management strategies/actions been recommended to the Borrower?

- f. Are IPOs (beyond the community level) actively engaged throughout the life of the project?

- g. Does the project contribute to the respect of IP rights as recognized by the country’s legal and policy systems?

#### 2. Effectiveness

- a. Are IPPF and/or IPP implemented satisfactorily? Are they effective? Is funding adequate?

- b. In relation to the implementation of IPPF/IPP, were problems identified, if any? If yes, how were they resolved by the Borrower?

**Effectiveness of Monitoring Program**

3.1 Has the monitoring program been adequately supervised? Are performance indicators effective?
3. Effectiveness of Institutional Responsibilities/Training as outlined in the project documents

4. **Effectiveness of relevant Legal Covenants:** Is compliance with legal covenants being adequately supervised?

**E. SITE VISIT(s)**
- Date
- Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Activity</th>
<th>1.2 Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**F. OVERALL ASSESSMENT (including desk and field reviews)**

1 Overall Assessment and Risk Rating
1.1 To what extent is the OP4.10 relevant in delivering effective development to IP?
1.2 To what extent has OP4.10 (and previously OD4.20) been applied and how?
1.3 To what extent has OP4.10 been efficacious (cost effective) in achieving its objectives?

2 **Recommendations**

3.1 Project specific
3.2 Country / Program specific

3 **List of Attachments**
- Key People Met
- Photos
- etc.

**G. FEEDBACK FROM TTL / SD**
- Date of feedback received
### 14.6 ANNEX 6; THREE POINT RANK ORDER SYSTEM FOR VMGPS

To be filled by RPLRP/PMU Team and World Bank as part of review and monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Screening</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Have all IP groups in project area been identified (is screening adequate)?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>The names of some groups have been mentioned; baseline survey has been proposed; Aggregates all groups together</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description of all indigenous groups is given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Assessment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Has a social assessment been done (Is baseline data given)?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Proposed to collect all relevant data - no specifics; data briefly stated; or not updated, data not disaggregated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Disaggregated population data of IP; relevant socio-economic indicators have been stated; data that needs to be collected are listed;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has legal framework been described?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Brief mention of framework given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Constitutional provisions, legal statutes and government programs in relevant sectors related to indigenous peoples stated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have benefits/ adverse impacts to IP groups been identified?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not Discussed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Potential impacts have been briefly discussed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Potential positive and negative impacts identified and discussed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultation, Participation, Community Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have IP been involved in free, prior and informed consultation at the project implementation stage? Are there any records of consultation?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not determinable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Brief mention that consultations have taken place; no details provided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description of process given; appropriate methods used, interlocutors are representative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does project have verifiable broad community support (and how has it dealt with the issue of community representation)?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>States that IP groups will be involved in preparing village/community action plans; participation process briefly discussed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description of participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is there a framework for consultation with IPs during the project implementation?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Passing mention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed arrangements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indigenous People Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is there a specific plan (implementation schedule)?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Flexible time frame (activities need to be proposed); given activity wise; year-wise distribution; mentioned but integrated into another project document (RAP, etc.); no separate treatment; combined with RAP;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Does the IPP/IPDP include activities that benefit IP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Activities stated but not detailed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Activities clearly specify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Are activities culturally appropriate?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Cultural concerns noted but not explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Activities support cultural norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Have institutional arrangements for IPP been described?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Mentioned but integrated into another project document RAP, etc.); no separate treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description of agencies involved in implementation of plan, including applicable IPO's or tribal organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Is a separate budget earmarked for IPP?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Mentioned but integrated into another project document (RAP, etc.); not broken down activity-wise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there specific monitoring indicators?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Proposed that monitoring indicators shall be designed later; Project outcomes that need to be monitored are stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Monitoring indicators disaggregated by ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has a complaint/conflict resolution mechanism been outlined?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Passing mention of mechanism in document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description and few concrete steps of mechanism given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were the Indigenous Peoples Plan or Framework (IPP/IPPF) disclosed in Infoshop and in Country in an appropriate language?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Disclosed in Infoshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed Summary in appropriate form, manner and language</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Considerations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If applicable, what considerations have been given to the recognition of the rights to lands and natural resources of IPs?</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Passing mention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed considerations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. If applicable, what considerations have been given to the IP sharing of benefits in the commercial development of natural and cultural resources?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Passing mention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed considerations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. Does the project involve the physical relocation of IPs (and have they formally agreed to it)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>No resettlement unless with their prior consent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Only within traditional lands or territories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes, physical relocation outside their traditional territories with no compensation or consent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14.7 **ANNEX 7- PROFILE OF VULNERABLE AND MARGINALIZED GROUPS IN KENYA**

The Constitution of Kenya has established a list of all the vulnerable and marginalized groups in Kenya and they are described below. Although they may be considered as VMGs under GoK’s legislation, they also need to meet the Bank’s criteria for determining whether they are indigenous. Given that this topic is currently under discussion, the framework document describes what groups GoK recognizes as vulnerable and marginalized and the Bank’s policy criteria for determining indigenousness - through the social assessment at the subproject an evaluation is made if the policy will be triggered.

14.7.1 **Sengwer**

The Sengwer live in the three administrative districts of Marakwet, West Pokot and Trans Nzoia in and along Cherangany Hills. They are estimated to be 50,000 (30,000 of them live in their traditional territories and another 20,000 in the diaspora). The Sengwer in Kapenguria and Kesogon hold the total population of the Sengwer at 70,000. They lived by hunting and bee keeping. In his evidence before the 1932 Kenyan Land Commission, Mr. C.H. Kirk, stated how they used to go over Cherengany shooting and the only peoples with whom they came into contact along Cherengany Hills were the Cherengany Dorobo, a small tribe of Dorobo (Sengwer). The Sengwer in diaspora are spread in and out of Kenya living amongst Maasai (Kenya and Uganda), Pokot (Uganda and Kenya), Sabiny (Uganda), Luhya (Sirikwa Mpai, Apa Sengeli), Tugen, Ogiek, Kipsigis, Nandi, Marakwet, Keiyo, Sabaot (Kiptum 2006).

Oral history traces the history of the Sengwer back to a man called Sengwer, who is considered to be the mythical first inhabitant of the Cherangany hills. It is said that he had two sons named Sirikwa (elder) and Mitia, whose children formed the clans: Kapchepororwo, Kapchepar (Kaptoyoi), Kapumpo, Kaptomg, Kapcherop, Kakisango, Kimarich (Kamosus), Kapsormei (Kapseto), Kapetekete, Kipsirat, Kamsetiony (Kopoch & Kapkotet), Kaplama and Kamesieu. Each patrilineage is said to have had their portion of land running from the highlands to the plains. The elders said that before the advent of the colonialists, the Sengwer lived during the rainy season in the vast plains of what is today Trans-Nzoia and during the dry season in the forest on the mountain slopes of the Cherangany hills. It is said that the Sengwer lived in good relation with their neighbors as they were not competing for the same resources, but barter honey and dry meat for food crops and/or milk etc.

It is believed that the first Arab slave and ivory hunters came to the area around 1600 and oral history claims that the Sengwer have been quite involved into the trade. In exchange for the ivory they were provided with Millet and Sorghum seedlings. During the Maasai immigration they acquired their first cattle, but it is a common belief that hunting and gathering remained the main source of livelihood for all Sengwer until the mid of the last century.

As so many other ethnic minorities, the Sengwer were considered by the British to be served best if they were forced to assimilate with their dominant neighbors. Due to that their traditional structure was not recognized and integrated as independent ethnic group
in the system of indirect rule, but as sub-structure of their neighbors. As their land in the plains of Trans Nzoia turned out to be the best area for agricultural production in Kenya, they were displaced entirely from there to make way for white farmers. A minority stayed behind as farm workers, but the majority went up into the forests of the Cherangany hills. When the government started to protect the water-catchments and forests in the 1920ies and 30ies as forest reserves, they acknowledged the presence of the Sengwer and provided them with all usufructuary rights for this area as well as the right to farm on the openings in the forest. They enjoyed these rights until the 1970ies, when a new fashion of conservation recommended that all hunting should be prohibited and forests should be cleansed of people.

As the Sengwer were not considered as independent group, they were also not invited to join the settlement schemes in which the independent Kenya redistributed the white farms to the farm workers and the dominant ethnic groups of the area. While most Sengwer are officially landless, some few Sengwer especially in the northern parts of the Cherangany hills received some land, but even this land is contested.

**Livelihood**

Before the colonial time, Sengwer used to be hunters and honey-gatherers. Following their contacts with the Arabs and the Maasai some adopted small scale agriculture (shifting cultivation) and/or livestock rearing, but it is said that hunting remained their main source of livelihood until the 1920s. The elders reported collective as well as individual hunting techniques. During the Sakas (collective hunt) a group of people would try to circle large animals such as elephants and buffalos on the plains and spear or arrow them down. In contrast, the Kwo (individual hunt) is carried out by a nuclear family and mostly based on the use of poisoned baits and/or traps.

Gathering of fruits and other non-timber-forest-products is mostly done by women, while honey collection from beehives as well as from natural places such as holes in trees etc. is traditionally a male activity. It has - beside being eaten - a variety of uses: Honey is mixed with water as a daily drink (breakfast), and used to brew beer; Honey plays a major role in marriages and other ceremonies. Before marriage, honey is given to the mother of the bride as part of the dowry. The night before the marriage, wife and husband had to smear honey on their future house, each starting in a different direction until they meet and unite. Honey has also medical use. People apply it to their body to drive away mosquitoes and against muscle pains. Another smelly mixture is spread around the compounds to keep wildlife at distance.

Millet and Sorghum are the “traditional” crops, which were inherited from the Arab traders and mostly planted in the lowlands. These days, maize, potatoes, beans and a variety of vegetable are grown. Before land became scarce, the Sengwer used shifting cultivation patterns and changed their farms every three years. Transplanting, harvesting transforming, marketing and preparing of crops is considered beside of gathering, the provision of water and the education of the children as core female activities.
The Sengwer learned to keep animals, especially cattle, from the Maasai, when these arrived in the area in the context of their expansion from the north. The herds of the Sengwer are also due to the common cattle rustling - very small and milk and livestock mostly used for auto-consumption. Most of the ancestral land of the Sengwer is occupied either by other ethnic groups or demarcated as forests, which prohibit legal settlements or agriculture. It is said that around 20% of the Sengwer have legal access to land, but that these plots are on average only 2.5 acres per household, i.e. very small. The majority of the community members are landless. Significant parts of the ancestral lands have been demarcated as forests: Kapkanyar 70,000 acres; Kipteber 57,000 acres; Kapolet 10,800 acres; Chemurgoi 9,800 acres; Sogotio 8,800 acres; Kerer 5,340 acres; Kaisingor 2,680 acres and Embobut 8,000 acres. The problem of the Sengwer to access land and/or resources legally might best be described best through an assessment of the three communities visited:

The Embobut forest in the Marakwet district contains, according to local sources, approximately 5,000 Sengwer, which claim to have arrived in the area in the 1930s when they were displaced from the plains of Trans-Nzoia. The settlements are located right on top of the highest lines of the Cherangany hills, with a view into the Rift Valley and the plains of Trans-Nzoia on either side, but without roads, schools, health infrastructure as it is officially considered as forest. The people who took refuge there, report of ongoing conflicts with forest officials and neighboring communities. They commonly stated that the forest guards would arrive every three to four years to burn and destroy their houses and farms in the name of forest conservation and to loot their property. In the meantime armed cattle rustlers would come time and again to take crops and cattle and shoot those who resisted. The Sengwer of the Embobut forest made clear that the local and central administration did not react on any complaints against the evictions, with the argument that the Sengwer are illegally in the area and due to that not entitled to any protection from the state and county council. Their average annual cash income is said to be around KSh 3,000 (USD 40) per household as significant parts of their production are taken away before they can market it.

The situation of the Sengwer of the Kapolet forest is not much better. Presently there are 487 Sengwer households living in this half-legal settlement, which had been given to them after they invaded a state lodge. The history of these people is closely linked to the quest of the Sengwer for land and recognition: In result of years of broken promises from side of the government approximately 2,000 Sengwer invaded on March, 22, 1997 a state owned farm in the plains (ADC Milimani) and stayed there even when their elders and leaders were arrested. After a month of serious fights, the government offered them a new settlement scheme in the Kapolet forest (in total over 3,000 acres) in exchange for a peaceful end of the invasion. The Sengwer accepted, and in a first phase 1,000 acres were demarcated for nearly 500 households, who moved in the same month, but the promised letters of allotment were not even issued by December 2005 with the official reason that the land is officially a forest and due to that not suitable for a settlement scheme. Due to the same reason, the second and third phase of the settlement scheme, which supposed to provide the entire 3,000 acres to Sengwer, have not yet started.
In view of legal access to land, the Sengwer of the Talau Location are quite lucky. All 755 households have letters of allotment and they are satisfied with the quality and size of their lands, but they also have significant problems: Only in 2005 about 20 Sengwer of this small location with a total population of around 4,000 people have been killed by cattle rustlers. The total loss of cattle is reported to be around 400 and the non-economic losses might be even higher as most families have to be on alert each night. The Sengwer complained bitterly that even those cattle which have been identified to be theirs, were not returned and that no support was coming from the government. In contrast, some rifles, which had been organized by the only Sengwer councilor to protect the lives and property of the Sengwer, have been confiscated by the police, leaving the Sengwer unarmed to stand well equipped intruders. From that perspective it is not surprising that most Sengwer feel marginalized by the government.

Social organization
Patrilineages led by the elders are the traditional form of self-organization. In contrast to other hunter-gatherer societies, the influence of the elders seems to be quite strong among the Sengwer and have also survived the advent of modern forms of self-organization. In their struggle for land and recognition the Sengwer-elites have created a good number of Community Based Organizations and NGOs among others the Sengwer Indigenous Development Project and the Hunter-Gatherer Forum of Kenya, Sengwer land allocation committee). These groups will be consulted during the stakeholder consultation period.

Those Sengwer who have managed to obtain legal access to land also received some form of representation at local and regional level. The Sengwer of the Talau location have a Sengwer sub-chief and also an elected councilor (who presently serves as assistant mayor) in the county council since 1971, while those Sengwer who remain in illegal (Embobut forest) or partly legal settlements (Kapolet forest), are not represented by one of their people, but by members of other ethnic groups in the area.

Ancestral Territories, Lands and Natural Resources
The Sengwer tribal boundary covers the whole of what is today’s Cherengany constituency, parts of Sabaot and Kwanza constituencies in Trans-Nzoia district, parts of Lugari district, parts of West and East Marakwet constituencies in Marakwet district, and parts of Kapenguria and Sogor constituencies in West Pokot district. Before the coming of the colonialists Sengwer lived in these areas from time immemorial and bordered the Nandi, Pokot (Suk), Marakwet, Uasin Gishu Maasai, Keiyo, Karamojong (Uganda), Kony, and Sebei (Uganda) communities (Kiptum 2006). The Sengwer claim to have used the forest continuously since the advent of colonial government. The Sengwer in West Pokot (Kapenguria and Kesogon) complain about Pokot cattle rustlers who steal their cattle, kill their people and hide in the forests. The ancestral land of the Sengwer commences from Kiporoom River in Uasin Gishu District and extents along Kapsumbeywet River through Ziwa (Sirikwa) center, Moiben Posta and Kose hills in Uasin Gishu from here it goes down to join Moiben River. The boundary goes up Moiben River to the confluence of Ko’ngipsebe and Kamowo streams. It turns eastwards to cover areas of Maron Sub-location in Emboput location in Marakwet District.
Turning to the West it then goes to Kamolookon along Marakwet/West Pokot and Marakwet boundary. From here it drops to Sebit, Somor, then to Kongelai and up along Swom River. From Swom river to the confluence of Swom and Cheptenden River and from hereto the confluence of Cheptenden River and Moiben River where these two Rivers confluence with Kiboroom (Kiptum 2002). Today, the Sengwer believe that land the Cherenganyi Hills and the plains was their ancestral land before it was taken away to make room for White settlements (KARI 2005).

**Alienation of Sengwer ancestral lands**

The alienation of Sengwer traditional territory has been going on systematically since the colonial times. The British colonial administrators alienated much of Sengwer land for European settlement. The Chairman of the Carter Land Commission (1932) was clear in stating that “there was no question of the Europeans’ land being handed back to the Sengwer”.

The Sengwer who occupied Soi (the plains of Kapchepkoilel) lost their land stretching from Kapkoi in Trans Nzoia through Naitiri to Turbo in Uasin Gishu to white settlers. Likewise, the territory from Turbo, through Ziwa (Sirikwa) all the way to Moiben was also lost to colonial settlement. Other communities which took over land belonging to the Sengwer include Marakwet, Keiyo, Pokot (Suk), Nandi, and recently other migrants such as Kikuyu, Akamba, Kisii and a few Turkana. The Marakwet for example settled in between the Moyben and the Ndungiserr and spread beyond over the Cherenganyi country. In 1938 and 1939, meetings were held at Lelan in Cherenganyi to consider the claims of the members of the Cherengayi tribe to expel the Elgeyo who occupied the Cherenganyi location.

This claim was based on prior occupation by the Cherenganyi and bad behavior taught to the Cherenganyi by the young people of the Elgeyo. In 1939 there were 50 Elgeyo immigrants owning approximately 1,200 hectares of land belonging to the Sengwer. A large area of Sengwer land was converted into forests and thus denying access to their home, herbal medicine, food and peaceful coexistence with nature. In 1943 for example, some of the Cherenganyi ‘Dorobo’ once more attempted to return to Kapolet Forest reserve in spite of the police raid and severe penalties imposed on them. The then Assistant Conservator of forests instructed the District Commissioner to shift them once and for all from the forest into West Suk.

The following are some of the Sengwer ancestral lands that were converted into forest:-

1. Kapkanyar 70,000 acres
2. Kipteber 57,000 acres
3. Kapolet 10,800 acres
4. Chemurgoi 9,800 acres
5. Sogotio 8,800 acres
6. Kerer 5,340 acres
7. Kaisingor 2,680 acres
8. Empoput 8,000 acres

Part of Sengwer ancestral land in Trans Nzoia was converted into a game park. It is now known as Saiwa Swamp National Park. This was and is still a home for wild animals. This area was one of the most prestigious hunting areas of the Sengwer people. Immediately, after independence most of the land left by the Europeans was given out as settlement schemes to groups and individuals while the remaining portion was made Agricultural Development Cooperation (ADC) farms run by the government. After independence Sengwer territory continued to be lost to other groups such as the Marakwet, Kisii and Kikuyu.

**Forced Assimilation and Loss of Identity**

Assimilation policies and lack of recognition of separate and distinct identities of hunter-gatherers in Kenya began in colonial days, when it was decided that they be absorbed into larger ethnic communities. In 1932, Mr. A.C. Hoey giving evidence before the Kenya Land Commission had an idea “of amalgamating the Elgeyo and Marakwet and Cherenganyi (Sengwer) into one tribe” without the right to identity, right to profess and enjoy their cultural values and traditions. While other communities were given native reserves, Sengwer community was not considered. The colonial administration also promoted livestock keeping and potato planting for purposes of transforming the hunter/gatherer livelihood systems of the Sengwer and in so doing undermined Sengwer culture, language, customs and laws. This strategy was also aimed at getting the Sengwer out of the forest. The post-independence government also failed to provide for a classification of hunter-gatherers as separate groups, and by imposing a ban on hunting in 1970s, the independent government imposed more changes of the livelihoods of the Sengwer.

**Land tenure among the Sengwer (past and present)**

Discussions with the community revealed that only about 70 per cent of them have partial ownership of land, having been issued with allotment letters by the government. The area around Kapolet forest is still gazetted as forest land not available for settlement. Those in Emboput forest are literally squatters with no papers which exposes them to regular evictions. Sengwer resettlement along the Kapolet and the Emboput forests face more problems associated with land ownership. The Sengwer in Talau location in Kapenguria (West Pokot district) are relatively better of, in that they have ownership documents and have relatively made more investment on their land (KARI 2005).

**The current status of Indigenous Sengwer**

The Sengwer have increasingly been restricted to areas with home ‘bases’ involving agriculture and livestock rearing and outlying areas where some honey gathering is still practiced. The Sengwer continue to experience expropriation of their land and restrictions on access to natural resources- especially forests and water- which have further increased their sedentarization, marginalization, social discrimination, and impoverishment. Even though they are considered, from the formal legal point of view, as citizens equal to all other Kenyans, they do not have the same access to land and other resources, protection against cattle rustlers, social and political influence, legal status and/or organizational,
technical or economic capacities as other Kenyan citizens. The Sengwer can be characterized thus:

- The incomes of indigenous peoples are only about one third of those of other rural Kenyan households.
- Most of them are landless, and lack legal access to natural resources or other assets for income generation.
- They are ill equipped to defend even the informal, de facto access that they retain to the remnants of their ‘homelands’ from encroachment or restriction by outside authorities and interests.
- They do not have the institutional capacity or degree of empowerment that will enable them to benefit from reform processes in the forestry, water and lands sectors which are intended to give more say to communities in the management of resources that are central to this project.
- Few indigenous people hold positions in government, even at junior levels (such as chiefs and sub-chiefs).
- Face further physical and economic displacements from their lands and forests traditionally utilized by them as a source of livelihood and basis for their cultural and social survival;
- Lose all legal access to natural resources, which are an important source of livelihood and basis for their cultural and social system;
- Continue to be harassed by cattle rustlers;
- Become even more marginalized in the society and become alienated from national life;
- Receive less support from governmental services;
- Have less capacities to defend their legal rights;
- Become or remain dependent on other ethnic groups;
- Lose their cultural and social identity;
- They have little representation even as local government councilors, let alone at higher political levels, and are thus administered and represented by members of non-governmental groups (NGOs)

**14.7.2 Turkana**

The Turkana people are the second largest of the pastoral people of Kenya with a population of 1,034,000

They occupy the far northwest corner of the nation, an area of about 67,000 square kilometers. **Turkana tribe** is the second largest **pastoral community** in Kenya. This nomadic community moved to Kenya from Karamojong in eastern Uganda. The Turkana tribe occupies the semi Desert **Turkana District** in the Rift valley province of Kenya. Around 1700, the Turkana emigrated from the Uganda area over a period of years. They took over the area which is the Turkana district today by simply displacing the existing people of the area. Turkana warriors today still take pride in their reputation as the most fearless fighters in East Africa. Adherence to the traditional religion is weak and seems almost nonchalant among the Turkana.
**Location in the Country** - Rift Valley Province, Turkana, Samburu, Trans-Nzoia, Laikipia, Isiolo districts, west and south of Lake Turkana; Turkwel and Kerio rivers

**Livelihood:** Like the Maasai and tribes, Turkana people keeps herds of **cattle, goats and Camel**. Livestock is a very important part of the Turkana people. Their animals are the main source of income and food. However, recurring drought in Turkana district adversely affect the nomadic livelihood. Turkana’s have also pursued other non-pastoral income-earning activity in both urban and rural environments. This includes various forms of wholesale and retail trade (e.g. selling livestock, milk, hides and skins, honey, and artisan goods etc.), traditional rental property ownership and sales, waged employment (local and non-local, including working as a hired herder, farm worker, and migrant laborer), farming (subsistence and commercial), and the gathering and selling of wild products (e.g. gum arabic, firewood, or medicinal plants). The sale of livestock and milk products at the herd gate are not included in this definition, nor are herd diversification strategies that instigate a mix of animal species to cope with drought etc. Over recent years, Turkanas have also had to employ other supportive activities to supplement pastoralism, which has proved to be ineffective in meeting all their economic and social needs. Key areas of activity include sedentary agriculture, particularly along the Turkwel River, where settled farmers and agro-pastoralists grow maize, sorghum, sukuma, oranges, mangoes, bananas and vegetables.

Fishing in Lake Turkana is another, long standing, form of diversification. Fishermen along Lake Turkana migrate to follow the patterns of fish movement. The pastoralists also supplement their livelihoods by selling the fish. Many of them have also taken up weaving mats and baskets particularly near the lake where weaving material is readily available from the Doum Palm. Other natural resource-based livelihood diversification activities have included the collection and sale of aloe, gum arabic, honey, wild fruits, firewood, and the production and sale of charcoal and alcohol. In addition, there is now more emphasis on the processing and sale of skins and hides.

Cultural Profile: The biggest events for life of Turkana are marriage and child birth. Other cultural rituals such as circumcision are completed with little ceremony. The marriage however, may be in process for as long as three years. Subsequent to the payment of bride price, the wife to be is brought into the home of her husband. The wedding ceremony is not performed until after at least one healthy child is weaned. Houses are constructed over a wooden framework of domed saplings on which fronds of the Doum Palm tree *Hyphaene thebaica*, hides or skins, are thatched and lashed on. The house is large enough to house a family of six. Usually during the wet season they are elongated and covered with cow dung. Animals are kept in a brush wood pen. Due to changes in the climatic conditions most Turkana have started changing from the traditional method of herding cattle to agro-pastoralism. Traditionally, men and women both wear wraps made of rectangular woven materials and animal skins. Today these cloths are normally purchased, having been manufactured in Nairobi or elsewhere in Kenya. Often men wear their wraps similar to tunics, with one end connected with the other end over the right shoulder, and carry wrist knives made of steel and goat hide. Men also carry stools (known as ekicholong) and will use these for simple chairs rather than
sitting on the hot midday sand. These stools also double as headrests, keeping one's head elevated from the sand, and protecting any ceremonial head decorations from being damaged.

It is also not uncommon for men to carry several staves; one is used for walking and balance when carrying loads; the other, usually slimmer and longer, is used to prod livestock during herding activities. Women will customarily wear necklaces, and will shave their hair completely which often has beads attached to the loose ends of hair. Men wear their hair shaved. Women wear two pieces of cloth, one being wrapped around the waist while the other covers the top. Traditionally leather wraps covered with ostrich egg shell beads were the norm for women's undergarments, though these are now uncommon in many areas. The Turkana people have elaborate clothing and adornment styles. Clothing is used to distinguish between age groups, development stages, occasions and status of individuals or groups in the Turkana community.

14.7.3 Rendille

The Rendille are a Cushitic tribe that inhabits the climatically harsh region between Marsabit hills and Lake Turkana in Northern Kenya where they neighbor the Borana, Gabbra, Samburu and Turkana tribes. They (Rendile) consist of nine clans and seven sub clans. They are culturally similar to the Gabbra, having adopted some Borana customs and being related to the Somali people to the east. Rendille are semi-nomadic pastoralists whose most important animal is the camel. The original home of the Rendille people was in Ethiopia. They were forced to migrate southwards into Kenya due to frequent conflicts with the Oromo tribe over pasture and water for their animals. Being pastoralists, the lifestyle of the Rendille revolves around their livestock. In the northernly areas, camels are their main source of livelihood. This is because camels are best adapted to the desert conditions that prevail in the northern Kenya. The camels are an important source of milk and meat for the Rendille people. When migrating to new pastures, the camels are also used to carry all the family possessions in a specially designed saddle. The Rendille people living in the southern and less dry part of their region have had a good relationship with their Samburu neighbors where intermarriage with the Samburu has led to the emergence of a hybrid culture. Their ceremonies are similar to the Old Testament Jewish traditions, providing a basis for discussion of Christ's sacrifice and an opportune introduction to personal salvation.

Traditionally the Rendille are a very religious people, believing in one God, an omnipresent creator and provider who answers prayer and cares for the poor. They practice many magical rituals, involving their camels or sheep. For example, the way a certain bull camel approaches a proposed new settlement area is taken as a good or bad omen. A propitious camel may be placed outside the camp facing the direction of an expected enemy attack in order to prevent the attack. Age-sets are the main component of Rendille society.

The oral history of this Cushitic tribe indicates they are of Jewish descent. They traveled through the Suez Canal through Ethiopia to their present homeland. They descended through the Cushitic family lines with the Somali people. When the Somali people were traveling from the Suez Canal through Ethiopia the Somali people chose to go toward
Somalia for good pastures. The Rendille people refused to go with them and separated to their present homeland around Marsabit.

They had rejected the land of the Somali's and were thereafter called Rertit. The Somalis consider them rejected people. Their name "Rendille" is a colonial misinterpretation of the word "rertit", which means separated, refused or rejected in the Somali and Rendille languages. The Rendille occupy an area in Northeastern Province of Kenya from the Merille River and Serolivi in the South to Loyangalani in the North from Marsabit and Merti in the East to Lontolio in the West. The climate of their homeland is semi arid. The Rendille people speak Rendille, which is very close to Somali but is spoken more slowly. Many Rendille also speak Samburu (the tribe neighboring them to the South). Those of the Rendille language are called Rendille and those who speak Samburu are called Arielle Rendille.

There are about eight or nine sub clans including the Urowen, Dispahai, Rongumo, Lukumai (Nahgan), Tupsha, Garteilan, Matarbah, Otola, and Saale with an estimated population of 63,000.

**Location in the Country:** Eastern Province, Marsabit District, between Lake Turkana and Marsabit Mt. The primary towns include Marsabet, Laisamis, Merille, Logologo, Loyangalani, Korr, Kamboi, Ngurunit, and Kargi.

**Livelihood:** The Rendille people are traditionally pastoralists keeping goats, sheep, cattle, donkeys, and camels. Their nomadic lifestyle is become more prominent in the areas exposed to little urbanization and modernization. In the recent past though, their livelihood has experienced constant competing interests from the Samburus and Gabras leading them to constant conflict over land and water resources particularly at the borderline of the boundary districts. In the most cases, the raids and conflicts have had the objective to replenish their herds depleted by severe droughts, diseases, raiding or other calamities. Elders often sanction the raids blessing raiders before they set off. During draught some take little lambs to the raga or laga (dry river bed) and sacrifice them to god asking for rain. Others go to Mount Moile where the women sing and pour milk and men offer sacrifices of goats to the gods and ask for rain.

**Cultural Profile:** In terms of creed, many Rendille practice a traditional religion centered on the worship of Waaq/Wakh. In the related Oromo culture, Waaq denotes the single god of the early pre-Abrahamic, montheistic faith believed to have been adhered to by Cushitic groups. Some Rendille have also adopted Islam or Christianity. Initiation rituals take place precisely every seven or fourteen years, creating a series of generational age-sets, each with its own role in society. In the common Kenyan practice, the first initiation is circumcision. Men have many stages of warrior-hood, but women are simply married or unmarried.

Traditional dress includes beautiful beads worn by the women around the neck, wrists, and ankles. Children can often be seen without clothing. The moran wears colorful shukas (clothe wrapped around their bodies) and colors their hair with a mud/mineral
mixture. Men often wear a wrapped cloth rather than trousers. Western clothing is becoming more popular, but more among the men than the women.
Ancestral spirits of deceased men must be appeased. Among some of the Rendille, after a man dies, the manyatta will be burned, a sheep slaughtered, and the family must move to another place. Rites of passage include the young men (moran) living in the bush, learning traditional skills, and undergoing traditional circumcision. Men marry after circumcision and the time of becoming a moran is as young as about eighteen to twenty years.

The Rendille are organized into an age grade system of patrilineal lineage groups (keiya), which are subsumed under fifteen clans (goup). Of those, only nine are considered authentic Rendille. These Northern Rendille or Rendille proper are consequently the only ones that are included in the traditional Rendille moiety (belesi). The remaining six clans that are excluded from the moiety consist of mixed individuals. Five of those clans are of Rendille (Cushitic) and Samburu (Nilotic) descent. Collectively, the latter hybrid groups are referred to as the Ariaal or Southern Rendille.

14.7.4 Gabra
The Gabra are an Oromo people who live as camel-herding nomads, mainly in the Chalbi desert of northern Kenya and the highlands of southern Ethiopia. They are closely associated with other Oromo, especially their non-nomadic neighbors, the Borana. The Gabra speak the Borana dialect of Oromo, which belongs to the Cushitic branch of the Afro-Asiatic language family. Population: 3,000

Location in the Country: Samburu District, Lake Baringo south and east shores; Rift Valley Province (Chamus), Baringo District

Livelihood: Gabra are pastoralists who keep and depend on cattle, sheep, goats, donkey, and camels. They solely rely on access to water and pastures for the survival of their livestock. Typical Gabra household keeps 5-10 cattle; 20-25 goats; 15-20 sheep; and 0-5 camels. Cattle provide the majority of income from livestock production followed by goats, sheep, and camels. Majority of the grain consumed by Gabra household in this zone is purchased. This includes maize, rice, and sugar. Households also rely on the wild food including fruits and berries, honey, roots, and tubes. Climate change has had an impact on new weather patterns and prolonged drought pushing the Gabra community to frequent water shortages. As a result leading to mass migration their migration in search of pastures and water for their animals. They have a conglomerate of peoples living north of the Tana River in Kenya, the area around Lake Turkana and the highlands of southern Ethiopia.

For the Gabra, to live in balance with a trying environment is to protect land, animal, and fellow Gabra. Thus, they practice certain food and plant taboos; preserve full-grown trees called "korma" (bulls), and revere pregnant women and pregnant animals. As resource managers, they migrate to the highlands during the rainy season to allow the dry season pasture to replenish its water resources. Perhaps most symbolic of the Gabra's identity is the proverb: "a poor man shames us all." Since mutual support is imperative for their
survival as nomads, no Gabra may be allowed to go hungry, go without animals, or be refused hospitality or assistance. A person who refuses to help others is labeled "al baku," a stigma that stays affixed to the family for generations. The practice of camel lending exemplifies this support system.

The Gabra have a mixed-livestock economy consisting of camels, cattle, sheep, and goats. It is almost entirely based on reciprocity. Most central to the way of life and economy is the camel. When a Gabra comes into possession of a camel, it's named to ensure the Gabra's right of ownership. The camel will be loaned or given to other Gabra in need, and a future act of reciprocity will be expected. In this sense, camels provide great security; they also provide most of the meat and the dry season's supply of milk. The also transport goods and water from foraging areas to surrounding villages. Selling camels and their by-products to outsiders is taboo. Villages and camps are outside foraging grounds for several reasons: camels are unpredictable, don't forage near their own dung, and destroy the vegetation within nine miles.

The Gabra split their camps into two sites. The settlement - i"ola" - ranges from three to twenty-five huts. Satellite camps - "fora" - are smaller and far from the settlement. In fora, young men watch over part of the clan's herds to prevent pastures from being consumed too quickly. Splitting the herd also protects it from thieves, disease, or other disaster.

Cultural Profile: Since many young Gabra men are separated from the main camp for long periods of time, marriages are often postponed. In fact, 50 percent of Gabra women are unmarried until well into their thirties. This, along with the Gabra's postpartum sex taboo, controls the population. Living in the fora also excludes young men from the political and social activities of the main camp. Young men of herding age (19-33) qualify as "pre-adults" in men's five-stage life cycle. The stages are (1) children; (2) men aged 19-33; (3) male political elders, a status achieved when a man marries; (4) spiritual elders; and (5) retired elders. These stages, ideally separated by eight years, are usually initiated with a long pilgrimage to the shrines of mythical founders. Gabra life is labor-intensive, so everyone has specific tasks. Although the men decide when to migrate, women pack and unpack the camp site before and after migration. In fact, women "own" the huts and have complete authority over them. From age 7, children work six to seven hours a day, mainly tending the animals, while grandmothers largely rear and educate the young. Men are active in three levels of political and judicial administration. The camp is run by its headman.

The district is comprised of a number of camps, whose council of men decides on stock, organization, raids, defense, disputes, and assistance for victims of stock epidemics and raids. The five phratries, the largest regional group, have assemblies that serve as a mobile judicial, administrative, and spiritual hubs. Women's political contributions are subtle. Although they refer to themselves as children in regard to the political process, and although men demean the contributions women make, men often defer to women in certain matters.
The Gabra's ornamentation and physical culture is similar to many other Cushitic-speaking camel herders. The latter include the Rendille and Somali, all of whom the Gabra describe as warra dassee ("people of the mat"), in reference to the mat-covered, portable tents, which accompany their nomadic lifestyle. The Borana, on the other hand, are described by the Gabbra as warra buyyoo ("people of the grass"), in reference to the grass huts that characterize their sedentary lifestyle. Gabra homes, called mandasse, are light, dome-shaped tents made of acacia roots, and covered with sisal grass mats, textiles, and camel hides. Each mandasse is divided into four quarters; a public quadrant each for male visitors, female visitors, and a private quadrant each for parents and children. A mandasse can be completely disassembled and converted into a camel-carried palanquin in which children and the elderly travel. Gabra live in small villages, or ola made up of several mandasse. Ola move short distances as many as twelve times per year, in search of better grazing for the camels and other animals the Gabra rely on.

14.7.5 Ajuran

The Ajuran are ethnically Somalis. They were a kingdom that ruled Somalia before the advent of Europeans into Africa. When the rest of the Somalis got fed up with their rule they took up arms against them in war popularly known as Eji iyo Ajuran meaning the rest of Somalis vs. the Ajuran. The wars that ensued deposed the kingdom and drove some of the Ajuran as far as where they live today in the North Eastern Kenya and Eastern part of Ethiopia. Some of those who settled in present day Kenya eventually adopted the language and customs of their neighbors and hosts, the Borana. The Ajuran are best known in Somali history for establishing the Gareen dynasty based in Qalaafo (now part of Ethiopia). The Gareen dynasty ruled parts of East Africa from the 16th to the 20th century. Among the Kenyan Ajuran people, the majority speak the Borana language as their first language while others speak the Somali language as their first language especially those from Wajir North District in the areas of Wakhe and Garren. It is vital to note that since Somali is the language of wider communication in Northeastern Province, even the Ajuran who speak Borana as their first language learn the language. The link between the Garreh and Ajuran is their primary language which is Borana and not Somali. Population: 59,000.

Location in the Country: Eastern Province, Marsabit, Isiolo and Moyale districts, Wajir North

Livelihood: The Ajurans, like the rest other Somali tribes of Northern Kenya have traditionally lived a nomadic life. This way of life is dictated by the climate which is semi-arid with two seasonal rains. They follow water and pasture for the animals they keep such as cattle, camels, goats, sheep, donkeys and mules that provide them their livelihood. Where the land is good for farming there are settled populations growing corn, millet, sorghum and some fruits and vegetables. The Ajuran live in an area with relatively high rainfall and good pasture for their animals. However, this blessing has on many occasions become troublesome to them in terms of marauding neighbors in need of the same resources. The intrusion by others has periodically resulted in clashes. Today, the Ajuran allow others to live and pasture their animals in their communal land. Some of the main causes of their vulnerability include the following: erosion of assets due to...
armed conflict during intermittent inter/intra-clan conflict, resulting in poverty; protracted conflict and insecurity; Systematic marginalisation and discrimination based on ethnicity and caste; poor access to economic/employment opportunities. Ajuran population travel great distances in search of food, pasture and water especially during times of stress as a key coping mechanism. Notably, their right and ability of the transhumant pastoralists to eventually return to their homes characterizes this type of seasonal movement and gives rise to certain analyses. Of importance in the understanding of vulnerability are the changes in displacement trends.

Cultural Profile: The Ajuran clan is divided into seven (7) sub-clans, which are further divided into sections and sub-sections. Currently there are only two sub-clans the Walmega and Wakhle sub-clans that greatly live in Wajir County of North Eastern Region, Kenya. They also partly live in lower Jubbah in Somalia and Region five (5) of Ethiopia. Some of those who settled in present day Kenya eventually adopted the language and customs of their neighbours and hosts, the Borana. Among the Kenyan Ajuran people, the majority speak the Borana language as their first language while others speak the Somali language as their first language especially those from Wajir North District in the areas of Wakhle and Garren sections. It is vital to note that since Somali is the language of wider communication in Northeastern Province, even the Ajuran who speak Borana as their first language learnt the language as they assimilated with Boranas during the great migration.

14.7.6 Maasai
Kenya's most well-known ethnic tribe, the Maasai (or Masai) are semi-nomadic people located primarily in Kenya and northern Tanzania. They are considered to be part of the Nilotic family of African tribal groups, just as the Scilluk from Sudan and the Acholi from Uganda. The Maasai probably migrated from the Nile valley in Ethiopia and Sudan to Maasailand (central and south-western Kenya and northern Tanzania) sometime around 1600 AD, along the route of lakes Chew Bahir and Turkana (ex Rudolph), bringing their domesticated cattle with them. Once considered fierce warriors, feared by all tribes in the zone, the Maasai lost most of their power during the late XIX century, as a consequence of a string of natural and historic calamities. They were hit by drought, smallpox, and cattle pest, and contemporarily had to mourn the departure of Laibon Mbatiani, their respected and much admired leader, direct descendant of the mythical OlMasinta, founder of the tribe. The Maasai speak the Maasai language, an Eastern Nilotic language closely related to Samburu (or Sampur), the language of the Samburu people of central Kenya, and to Camus spoken south and southeast of Lake Baringo.

Population: 684,000

Location in the Country: Rift Valley Province, Kajiado and Narok districts

Livelihood:
The Maasai are cattle and goat herders, their economy almost exclusively based on their animal stock, from which they take most of their food: meat, milk, and even blood, as certain sacred rituals involve the drinking of cow blood. Moreover, the huts of the Maasai are built from dried cattle dung.
Cultural Profile: In spite of their reputation as fierce warriors, Maasai culture revolves around their cattle. One of their spiritual beliefs is that their rain god Ngai gave all cattle to the Maasai people, and therefore anyone else who possesses cattle must have stolen them from the Maasai. This has led to some fatal altercations with other tribes of the regions over the centuries when they attempt to reclaim their "property". Despite the growth of modern civilization, the Maasai have largely managed to maintain their traditional ways, although this becomes more challenging each year. Circumcision is performed on both sexes, with the elder men circumcising the teenage boys (who are not permitted to make a noise during the ceremony), and the elder women circumcising the teenage girls (for whom crying is permitted). Attempts by the Kenyan government to stamp out female circumcision have failed, primarily due to the fact that it is the Maasai women who defend the practice, not the men.

Natural Environment: The ability to graze their cattle over large territories, for example, has diminished considerably in recent years, due to increased urbanisation and the declaration of the Maasai Mara and Serengeti game reserves, which was all formerly Maasai grazing land.

14.7.7 Ilchamus

They are originally a pastoralist people who used to live on the mainland but due to clashes they have been forced to migrate to an island in Lake Baringo. It is a very traditional and culturally bound society, hierarchical and male-dominated. They live from fishing in small boats made of balsam tree that dates back maybe a thousand years. They also do some souvenirs and they have some livestock. Many are uneducated and illiterate. They are eager to learn new things, participating and seemingly eager to create a better life. They communicate mainly in their local language. Population: 34,000

Location in the Country: Southeast and south shore of Lake Baringo, and southwest shore as far north as Kampi ya Samaki.

Livelihood: The majority of the Ilchamus practice both livestock rearing and agriculture, but on the islands in Lake Baringo there are about 800 Ilchamus who live nearly entirely from fishing. The mainland Ilchamus are semi-pastoralists with a long history of small scale agriculture. The main types of livestock owned by the Ilchamus are cattle (zebus), sheep (red maasai and dopper cross) and goats (small east African), but their herds are significantly smaller than those of their neighbours. The key problems here are the insufficient security against aggressions from their neighbours, access to water and pressure of other people on their land due to the non-existence of land titles. The nearest markets are at Marigat and Kiserian.

The Ilchamus fishing communities, on four of the seven islands of Lake Baringo, has a total population of around 800 people, are even more disfavoured. Due to the absence of significant rains and irrigation systems, they don’t cultivate anything and the grazing areas on the island sustain only very limited numbers of livestock. The only source of income is fishing and for about ten people on the main island (Ol Kokwai), jobs in the Baringo island camp. Income from fishing (Tilapia, Catfish and Mudfish) has reduced significantly over the last years as industrial fishing carried out in 70s and 80s from the
mainland and by migrants from other areas have significantly reduced the stocks. As they are unable to stop fishing to allow the stock to recover, even their very limited fishing reduces the stocks further. The ever reducing stocks are associated by the villagers to environmental degrading (sedimentation from erosion along the contributors) and overexploitation in the 70s and early 80s, and on the other hand to the increasing population of crocodiles, which are totally protected and are said to affect not only the fish stocks, but also cause significant losses of livestock and even human lives.

The fishing itself is carried out by the men, while the women smoke and market the fish on the mainland. Revenues are small and hardly able to provide enough cash to buy maize etc. to feed the islanders. Famine seems to be a common problem and is mostly covered by subsidies from the owner of the Lake Baringo island camp and other white families, who have houses on the islands. While this secures the survival of the Ilchamus during famines, it also increases their dependency and marginalisation. Agriculture is carried out at very small scale and nearly entirely for subsistence due to limited rainfalls in the area and due to the fact that the Ilchamus have been displaced from their former land in which they had established small scale irrigation schemes. Two modern irrigation schemes (with small dams) at the Perkerra and Molo Rivers have enhanced the situation and enable the families involved to produce enough to even commerce parts of it. The main products cultivated are maize, beans and millet.

Cultural Profile: Traditionally the Ilchamus don’t seem to have any central authority, but are ruled by the elders of the patrilineages. The Ilchamus claim that structures above the level of the clan were first introduced in the 60s in preparation of independence. The first sub-chief was elected around 1970. Presently, Ilchamus chiefs and councillors have been elected in all six locations where they constitute the majority, but in none where they are in the minority. Because of their being considered as a Maasai subgroup and due to that as nomadic herders, their relation to and dependence on land for their small scale agriculture have not been considered when “developing” the area. The Ilchamus have been moved around by all kinds of people and for all kinds of activities and interests. The last major displacement took place in the 40s and 50s, when significant Ilchamus populations were moved away for the Perkerra Irrigation scheme near Marigat.

14.7.8 Aweer

The Aweer are a remnant hunter-gatherer group living along the Kenyan coast in Lamu District on the mainland. In the last 30 years, the Aweer have faced very difficult times. In 1967, their homeland became a battle field in the war between Kenya and Somalia. In Kenya today, they are a vulnerable group, struggling to survive, in search of a new identity. Traditionally they depend on their elders for leadership and do not normally meet for village discussion. There are some men who have more than one wife, and each wife has her own house in which she lives with her children. The husband does not have his own home but lives with each wife periodically. Population: 8,000

Location in the Country: Coast Province, behind Lamu, and Tana River districts in forests; North-Eastern Province, Garissa District.
Livelihood: Hunters and Gatherers. They are indigenous hunter/gatherers famous for their longbows and poison arrows. The Aweer are often referred to - and even sometimes refer to themselves - as the "Boni". Considered by some as pejorative, Boni is based on the swahili word "kubuni" which means 'to move', in reference to their proclivity, historically, to move around in pursuit of their livelihoods, rather than settle in one place. The lives of the Aweer were drastically changed when the Kenyan government curtailed their traditional way of life as a response to the insecurity of the region after the Shifta War (1963–1967), forcing them to settle in villages along the Hindi-Kiunga Road on Government Land between the Boni National Reserve and the Dodori National Reserve while adopting slash and burn agriculture.

The Aweer are also best known for its unusual practice of using semi-domesticated birds to find honey, with whistling signals. Their remote territory is heavily wooded and the tribes are traditionally hunter-gathers, rather than the typical Kenyan cattle herders. They live off forest resources and farming in Lamu. They are the smallest of the four indigenous groups in the area. In recent times though, their livelihoods have been encroached and partially destroyed. They depend on the forest not only for the economic but for their spiritual, economic and political survival.

Cultural Profile: Although the majority of the Aweer settled in villages located in this corridor between the two reserves, some established themselves in nearby Bajuni
villages. Today, the Aweer have adopted slash and burn agriculture as their main source of livelihoods, but they continue to engage in many of their traditional practices, utilizing the nearby forests for the collection of wild honey, plants for traditional medicine and building materials, and bush meat to supplement their diets. With laws banning the hunting of all wildlife in Kenya, the Aweer's traditional way of life is in danger. The Aweer are mostly Muslim, like other coastal tribes.

14.7.9 Pokot

They speak Pökoot, language of the Southern Nilotic language family which is close to the Marakwet, Nandi, Tuken and other members of the Kalanjen grouping. Kenya's 2009 census puts the total number of Pokot speakers at about 620,000 in Kenya. They have once considered part of the Kalenjin people who were highland Nilotic people who originated in southern Ethiopia and migrated southward into Kenya as early as 2,000 years ago. Though the Pokot consider themselves to be one people, they are basically divided into two sub-groups based on livelihood. About half of the homestead is the social center for the Pokot. Here a man lives with his wives, each having their own hut. All members of the family live here and the stock is corralled here at night. The man of the family rules the homestead, telling the others what duties they are to perform. Population: 662,000

Location in the Country: Rift Valley Province, Baringo and West Pokot districts

Livelihood: It is usually claimed that from the earliest time of the original Pokot, they were agriculturalist, they did not have many cattle, and the few they had were taken by wild animals abounding the area. They have been hunters and gatherer living in caves. Currently, Pokoot are semi-nomadic, semi-pastoralists who live in the lowlands west and north of Kapenguria and throughout Kacheliba Division and Nginyang Division, Baringo District. These people herd cattle, sheep, and goats and live off the products of their stock. The other half of the Pokoot are agriculturalists who live anywhere conditions allow farming. Mixed farming is practiced in the areas of Kapenguria, Lelan and parts of Chepararia. These areas have recorded rainfall between 120mm to 160mm while pastoral areas include Kiwawa, Kasei, Alale and parts of Sigor receiving 80mm and 120mm.

The livelihood of Pokot has led to constant conflict between them and other pastoral communities – the Turkana, Matheniko and the Pokot of Uganda. This clash has been sustained by semi-arid savannah and wooded grassland terrain that cuts along the habitation area. Resources such as land, pasture, water points are communally owned and they are no specific individual rights.

Cultural Profile: The Pokot people are made up of two main groups: the "cattle people," who are herdsmen who live on the plains, and the "grain people," farmers who live on the mountainsides. The lives of the herdsmen are harsher than those of their farming neighbors, but they have more wealth, because cattle are considered to be valuable by both groups. In addition to cattle, both groups have some goats, sheep and a few donkeys or camels. The Pokot are proud of their culture. They are one of the last groups in Kenya that have refused to be influenced by modern ways. In Pokot cosmology, the universe has two realms, the above and the below. The above, remote and unknowable, is the
abode of the most powerful deities—Tororot, Asis (sun), and llat (rain); the below is the abode of humans, animals, and plants. Men and women are considered responsible for the peace and prosperity of the realm that they inhabit, but they must rely upon divine vitality and knowledge to achieve and maintain these conditions.

The Pokot communicate with their deities through prayer and sacrifice: Tororot is said to listen to his creatures below, Asis to witness their activities, and llat to serve as a messenger between the two realms. Deities, in turn, communicate with humans, warning and rebuking them about their misconduct. Christianity has reshaped Pokot cosmology, primarily by reducing the number of deities, while augmenting their attributes. The divine messenger llat has a human counterpart called a werkoyon (prophet), who foresees disaster and recommends expiation, usually animal sacrifice, to alleviate it. A werkoyon may be either male or female; his or her ability to foresee and to advise is considered a divinely given gift, to be used on behalf of all Pokot. The main ceremonies mark transitions in the social lives of individuals and communities. Especially notable among these are the cleansing of a couple expecting their first child; the cleansing of newborn infants and their mothers; the cleansing of twins and other children who are born under unusual circumstances; male and female initiation; marriage; sapana, a coming-of-age ceremony for men; and summer-solstice, harvest, and healing.

14.7.10 Endorois

Endorois community is a minority community that was living adjacent to Lake Baringo. However, the Government of Kenya forcibly removed the Endorois from their ancestral lands around the Lake Bogoria area of the Baringo and Koibatek Administrative Districts, as well as in the Nakuru and Laikipia Administrative Districts within the Rift Valley Province in Kenya, without proper prior consultations, adequate and effective compensation. Endorois are a community of approximately 60,000 people who, for centuries, have lived in the Lake Bogoria area. They claim that prior to the dispossession of Endorois land through the creation of the Lake Hannington Game Reserve in 1973, and a subsequent re-gazetting of the Lake Bogoria Game Reserve in 1978 by the Government of Kenya, the Endorois had established, and, for centuries, practiced a sustainable way of life which was inextricably linked to their ancestral land.

However, since 1978 the Endorois have been denied access to their land, neighbouring tribes as bona fide owners of the land and that they continued to occupy and enjoy undisturbed use of the land under the British colonial administration, although the British claimed title to the land in the name of the British Crown. At independence in 1963, the British Crown’s claim to Endorois land was passed on to the respective County Councils. However, under Section 115 of the Kenyan Constitution, the Country Councils held this land in trust, on behalf of the Endorois community, who remained on the land and continued to hold, use and enjoy it. The Endorois’ customary rights over the Lake Bogoria region were not challenged until the 1973 gazetting of the land by the Government of Kenya. The act of gazetting and, therefore, dispossession of the land is central to the present to their current predicament.
The area surrounding Lake Bogoria is fertile land, providing green pasture and medicinal salt licks, which help raise healthy cattle. Lake Bogoria is central to the Endorois religious and traditional practices. The community’s historical prayer sites, places for circumcision rituals, and other cultural ceremonies are around Lake Bogoria. These sites were used on a weekly or monthly basis for smaller local ceremonies, and on an annual basis for cultural festivities involving Endorois from the whole region. The Complainants claim that the Endorois believe that the spirits of all Endorois, no matter where they are buried, live on in the Lake, with annual festivals taking place at the Lake. They believe that the Monchongoi forest is considered the birthplace of the Endorois and the settlement of the first Endorois community. Despite the lack of understanding of the Endorois community regarding what had been decided by the Kenyan Wildlife Service (hereinafter KWS) informed certain Endorois elders shortly after the creation of the Game Reserve that 400 Endorois families would be compensated with plots of "fertile land." The undertaking also specified, according to the Complainants, that the community would receive 25% of the tourist revenue from the Game Reserve and 85% of the employment generated, and that cattle dips and fresh water dams would be constructed by the State.

Although the High Court recognized that Lake Bogoria had been Trust Land for the Endorois, it stated that the Endorois had effectively lost any legal claim as a result of the designation of the land as a Game Reserve in 1973 and in 1974. It concluded that the money given in 1986 to 170 families for the cost of relocating represented the fulfillment of any duty owed by the authorities towards the Endorois for the loss of their ancestral land. Since then, Endorois have not owned until recently, when African Human Rights courts passed judgment to force Government to compensate them.

To date, the Endorois community has not received adequate compensation for this eviction, nor have they benefited from the proceeds of the reserve. Because they no longer have free access to the lake or land, their property rights have been violated and their spiritual, cultural and economic ties to the land severed. Once able to migrate with the seasons between Lake Bogoria and the Mochongoi forest, the Endorois are now forced to live on a strip of semi-arid land between their two traditional sites with no access to sustain their former cattle rearing and bee-keeping livelihood. The eviction of the Endorois people by the Kenyan government and the ‘gazetting’ (or public declaration of state ownership) of their land began in 1973 and continued until 1986. Population: 20,000

Location: Around the environs of Lake Baringo.

Livelihood: Dependant on land and fishing from Lake Bogoria. Critically, land for the Endorois is held in very high esteem, since tribal land, in addition to securing subsistence and livelihood, is seen as sacred, being inextricably linked to the cultural integrity of the community and its traditional way of life. Land, they claim, belongs to the community and not the individual and is essential to the preservation and survival as a traditional people. Endorois health, livelihood, religion and culture are all intimately connected with their traditional land, as grazing lands, sacred religious sites and plants used for
traditional medicine are all situated around the shores of Lake Bogoria. At present the Endorois live in a number of locations on the periphery of the Reserve – which the Endorois are not only being forced from fertile lands to semi-arid areas, but have also been divided as a community and displaced from their traditional and ancestral lands. Their access to the Lake Bogoria region, is a right for the community and the Government of Kenya continues to deny the community effective participation in decisions affecting their own land, in violation of their right to development. This has jeopardized the community’s pastoral enterprise and imperiled its cultural integrity. They also claim that 30 years after the evictions began; the Endorois still do not have full and fair compensation for the loss of their land and their rights on to it. They further allege that the process of evicting them from their traditional land not only violates Endorois community property rights, but spiritual, cultural and economic ties to the land are severed.

14.7.11 Boni

The Boni people are known for their unique tradition of whistling to birds that guide them to honey. They are found in Northeastern Kenya's district of Ijara and Lamu district. Their population is about 4,000, compared to 25,000 half a century ago (Source: Organization for the Development of Lamu Communities (ODLC). They are nomadic hunter-gatherer tribe of mainly Cushitic origin with a unique characteristic. The community sources their subsistence from forest products such as honey, wild plants/fruits for consumption and medicinal purposes. The Boni are found in the North-Eastern part of Lamu district and Ijara District. They are concentrated mainly in Witu, Hindi and Kiunga divisions. The community is located in villages of Bargoni (Hindi Division), Milimani, Bodhei, Basuba, Mangai, Mararani, Kiangwe and Kiunga (Kiunga division), Pandanguo and Jima (Witu Division).

The Boni live in forested areas of the district i.e. within the Witu and Boni forests. They live deep into the forest and only come out to the periphery when there is hardship or hunger. They perceive the forest in the Boni inhabited areas as communally theirs. However, with the gazettlement of all the forest by the government this has become a source of conflict.

14.7.12 Watha

The Watha people are mostly found in the rural arid and semi-arid lands of the country. A minority of them live in thick forests scattered all over the country. The people are traditionally hunters and gatherers. In Malindi district a Watha community is found in four divisions (i.e. Malindi, Langobaya, Marafa and Magarini). In Tana River district the Watha are found in Sombo and Laza divisions while in Mandera the Watha are found in Central division. The population of Watha community in the districts is estimated at approximately 30,000 persons. This is only 2.7% of the entire Malindi, Mandera and Tana River district population.

The Watha people are traditionally hunters and gatherers. However since the government abolished unlicensed hunting of game and wild animals, the Watha people now live in permanent settlements, some of them along the river and where there are forests, mainly
in the mixed farming and livestock farming zones. The forests afford them an opportunity to practice bee keeping while those along the river practice crop production.

The land tenure system in the district is communal ownership. Most of the land in the three districts of Malindi, Mandera and Tana River are currently under trust land by the county councils. Few influential people in the district have however managed to acquire title deeds from the land offices in Nairobi. However, most of this trust lands are controlled by the majority tribes and becomes a point of conflict if the smaller tribes and outsiders get involved. This is what has pushed the small and marginalized tribes like Watha deep into the forests.
14.8 ANNEX 8  ISSUES AND LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS CONSULTED

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON THE REGIONAL PASTORALIST LIVELIHOOD RESILIENCE PROJECT HELD IN KAJIADO, TURKANA, LAIKIPIA, MANDERA, NAROK, BARINGO, ISIOLO AND WEST POKOT COUNTIES 7TH -15TH NOVEMBER 2013.

Resettlement and Vulnerable/Marginalized Groups Issues

The workshop was convened to inform stakeholders in the 8 selected Counties of the intended RPLPR and to solicit their views on the same specifically those issues related to Resettlement and Vulnerable and Marginalized Groups. Primarily the main thrust was to get views and/or concerns on the environmental and social safeguards policies by the World Bank as well as the host country regulations on the same.

The workshop participants were led through the four (4) core components of the intended project together with the sub-components involved and were then requested to give their views or any concerns that may emerge in tandem with the World Bank policies on safeguards measures. Their views were as follows;

Natural Resource Management Component

Rehabilitation of Water Infrastructure: - This includes building new or rehabilitating existing water sources such as dams, water pans, boreholes and shallow wells. Participants raised concerns that such undertakings may result in negative impacts such as;

1. Conflict: - It was noted that conflicts could arise as communities compete for water resources and this may affect adversely the vulnerable and marginalized groups.
2. Resettlement: - Some of the projects may result in displacement of persons e.g. dam construction, livestock markets among others
3. Gender sensitivity of the project especially when locating water infrastructure
4. Social protection programmes for the elderly.
5. Provision of grants to the vulnerable groups.
6. How will project will improve resilience
7. Will the compensation for land consider market rates
8. Will the squatters or encroachers also receive compensation

Rangeland Rehabilitation: - The sub component intends to revive exhausted pasture areas by reseeding. Impacts noted by the stakeholders consulted as likely to occur include;

1. Conflict over grazing lands – This may arise due to competition among pastoralists over rangeland pasture and could have dire consequences on vulnerable and marginalized groups who depend on pasture and water in the Isiolo County.
Marketing Infrastructure
The component as proposed would include among others the rehabilitation and/or building of livestock markets and stock routes together with holding grounds. Likely impacts noted by the participants were;

1. Conflict – This could arise due to competition and it was further noted that stock theft and compromised security is also likely to occur as animals are taken to the markets for sale. This conflict may adversely affect vulnerable and marginalized groups
2. Displacement – People may end up being displaced (economically and physically) e.g. when livestock markets, holding grounds or slaughterhouse are constructed.
3. Family disruptions – This was noted as likely in cases where land may be sold by a household head without informing other family members. This phenomenon may affect vulnerable and marginalized groups especially where there are women headed households or gender disparity.
4. Compensation should be done in a fair and timely manner in situations where displacement is unavoidable.
5. Provision of social amenities to displaced/resettled persons. These may be schools or health facilities.

Livelihoods Support
Stakeholders raised the following concerns regarding impacts associated with the livelihood support component;

1. Irrigation of the fodder crop may result in displacement on either private or communal land
2. Conflicts – Due to use of water for irrigation, water sources may be depleted leading to conflicts.

Alternative Livelihoods
This sub component looks at other alternatives to livestock keeping. It proposes starting up cottage industries, engage in gum and resins harvesting, bee keeping, tourism, emerging livestock etc. Likely impacts include;

1. Displacement – may arise e.g. when building fish ponds
2. Alternative livelihoods may affect way of life of vulnerable and marginalized groups and the participants expressed concerns that sub project activities should ensure that the alternative livelihood projects do not adversely affect the local communities
### 14.9 ANNEX 9: LIST OF PARTICIPANTS WHO ATTENDED CONSULTATION ON RESETTLEMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK

**Annex A. Stakeholders Consulted**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.D.K. Kigera</td>
<td>Livestock Dept.</td>
<td>0752-995-289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.K. Ndonye</td>
<td>Livestock Dept.</td>
<td>0721-418-623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. D.N. Thuo</td>
<td>Vet Dept.</td>
<td>0722-696-191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Peter M. Mwathi</td>
<td>Vet Dept.</td>
<td>0736-919-909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Kilimani Muhukha</td>
<td>Vet Dept.</td>
<td>0718-493-626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Faith W. Gakura</td>
<td>Vet Dept.</td>
<td>0720-942-555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amadonse Longofo</td>
<td>Vet Dept.</td>
<td>071-254-269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Makata</td>
<td>OOP</td>
<td>0706-134-734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erick Ngeleshe</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0722-560-687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adisp Knamba</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0733-560-687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Leakum</td>
<td>Retali</td>
<td>0722-494-072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Maima</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>0723-472-321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles K. Wadada</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>0716-974-371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dikon Knamba</td>
<td>Menopi</td>
<td>0724-200-248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geoffrey Adama</td>
<td>D.L.P Officer</td>
<td>0721-929-283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick A. Keba</td>
<td></td>
<td>0728-889-307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Githamba Gathy</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0722-458-846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaac Juma</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0714-874-555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.N. Masau</td>
<td>Livestock Dept.</td>
<td>0721-458-248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sime N. Kogoma</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0722-750-655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geshion Muli</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0721-645-388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scolastica N. Mwandi</td>
<td>Livestock Dept.</td>
<td>0720-419-113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Niiiko</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0726-942-432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Leitinya</td>
<td>Livestock Prod.</td>
<td>0727-456-131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davide N. Nyimba</td>
<td>Veterinary</td>
<td>0722-328-358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen T.</td>
<td>Veterinary</td>
<td>0725-001-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organisation/Business</td>
<td>Telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace Arach</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0720655591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Gatimu</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0726162855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Kibor</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0727981301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Ngari</td>
<td>MOA</td>
<td>0725609288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William M. Thiga</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0726844724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Business/Organization</td>
<td>Telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Odu Muwa</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0728615413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Kipronu</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0748153867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Ntaloiki</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0723846644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen S. Mosokon</td>
<td>Livestock Production Officer</td>
<td>0721567341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Ngaro</td>
<td>Dairy Farming</td>
<td>0724384475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moses Eke Musoi</td>
<td>Financialbury</td>
<td>0711211554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gideon Lesaso</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0749763981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joel Ntoika</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0729762482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Sakuwto</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0708577184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Pambo</td>
<td>CSDFH (Chairman)</td>
<td>0726374179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mira Torere</td>
<td>Central Division Steward, Joint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Nyaruha</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0729858385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Ndjari</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0728066614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejiah Pariap</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0742335411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Kimpamo</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0729967703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickson Kiso</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0738089444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickson Sabaka</td>
<td>Farmer (1/4 NRK 60m)</td>
<td>0714052516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Taga</td>
<td>Foamer (Mak Kang)</td>
<td>0723405854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Kaputo</td>
<td>Farmer (Mak Kang)</td>
<td>0710449915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Ndirungi</td>
<td>Bully Keeping</td>
<td>0723018060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danial Nekuto</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0728202586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Sambere</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0724939474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartoi Oli</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>072058604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisha Amioni</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0720592438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Sakwai</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0732523667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Kamara</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0726877004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Kerema</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0725896468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Potumias</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0742396923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Sera</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0727727728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary Delm</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0728154021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Kasuru</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0718636799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Papkweni</td>
<td>Farmer/Lead</td>
<td>0723080060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nkaiwa Echwe</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0713013073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Kinyua</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0766468787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Kerema</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0705984650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Kerega</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0787801700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justus Kipto</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0718649121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Ratha Oi Kimu</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0723430880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saiti Justo Sebiya</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>07297379239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Shonko</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0729457181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torutu Nketu</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0728030780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomoni Philip</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0712664140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gideon Sabera</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0741954583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Kiplo</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0742345828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Kipo</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0742348308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caleb Saitoti</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>071505785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julius Limboso</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0725805171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olok Chikia</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>072794082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqueline Kilo</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0741869350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legan Lokua</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>07423865562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Olie Kiplo</td>
<td>Farmer/Ngisenya</td>
<td>0718347010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khamulai Moses</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>0741653118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization/Business</td>
<td>Sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David G. Muliiti</td>
<td>Ngaa Community Forum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Nyagakha</td>
<td>MoA - County of Kajiado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenny Matarash</td>
<td>NIA - Neighbours Initiative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce Soiko</td>
<td>NIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter K. Kang'ana</td>
<td>Assemblies (CDA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Joseph</td>
<td>NDMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmanuel Kwaado</td>
<td>Devolution &amp; Planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halima Neku</td>
<td>ASDP-Kajiado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeripher Kereno</td>
<td>Tawonga (FBO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Chumo</td>
<td>MoM - Kajiado Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick M. Marudu</td>
<td>Ministry of Finance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Njoroge</td>
<td>KWS Kajiado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Karanja</td>
<td>Cooperative Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S. Tembo</td>
<td>Department of Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan Kumi</td>
<td>Livestock Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S. Kampe</td>
<td>KMC Kajiado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.L. Yari</td>
<td>KCM Kajiado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joash Othi</td>
<td>NEMA - Kajiado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Njoroge</td>
<td>Water Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>BUSINESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Kapiri</td>
<td>LIVESTOCK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Kang'o</td>
<td>IFIIFOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter O. Osekoko</td>
<td>ADSP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnie Mwikambula</td>
<td>ADSP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carren Nasiaki K.</td>
<td>WATER (and Reclamation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benson Koko</td>
<td>NDMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard Ofila</td>
<td>NEMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice Thuithi</td>
<td>Athi River Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musa K. Letiuga</td>
<td>LIVESTOCK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Charles Kibet</td>
<td>Veterinary Dept.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Wawira</td>
<td>Veterinary Dept.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Koruchokoru</td>
<td>LIVESTOCK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Nginiir</td>
<td>University of Nairobi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Arewa</td>
<td>PAPO ORC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben M. Sure</td>
<td>CooperativeS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurice K. Wayaki</td>
<td>MOA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Pirei</td>
<td>Tangat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James M. Gitonga</td>
<td>MOA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wycliffe Amuata</td>
<td>KAPAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy S. Akama</td>
<td>MOCOMM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard G. O. C. F. Chuma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Sirma</td>
<td>KENFAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Kalicheekie</td>
<td>LIVESTOCK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Lesure</td>
<td>CHARITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>ID/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yedi Smaay Hwaal DLMC</td>
<td>8761493-0727023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moham Shahid ABDI Charmage</td>
<td>0018798-0207452</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Mohamad Desert Bloom</td>
<td>002765877078610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ismail Ali Gaucha Velanay</td>
<td>0793550924652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussein</td>
<td>HKE Kampa-Hospital</td>
<td>07552585072828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abderker S. Adam Min of Water</td>
<td>07932587573216792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israru M.</td>
<td>AGC</td>
<td>074219020-070912920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdinuua I. Adam</td>
<td>COOP</td>
<td>072302430-072858282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdinuua I. Adam</td>
<td>COOP</td>
<td>072302430-072858282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israru M.</td>
<td>AGC</td>
<td>074219020-070912920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Nqasi</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>072041849-072854153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israru M.</td>
<td>AGC</td>
<td>074219020-070912920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali YIBRAHIM</td>
<td>KALE</td>
<td>072041849-072854153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdiile</td>
<td>STELA</td>
<td>00155597-072151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edin Hassan</td>
<td>PACHA</td>
<td>07206979-072151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdi MAMAD</td>
<td>KALE</td>
<td>07206979-072151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdiile</td>
<td>STELA</td>
<td>07206979-072151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdiile</td>
<td>STELA</td>
<td>07206979-072151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aden I.</td>
<td>HASSAN</td>
<td>MCVVN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
39. Halima Isak Dime - 1128931
40. Azizkare Ali Ali - 12428726
41. Habiba Mohamed Korshin - 22432596
42. Nori Mohamed Fimno - 26889230
43. Abdumadi Abdinor Shukur - 81455078
44. Adam Osmail Sheikh - 26653659
45. Hashem Abdirahman Ilyas - 26655929
**PUBLIC CONSULTATION WORKSHOP**
**HELD AT LODWAR on 3/11/13**
**ON PASTORAL LIVELIHOOD RESILIENCE PROGRAMME**

(REGIONAL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ORGANISATION OR BUSINESS</th>
<th>TEL.</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Millicent Wepo</td>
<td>Livestock Prod. Dept.</td>
<td>0716178348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Samuel Njalle</td>
<td>Livestock Prod. Dept.</td>
<td>0708301762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. John S. Epa</td>
<td>Livestock Prod. Dept.</td>
<td>0781766667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Evan Kemelo</td>
<td>Assistant Dept.</td>
<td>0728263163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Aineze Ernest</td>
<td>Livestock Prod. Dept.</td>
<td>0748643335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Jacob L. Lekia</td>
<td>Livestock Prod. Dept.</td>
<td>0714075477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. William K. Kiliyo</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0717541314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Kiprotich Kiptoi</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>0713888692</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Enos John Khamo</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>0713859228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Rosaline Makhola</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>0735250578</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. David Nyasike</td>
<td>Livestock Department</td>
<td>0729345830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Reuben M. Wabuna</td>
<td>Livestock Dept.</td>
<td>0729985613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Paul Alex Lukwemba</td>
<td>ATDP</td>
<td>0728058094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Gichano E. Ekao</td>
<td>Masai c.o.</td>
<td>0725806781</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Peter Atir</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>0737259717</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Michael Sama</td>
<td>Fishery Dept.</td>
<td>0739455881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Jerome M. M.</td>
<td>Livestock Dept.</td>
<td>0714712846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Stephen B. A.</td>
<td>Fishery Dept.</td>
<td>0724061992</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Samuel A. I.</td>
<td>Chairman Ifakara</td>
<td>0725752074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Lucas Elaro</td>
<td>CHAIRMAN BPOC</td>
<td>0711904613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. David Ereagle</td>
<td>P.S. D.R.</td>
<td>0712842121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Elizabeth Loredia</td>
<td>TVSS</td>
<td>0726851257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Silas L. K.</td>
<td>Veterinary</td>
<td>0722682667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Steve Anyangui</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>0728370200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Safania A. S.</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>0729147494</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Alfred Omona</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>0733871846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Rose M. Leke</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>0721292208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
26. Jospeh Lesu, Telmo Corporation
27. Abduqadir Mohamed, KLMC
28. David Kari, Chairman, LMA
29. Francis Kohor, TCMO Monitor

Joseph Had: 0718176667
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>TEL.</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Samuel Chepkuto</td>
<td>Macro Community Development Program</td>
<td>0721964741</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Richard Bundotich</td>
<td>Livestock Mogodo</td>
<td>0722285297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Amos O. Nyakeyo</td>
<td>NDMA - Baringo</td>
<td>0776348755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Evans M. Ayane</td>
<td>Min of Agriculture and Fisheries</td>
<td>079475618</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Peter Kimori Njeru</td>
<td>NDMA - Baringo</td>
<td>07565632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Philip Natwanda</td>
<td>Land Reclamation Dept</td>
<td>0720987953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ronald Tanui</td>
<td>Livestock Promotion</td>
<td>0724871571</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Dr. Kabua Mka</td>
<td>MOALF</td>
<td>0723197118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Nicholas K. Taker</td>
<td>Livestock Department</td>
<td>072254534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Joseph K. Mutua</td>
<td>ICEA</td>
<td>0724199155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Anne C. Aruboe</td>
<td>Chiric Animal Production 3G</td>
<td>072579122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Michael Kakuto</td>
<td>KODA</td>
<td>072634761</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Nicholas K. Chebi</td>
<td>Loruk Pasture &amp; Bulking</td>
<td>072864846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. L. R. C. Labatt</td>
<td>MoALF</td>
<td>072314449</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. John Lemlem</td>
<td>MOALF</td>
<td>072453867</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Benson Lenaiki</td>
<td>BARETO Youth Group</td>
<td>0725174718</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. David K. Biwott</td>
<td>KVA</td>
<td>0722597482</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Stephen Kimiri</td>
<td>MoALF</td>
<td>072487682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Alice Kanyi</td>
<td>KVA</td>
<td>072053160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Dennis Kimumu</td>
<td>Mafia SHG</td>
<td>0723233058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.&lt;br&gt;Esther&lt;br&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;br&gt;Kagade</td>
<td>0723533544</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Dennis Ng'opeli</td>
<td>072637682</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Jackson Chebetok</td>
<td>Menkoi Group</td>
<td>0711148264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Joseph K. Sitihe</td>
<td>KARI</td>
<td>072410275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. David K. Kanyi</td>
<td>MoALF - Livestock Improvement Centre</td>
<td>0722483864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>BUSINESS ORGANISATION</td>
<td>TEL</td>
<td>SIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent K. Kabut</td>
<td>Bandarapai</td>
<td>0729486945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Chong</td>
<td>KETRI</td>
<td>0722846296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jem Benjamin</td>
<td>ASDF</td>
<td>0724384357</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuben K. Cherutich</td>
<td>AS(DSP-ENV) RESIDENCE OF</td>
<td>0725588641</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garry L. Mang'el</td>
<td>County Secretary, VAIFA</td>
<td>0728764347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Odero</td>
<td>CDE=B tuition</td>
<td>0721317123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Angalo</td>
<td>County Director, Agri-office</td>
<td>0721896473</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ed Cherono</td>
<td>CDVS-Baringo Council</td>
<td>0723879879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorcas Changwony</td>
<td>CLMC Office</td>
<td>0727863356</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francine P. H.</td>
<td>RAG Trust</td>
<td>0726587663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David K. Kanda</td>
<td>SNV-KENYA</td>
<td>072016576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godwin K. Komen</td>
<td>Chairman BSA</td>
<td>0728904928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson K. Talam</td>
<td>MOPO-Barrier Control</td>
<td>0728738649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary J. Sang</td>
<td>CDLP-Baringo Court</td>
<td>0721975397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chadwin Renz Wara</td>
<td>County Office Baringo (Internal)</td>
<td>0722987458</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>